Dossier zur Nutzenbewertung gemäß § 35a SGB V

Pembrolizumab (KEYTRUDA[®])

MSD Sharp & Dohme GmbH

Modul 4A

Anhang 4-G: Weitere Ergebnisse

KEYTRUDA[®] ist in Kombination mit Radiochemotherapie (perkutane Strahlentherapie, gefolgt von einer Brachytherapie) zur Behandlung des lokal fortgeschrittenen Zervixkarzinoms (Stadium III bis IVA gemäß FIGO 2014) bei Erwachsenen, die keine vorherige definitive Therapie erhalten haben, angezeigt.

Stand: 14.11.2024

Inhaltsverzeichnis

Inhaltsverzeichnis Tabellenverzeichnis	3
Abbildungsverzeichnis	
Anhang 4-G: Weitere Ergebnisse	•••• /
Anhang 4-G1: Rücklaufquoten des EORTC QLQ-C30, EORTC QLQ-CX24, PGI-S,	0
PGI-C und EQ-5D VAS	
Anhang 4-G1.1: Rücklaufquoten des EORTC QLQ-C30	8
Anhang 4-G1.2: Rücklaufquoten des EORTC QLQ-CX24	22
Anhang 4-G1.3: Rücklaufquoten des PGI-C	36
Anhang 4-G1.4: Rücklaufquoten des PGI-S	39
Anhang 4-G1.5: Rücklaufquoten des EQ-5D VAS	
Anhang 4-G2: Ergebnisse der Subgruppen mit nicht signifikantem Interaktionstest	
$(p \ge 0,05)$	67
Anhang 4-G2.1: Mortalität	
Anhang 4-G2.2: Morbidität	68
Anhang 4-G2.3: Gesundheitsbezogene Lebensqualität	100
Anhang 4-G2.4: Nebenwirkungen	118
Anhang 4-G3: Definition der Immunvermittelten unerwünschten Ereignisse	
(AEOSI) anhand der zugeordneten PT	132

Tabellenverzeichnis

Tabelle 4G-1: Gründe für das Fehlen von Werten im EORTC QLQ-C30
Tabelle 4G-2: Gründe für das Fehlen von Werten im EORTC QLQ-CX24
Tabelle 4G-3: Gründe für das Fehlen von Werten im PGI-C
Tabelle 4G-4: Gründe für das Fehlen von Werten im PGI-S
Tabelle 4G-5: Gründe für das Fehlen von Werten im EQ-5D VAS
Tabelle 4G-6: Subgruppenanalysen der Teilpopulation FIGO 2014 Stadium III bis IVA mit nicht signifikantem Interaktionstest ($p \ge 0.05$) für den Endpunkt Gesamtüberleben aus RCT mit dem zu bewertenden Arzneimittel
Tabelle 4G-7: Subgruppenanalysen der Teilpopulation FIGO 2014 Stadium III bis IVA mit nicht signifikantem Interaktionstest ($p \ge 0,05$) für den Endpunkt Progress als Scheitern des potenziell kurativen Therapieansatzes aus RCT mit dem zu bewertenden Arzneimittel
Tabelle 4G-8: Subgruppenanalysen der Teilpopulation FIGO 2014 Stadium III bis IVA mit nicht signifikantem Interaktionstest ($p \ge 0,05$) für den Endpunkt Zeit bis zur palliativen Therapie als Übergang ins palliative Setting aus RCT mit dem zu bewertenden Arzneimittel
Tabelle 4G-9: Subgruppenanalysen der Teilpopulation FIGO 2014 Stadium III bis IVA mit nicht signifikantem Interaktionstest ($p \ge 0.05$) für die Symptomskala Erschöpfung aus RCT mit dem zu bewertenden Arzneimittel
Tabelle 4G-10: Subgruppenanalysen der Teilpopulation FIGO 2014 Stadium III bis IVA mit nicht signifikantem Interaktionstest ($p \ge 0.05$) für die Symptomskala Übelkeit und Erbrechen aus RCT mit dem zu bewertenden Arzneimittel
Tabelle 4G-11: Subgruppenanalysen der Teilpopulation FIGO 2014 Stadium III bis IVA mit nicht signifikantem Interaktionstest ($p \ge 0.05$) für die Symptomskala Schmerzen aus RCT mit dem zu bewertenden Arzneimittel
Tabelle 4G-12: Subgruppenanalysen der Teilpopulation FIGO 2014 Stadium III bis IVA mit nicht signifikantem Interaktionstest ($p \ge 0,05$) für die Symptomskala Atemnot (Dyspnoe) aus RCT mit dem zu bewertenden Arzneimittel
Tabelle 4G-13: Subgruppenanalysen der Teilpopulation FIGO 2014 Stadium III bis IVA mit nicht signifikantem Interaktionstest ($p \ge 0,05$) für die Symptomskala Schlaflosigkeit aus RCT mit dem zu bewertenden Arzneimittel
Tabelle 4G-14: Subgruppenanalysen der Teilpopulation FIGO 2014 Stadium III bis IVA mit nicht signifikantem Interaktionstest ($p \ge 0.05$) für die Symptomskala Appetitlosigkeit aus RCT mit dem zu bewertenden Arzneimittel
Tabelle 4G-15: Subgruppenanalysen der Teilpopulation FIGO 2014 Stadium III bis IVA mit nicht signifikantem Interaktionstest ($p \ge 0.05$) für die Symptomskala Verstopfung aus RCT mit dem zu bewertenden Arzneimittel
Tabelle 4G-16: Subgruppenanalysen der Teilpopulation FIGO 2014 Stadium III bis IVA mit nicht signifikantem Interaktionstest ($p \ge 0.05$) für die Symptomskala Diarrhö aus RCT mit dem zu bewertenden Arzneimittel

Fabelle 4G-17: Subgruppenanalysen der Teilpopulation FIGO 2014 Stadium III bis mit nicht signifikantem Interaktionstest ($p \ge 0,05$) für die Symptomskala Symptome aus RCT mit dem zu bewertenden Arzneimittel	erleben
Fabelle 4G-18: Subgruppenanalysen der Teilpopulation FIGO 2014 Stadium III bismit nicht signifikantem Interaktionstest ($p \ge 0.05$) für die Symptomskala Lymphödeaus RCT mit dem zu bewertenden Arzneimittel	eme
Fabelle 4G-19: Subgruppenanalysen der Teilpopulation FIGO 2014 Stadium III bis mit nicht signifikantem Interaktionstest ($p \ge 0.05$) für die Symptomskala periphere Neuropathie aus RCT mit dem zu bewertenden Arzneimittel	
Fabelle 4G-20: Subgruppenanalysen der Teilpopulation FIGO 2014 Stadium III bis mit nicht signifikantem Interaktionstest ($p \ge 0.05$) für die Symptomskala menopaus. Symptome aus RCT mit dem zu bewertenden Arzneimittel	ale
Tabelle 4G-21: Subgruppenanalysen der Teilpopulation FIGO 2014 Stadium III bis mit nicht signifikantem Interaktionstest ($p \ge 0.05$) für den Fragebogen PGI-C aus R mit dem zu bewertenden Arzneimittel	СТ
Tabelle 4G-22: Subgruppenanalysen der Teilpopulation FIGO 2014 Stadium III bis mit nicht signifikantem Interaktionstest ($p \ge 0.05$) für den Fragebogen PGI-S aus Remit dem zu bewertenden Arzneimittel	СТ
Tabelle 4G-23: Subgruppenanalysen der Teilpopulation FIGO 2014 Stadium III bismit nicht signifikantem Interaktionstest ($p \ge 0.05$) für den Fragebogen EQ-5D VASRCT mit dem zu bewertenden Arzneimittel	aus
Tabelle 4G-24: Subgruppenanalysen der Teilpopulation FIGO 2014 Stadium III bis mit nicht signifikantem Interaktionstest ($p \ge 0.05$) für den globalen Gesundheitsstat RCT mit dem zu bewertenden Arzneimittel	us aus
Tabelle 4G-25: Subgruppenanalysen der Teilpopulation FIGO 2014 Stadium III bis mit nicht signifikantem Interaktionstest ($p \ge 0.05$) für die Funktionsskala Körperlich Funktion aus RCT mit dem zu bewertenden Arzneimittel	ne
Tabelle 4G-26: Subgruppenanalysen der Teilpopulation FIGO 2014 Stadium III bis mit nicht signifikantem Interaktionstest ($p \ge 0.05$) für die Funktionsskala Rollenfun aus RCT mit dem zu bewertenden Arzneimittel	ktion
Tabelle 4G-27: Subgruppenanalysen der Teilpopulation FIGO 2014 Stadium III bis mit nicht signifikantem Interaktionstest ($p \ge 0.05$) für die Funktionsskala Emotional Funktion aus RCT mit dem zu bewertenden Arzneimittel	le
Tabelle 4G-28: Subgruppenanalysen der Teilpopulation FIGO 2014 Stadium III bismit nicht signifikantem Interaktionstest ($p \ge 0.05$) für die Funktionsskala KognitiveFunktion aus RCT mit dem zu bewertenden Arzneimittel	
Tabelle 4G-29: Subgruppenanalysen der Teilpopulation FIGO 2014 Stadium III bis mit nicht signifikantem Interaktionstest ($p \ge 0.05$) für die Funktionsskala Soziale Funktion aus RCT mit dem zu bewertenden Arzneimittel	
Tabelle 4G-30: Subgruppenanalysen der Teilpopulation FIGO 2014 Stadium III bismit nicht signifikantem Interaktionstest ($p \ge 0.05$) für ddie Funktionsskala SexuelleAktivität aus RCT mit dem zu bewertenden Arzneimittel	

Tabelle 4G-31: Subgruppenanalysen der Teilpopulation FIGO 2014 Stadium III bis IVA mit nicht signifikantem Interaktionstest ($p \ge 0.05$) für die Funktionsskala Sorge vor schmerzhaftem Geschlechtsverkehr, sexuelle Aktivität und sexuellem Erleben aus RCT mit dem zu bewertenden Arzneimittel
Tabelle 4G-32: Subgruppenanalysen der Teilpopulation FIGO 2014 Stadium III bis IVA mit nicht signifikantem Interaktionstest ($p \ge 0.05$) für die Funktionsskala Körperbild aus RCT mit dem zu bewertenden Arzneimittel
Tabelle 4G-33: Subgruppenanalysen der Teilpopulation FIGO 2014 Stadium III bis IVA mit nicht signifikantem Interaktionstest ($p \ge 0.05$) für den Endpunkt Unerwünschte Ereignisse gesamt aus RCT mit dem zu bewertenden Arzneimittel
Tabelle 4G-34: Subgruppenanalysen der Teilpopulation FIGO 2014 Stadium III bis IVA mit nicht signifikantem Interaktionstest ($p \ge 0.05$) für den Endpunkt Schwerwiegende unerwünschte Ereignisse aus RCT mit dem zu bewertenden Arzneimittel
Tabelle 4G-35: Subgruppenanalysen der Teilpopulation FIGO 2014 Stadium III bis IVAmit nicht signifikantem Interaktionstest ($p \ge 0,05$) für den Endpunkt Schwereunerwünschte Ereignisse (CTCAE-Grad 3-5) aus RCT mit dem zu bewertendenArzneimittel
Tabelle 4G-36: Subgruppenanalysen der Teilpopulation FIGO 2014 Stadium III bis IVA mit nicht signifikantem Interaktionstest ($p \ge 0.05$) für den Endpunkt Therapieabbruch wegen unerwünschter Ereignisse aus RCT mit dem zu bewertenden Arzneimittel
Tabelle 4G-37: Subgruppenanalysen der Teilpopulation FIGO 2014 Stadium III bis IVA mit nicht signifikantem Interaktionstest ($p \ge 0.05$) für den Endpunkt Unerwünschte Ereignisse gesamt (SOC und PT) für SOC aus RCT mit dem zu bewertenden Arzneimittel 122
Tabelle 4G-38: Subgruppenanalysen der Teilpopulation FIGO 2014 Stadium III bis IVA mit nicht signifikantem Interaktionstest ($p \ge 0.05$) für den Endpunkt Unerwünschte Ereignisse gesamt (SOC und PT) für PT aus RCT mit dem zu bewertenden Arzneimittel 124
Tabelle 4G-39: Subgruppenanalysen der Teilpopulation FIGO 2014 Stadium III bis IVA mit nicht signifikantem Interaktionstest ($p \ge 0.05$) für den Endpunkt schwerwiegende unerwünschte Ereignisse (SOC und PT) für SOC aus RCT mit dem zu bewertenden Arzneimittel
Tabelle 4G-40: Subgruppenanalysen der Teilpopulation FIGO 2014 Stadium III bis IVA mit nicht signifikantem Interaktionstest ($p \ge 0.05$) für den Endpunkt schwerwiegende unerwünschte Ereignisse (SOC und PT) für PT aus RCT mit dem zu bewertenden Arzneimittel
Tabelle 4G-41: Subgruppenanalysen der Teilpopulation FIGO 2014 Stadium III bis IVA mit nicht signifikantem Interaktionstest ($p \ge 0,05$) für den Endpunkt Schwere unerwünschte Ereignisse (CTCAE-Grad 3-5) (SOC und PT) für SOC aus RCT mit dem zu bewertenden Arzneimittel
Tabelle 4G-42: Subgruppenanalysen der Teilpopulation FIGO 2014 Stadium III bis IVA mit nicht signifikantem Interaktionstest ($p \ge 0,05$) für den Endpunkt Schwere unerwünschte Ereignisse (CTCAE-Grad 3-5) (SOC und PT) für PT aus RCT mit dem zu bewertenden Arzneimittel
Tabelle 4G-43: Definition der Immunvermittelten unerwünschten Ereignisse (AEOSI)anhand der zugeordneten PT in der Studie KEYNOTE A18

Abbildungsverzeichnis

Es konnten keine Einträge für ein Abbildungsverzeichnis gefunden werden.

Anhang 4-G: Weitere Ergebnisse

Anhang 4-G1: Rücklaufquoten des EORTC QLQ-C30, EORTC QLQ-CX24, PGI-S, PGI-C und EQ-5D VAS

Im Folgenden werden ergänzend zu Abschnitt 4.3.1.3.1.2.2 die Rücklaufquoten des EORTC QLQ-C30, EORTC QLQ-CX24, PGI-C, PGI-S und EQ-5D VAS dargestellt.

Alle Ergebnisse beziehen sich auf den Datenschnitt vom 08.01.2024.

Anhang 4-G1.1: Rücklaufquoten des EORTC QLQ-C30

Tabelle 4G-1: Gründe für das Fehlen von Werten im EORTC QLQ-C30

			rolizumab		cebo +
		+ CCRT ^a			
		N=272		N	[=283
Treatment Visit	Category	n	(%)	n	(%)
Baseline	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	266	(97.8)	277	(97.9)
	Completed	261	(96.0)	270	(95.4)
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete	261	(98.1)	270	(97.5)
	questionnaires)				
	Not completed	5	(1.8)	7	(2.5)
	Other	1	(0.4)	2	(0.7)
	With visit, no record	4	(1.5)	5	(1.8)
	Missing by Design	6	(2.2)	6	(2.1)
	Discontinued due to adverse event	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to clinical progression	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to lost to follow-up	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to excluded medication	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-compliance with study	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	drug				
	Discontinued due to physician decision	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to radiographic progression	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Visit not reached	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Visit not scheduled	6	(2.2)	6	(2.1)
	Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
Week 3	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	262	(96.3)	268	(94.7)
	Completed	256	(94.1)	263	(92.9)
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete	256	(97.7)	263	(98.1)
	questionnaires)				
	Not completed	6	(2.2)	5	(1.8)
	Other	1	(0.4)	1	(0.4)
	With visit, no record	5	(1.8)	4	(1.4)
	Missing by Design	10	(3.7)	15	(5.3)
	Discontinued due to adverse event	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to clinical progression	0	(0.0)	1	(0.4)
	Discontinued due to lost to follow-up	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)

		Pemb	rolizumab	Pla	icebo +
		+ CCRT ^a		CCRT ^a	
		N	V=272	N=283	
Treatment Visit	Category	n	(%)	n	(%)
Week 3	Discontinued due to excluded medication	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-compliance with study	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	drug				
	Discontinued due to physician decision	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	0	(0.0)	2	(0.7)
	Discontinued due to radiographic progression	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Visit not reached	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Visit not scheduled	10	(3.7)	12	(4.2)
	Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
Week 6	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	253	(93.0)	254	(89.8)
	Completed	239	(87.9)	244	(86.2)
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete	239	(94.5)	244	(96.1)
	questionnaires)				
	Not completed	14	(5.1)	10	(3.5)
	Other	4	(1.5)	5	(1.8)
	With visit, no record	10	(3.7)	5	(1.8)
	Missing by Design	19	(7.0)	29	(10.2)
	Discontinued due to adverse event	2	(0.7)	3	(1.1)
	Discontinued due to clinical progression	0	(0.0)	1	(0.4)
	Discontinued due to lost to follow-up	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to excluded medication	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-compliance with study	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	drug				
	Discontinued due to physician decision	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	1	(0.4)	3	(1.1)
	Discontinued due to radiographic progression	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Visit not reached	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Visit not scheduled	15	(5.5)	22	(7.8)
	Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
Week 9	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	247	(90.8)	260	(91.9)
	Completed	238	(87.5)	255	(90.1)
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete	238	(96.4)	255	(98.1)
	questionnaires)				
	Not completed	9	(3.3)	5	(1.8)
	Other	5	(1.8)	3	(1.1)
	With visit, no record	4	(1.5)	2	(0.7)
	Missing by Design	25	(9.2)	23	(8.1)
	Discontinued due to adverse event	3	(1.1)	3	(1.1)
	Discontinued due to clinical progression	1	(0.4)	2	(0.7)

			rolizumab		cebo +
			+ CCRT ^a N=272		CRT ^a [=283
Treatment Visit	Category	n	(%)	n	(%)
Week 9	Discontinued due to lost to follow-up	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
Week y	Discontinued due to excluded medication	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to constudy anti-cancer therapy	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-study and cancel dietapy Discontinued due to non-compliance with study	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	drug	1	(0.+)	Ū	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to physician decision	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	2	(0.7)	4	(1.4)
	Discontinued due to radiographic progression	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Visit not reached	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Visit not scheduled	15	(5.5)	14	(4.9)
	Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
Week 12	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	249	(91.5)	259	(91.5)
W COK 12	Completed	240	(88.2)	253	(89.4)
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete	240	(96.4)	253	(97.7)
	questionnaires)	240	()0.4)	233	()1.1)
	Not completed	9	(3.3)	6	(2.1)
	Other	5	(1.8)	0	(0.0)
	With visit, no record	4	(1.5)	6	(2.1)
	Missing by Design	23	(8.5)	24	(8.5)
	Discontinued due to adverse event	5	(1.8)	4	(1.4)
	Discontinued due to daverse event	1	(0.4)	3	(1.4) (1.1)
	Discontinued due to lost to follow-up	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to rost to ronow up Discontinued due to excluded medication	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to excluded incurcation Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy	1	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-compliance with study	2	(0.7)	0	(0.0)
	drug	2	(0.7)	U	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to physician decision	1	(0.4)	1	(0.4)
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	0	(0.1)
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	2	(0.7)	4	(1.4)
	Discontinued due to whitehawar by subject	3	(1.1)	3	(1.1) (1.1)
	Visit not reached	0	(1.1) (0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Visit not scheduled	8	(0.0)	9	(3.2)
	Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	0	(2.9) (0.0)	0	(0.0)
Week 18	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	254	(0.0) (93.4)	263	(0.0) (92.9)
WEEK 10	Completed	23 4 249	(93.4) (91.5)	260 260	(92.9)
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete	249 249	(91.5) (98.0)	260 260	(91.9)
	questionnaires)	247	(90.0)	200	(90.9)
	Not completed	5	(1.8)	3	(1.1)
	Other	0	(1.8) (0.0)	0	(1.1) (0.0)
	With visit, no record	5	(0.0)	3	(0.0) (1.1)
	Missing by Design	- 3 18	(1.8) (6.6)		
				20	(7.1)
	Discontinued due to adverse event	3	(1.1)	5	(1.8)

		Pemb	rolizumab	Pla	icebo +		
		$+ CCRT^{a}$		CCRT ^a			
			V=272				
Treatment Visit	Category	n	n (%)				(%)
Week 18	Discontinued due to clinical progression	1	(0.4)	3	(1.1)		
	Discontinued due to lost to follow-up	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)		
	Discontinued due to excluded medication	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)		
	Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)		
	Discontinued due to non-compliance with study	2	(0.7)	0	(0.0)		
	drug				· · ·		
	Discontinued due to physician decision	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)		
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)		
	Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	3	(1.1)	5	(1.8)		
	Discontinued due to radiographic progression	4	(1.5)	3	(1.1)		
	Visit not reached	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)		
	Visit not scheduled	3	(1.1)	4	(1.4)		
	Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)		
Week 24	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	231	(84.9)	242	(85.5)		
	Completed	217	(79.8)	235	(83.0)		
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete	217	(93.9)	235	(97.1)		
	questionnaires)						
	Not completed	14	(5.1)	7	(2.5)		
	Other	7	(2.6)	1	(0.4)		
	With visit, no record	7	(2.6)	6	(2.1)		
	Missing by Design	41	(15.1)	41	(14.5)		
	Discontinued due to adverse event	11	(4.0)	6	(2.1)		
	Discontinued due to clinical progression	2	(0.7)	4	(1.4)		
	Discontinued due to lost to follow-up	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)		
	Discontinued due to excluded medication	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)		
	Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)		
	Discontinued due to non-compliance with study	3	(1.1)	0	(0.0)		
	drug						
	Discontinued due to physician decision	3	(1.1)	2	(0.7)		
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	1	(0.4)		
	Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	3	(1.1)	7	(2.5)		
	Discontinued due to radiographic progression	10	(3.7)	12	(4.2)		
	Visit not reached	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)		
	Visit not scheduled	8	(2.9)	9	(3.2)		
	Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)		
Week 30	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	220	(80.9)	223	(78.8)		
	Completed	207	(76.1)	212	(74.9)		
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete	207	(94.1)	212	(95.1)		
	questionnaires)						
	Not completed	13	(4.8)	11	(3.9)		
	Other	8	(2.9)	4	(1.4)		
	With visit, no record	5	(1.8)	7	(2.5)		
	Missing by Design	52	(19.1)	60	(21.2)		

		Pembrolizumab		Placebo +		
			+ CCRT ^a		CRT ^a	
		Ν	N=272 N=283		I=283	
Treatment Visit	Category	n	(%)	n	(%)	
Week 30	Discontinued due to adverse event	8	(2.9)	5	(1.8)	
	Discontinued due to clinical progression	2	(0.7)	5	(1.8)	
	Discontinued due to lost to follow-up	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)	
	Discontinued due to excluded medication	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)	
	Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)	
	Discontinued due to non-compliance with study	3	(1.1)	0	(0.0)	
	drug Discontinued due to physician decision	4	(1.5)	1	(0.4)	
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(1.3) (0.0)	2	(0.4) (0.7)	
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	2	(0.7)	8	(0.7)	
	Discontinued due to willing away by subject	21	(0.7)	28	(2.8)	
	Visit not reached	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)	
	Visit not scheduled	11	(4.0)	11	(3.9)	
	Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)	
Week 36	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	212	(77.9)	211	(74.6)	
	Completed	200	(73.5)	198	(70.0)	
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete	200	(94.3)	198	(93.8)	
	questionnaires)		. ,			
	Not completed	12	(4.4)	13	(4.6)	
	Other	5	(1.8)	5	(1.8)	
	With visit, no record	7	(2.6)	8	(2.8)	
	Missing by Design	60	(22.1)	72	(25.4)	
	Discontinued due to adverse event	16	(5.9)	6	(2.1)	
	Discontinued due to clinical progression	2	(0.7)	6	(2.1)	
	Discontinued due to lost to follow-up	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)	
	Discontinued due to excluded medication	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)	
	Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)	
	Discontinued due to non-compliance with study	3	(1.1)	0	(0.0)	
	drug Discontinued due to physician decision	4	(1.5)	3	(1.1)	
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	2	(0.7)	
	Discontinued due to progressive discuse Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	3	(0.0)	10	(3.5)	
	Discontinued due to andiographic progression	25	(9.2)	41	(14.5)	
	Visit not reached	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)	
	Visit not scheduled	6	(2.2)	4	(1.4)	
	Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)	
Week 42	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	210	(77.2)	194	(68.6)	
	Completed	198	(72.8)	183	(64.7)	
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete	198	(94.3)	183	(94.3)	
	questionnaires)		. /			
	Not completed	12	(4.4)	11	(3.9)	
	Other	8	(2.9)	3	(1.1)	
	With visit, no record	4	(1.5)	8	(2.8)	

			orolizumab		icebo +
			CCRT ^a		CRT ^a
		N=272 N=283			
Treatment Visit		n	(%)	n	(%)
Week 42	Missing by Design	62	(22.8)	89	(31.4)
	Discontinued due to adverse event	11	(4.0)	7	(2.5)
	Discontinued due to clinical progression	3	(1.1)	6	(2.1)
	Discontinued due to lost to follow-up	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to excluded medication	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-compliance with study drug	3	(1.1)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to physician decision	4	(1.5)	3	(1.1)
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	3	(1.1)
	Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	5	(1.8)	10	(3.5)
	Discontinued due to indianamic of subject	28	(10.3)	52	(18.4)
	Visit not reached	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Visit not scheduled	7	(2.6)	8	(2.8)
	Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
Week 48	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	200	(73.5)	188	(66.4)
	Completed	189	(69.5)	178	(62.9)
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete	189	(94.5)	178	(94.7)
	questionnaires)	107	(3.110)	170	(>)
	Not completed	11	(4.0)	10	(3.5)
	Other	3	(1.1)	4	(1.4)
	With visit, no record	8	(2.9)	6	(2.1)
	Missing by Design	72	(26.5)	95	(33.6)
	Discontinued due to adverse event	20	(7.4)	7	(2.5)
	Discontinued due to clinical progression	3	(1.1)	6	(2.1)
	Discontinued due to lost to follow-up	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to excluded medication	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-compliance with study drug	3	(1.1)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to physician decision	5	(1.8)	3	(1.1)
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	2	(0.7)
	Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	4	(1.5)	10	(3.5)
	Discontinued due to radiographic progression	33	(12.1)	62	(21.9)
	Visit not reached	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Visit not scheduled	3	(1.1)	5	(1.8)
	Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
Week 54	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	200	(73.5)	174	(61.5)
	Completed	189	(69.5)	165	(58.3)
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete questionnaires)	189	(94.5)	165	(94.8)
	Not completed	11	(4.0)	9	(3.2)
	Other	5	(4.0)	4	(3.2)
	Outer	5	(1.0)	4	(1.+)

			rolizumab		icebo +	
		+ CCRT ^a		CCRT ^a		
		N	N=272		I=283	
Treatment Visit	Category	n	(%)	n	(%)	
Week 54	With visit, no record	6	(2.2)	5	(1.8)	
	Missing by Design	72	(26.5)	109	(38.5)	
	Discontinued due to adverse event	13	(4.8)	9	(3.2)	
	Discontinued due to clinical progression	3	(1.1)	6	(2.1)	
	Discontinued due to lost to follow-up	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)	
	Discontinued due to excluded medication	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)	
	Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)	
	Discontinued due to non-compliance with study drug	3	(1.1)	0	(0.0)	
	Discontinued due to physician decision	4	(1.5)	4	(1.4)	
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	3	(1.1)	
	Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	5	(1.8)	10	(3.5)	
	Discontinued due to radiographic progression	38	(14.0)	68	(24.0)	
	Visit not reached	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)	
	Visit not scheduled	5	(1.8)	9	(3.2)	
	Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)	
Week 60	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	191	(70.2)	176	(62.2)	
	Completed	177	(65.1)	166	(58.7)	
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete questionnaires)	177	(92.7)	166	(94.3)	
	Not completed	14	(5.1)	10	(3.5)	
	Other	7	(2.6)	3	(1.1)	
	With visit, no record	7	(2.6)	7	(2.5)	
	Missing by Design	81	(29.8)	107	(37.8)	
	Discontinued due to adverse event	18	(6.6)	6	(2.1)	
	Discontinued due to clinical progression	3	(1.1)	6	(2.1)	
	Discontinued due to lost to follow-up	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)	
	Discontinued due to excluded medication	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)	
	Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)	
	Discontinued due to non-compliance with study drug	3	(1.1)	0	(0.0)	
	Discontinued due to physician decision	4	(1.5)	3	(1.1)	
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	3	(1.1)	
	Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	5	(1.8)	10	(3.5)	
	Discontinued due to radiographic progression	39	(14.3)	68	(24.0)	
	Visit not reached	4	(1.5)	4	(1.4)	
	Visit not scheduled	4	(1.5)	7	(2.5)	
	Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)	
Week 66	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	179	(65.8)	161	(56.9)	
	Completed	161	(59.2)	149	(52.7)	
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete questionnaires)	161	(89.9)	149	(92.5)	
	Not completed	18	(6.6)	12	(4.2)	

			rolizumab		icebo +
			+ CCRT ^a CCRT ^a		
		N	N=272	N=283	
Treatment Visit	0.7	n	(%)	n	(%)
Week 66	Other	8	(2.9)	8	(2.8)
	With visit, no record	10	(3.7)	4	(1.4)
	Missing by Design	93	(34.2)	122	(43.1)
	Discontinued due to adverse event	16	(5.9)	10	(3.5)
	Discontinued due to clinical progression	3	(1.1)	6	(2.1)
	Discontinued due to lost to follow-up	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to excluded medication	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-compliance with study drug	3	(1.1)	0	(0.0)
1	Discontinued due to physician decision	4	(1.5)	4	(1.4)
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	3	(1.1)
	Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	7	(2.6)	11	(3.9)
	Discontinued due to radiographic progression	42	(15.4)	73	(25.8)
	Visit not reached	11	(4.0)	15	(5.3)
	Visit not scheduled	6	(2.2)	0	(0.0)
	Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
Week 72	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	170	(62.5)	152	(53.7)
	Completed	151	(55.5)	143	(50.5)
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete questionnaires)	151	(88.8)	143	(94.1)
	Not completed	19	(7.0)	9	(3.2)
	Other	7	(2.6)	5	(1.8)
	With visit, no record	12	(4.4)	4	(1.4)
	Missing by Design	102	(37.5)	131	(46.3)
	Discontinued due to adverse event	23	(8.5)	10	(3.5)
	Discontinued due to clinical progression	3	(1.1)	6	(2.1)
	Discontinued due to lost to follow-up	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to excluded medication	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-compliance with study drug	3	(1.1)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to physician decision	5	(1.8)	3	(1.1)
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	4	(1.4)
	Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	7	(2.6)	11	(3.9)
	Discontinued due to radiographic progression	42	(15.4)	74	(26.1)
	Visit not reached	17	(6.3)	21	(7.4)
	Visit not scheduled	1	(0.4)	2	(0.7)
	Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
Week 78	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	161	(59.2)	138	(48.8)
	Completed	145	(53.3)	130	(45.9)
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete questionnaires)	145	(90.1)	130	(94.2)

		Pembrolizumab Placebo +				
		+ (CCRT ^a	C	CRT ^a	
		N	J=272	Ν	=283	
Treatment Visit	Category	n	(%)	n	(%)	
Week 78	Not completed	16	(5.9)	8	(2.8)	
	Other	8	(2.9)	4	(1.4)	
	With visit, no record	8	(2.9)	4	(1.4)	
-	Missing by Design	111	(40.8)	145	(51.2)	
	Discontinued due to adverse event	21	(7.7)	10	(3.5)	
	Discontinued due to clinical progression	3	(1.1)	7	(2.5)	
	Discontinued due to lost to follow-up	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)	
	Discontinued due to excluded medication	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)	
	Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)	
	Discontinued due to non-compliance with study	3	(1.1)	0	(0.0)	
	drug					
	Discontinued due to physician decision	5	(1.8)	3	(1.1)	
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	4	(1.4)	
	Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	6	(2.2)	11	(3.9)	
	Discontinued due to radiographic progression	46	(16.9)	82	(29.0)	
	Visit not reached	25	(9.2)	28	(9.9)	
	Visit not scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)	
	Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)	
Week 84	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	152	(55.9)	125	(44.2)	
	Completed	141	(51.8)	115	(40.6)	
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete	141	(92.8)	115	(92.0)	
	questionnaires)					
	Not completed	11	(4.0)	10	(3.5)	
	Other	4	(1.5)	3	(1.1)	
	With visit, no record	7	(2.6)	7	(2.5)	
	Missing by Design	120	(44.1)	158	(55.8)	
	Discontinued due to adverse event	26	(9.6)	12	(4.2)	
	Discontinued due to clinical progression	3	(1.1)	7	(2.5)	
	Discontinued due to lost to follow-up	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)	
	Discontinued due to excluded medication	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)	
	Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)	
	Discontinued due to non-compliance with study	3	(1.1)	0	(0.0)	
	drug					
	Discontinued due to physician decision	5	(1.8)	4	(1.4)	
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	4	(1.4)	
	Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	7	(2.6)	12	(4.2)	
	Discontinued due to radiographic progression	46	(16.9)	83	(29.3)	
	Visit not reached	29	(10.7)	35	(12.4)	
	Visit not scheduled	0	(0.0)	1	(0.4)	
	Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)	
Week 90	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	149	(54.8)	116	(41.0)	
	Completed	139	(51.1)	109	(38.5)	
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete	139	(93.3)	109	(94.0)	

		Pembrolizumab Placebo +				
		+ CCRT ^a			CRT ^a	
		N	V=272	N=283		
Treatment Visit	Category	n	(%)	n	(%)	
	questionnaires)					
Week 90	Not completed	10	(3.7)	7	(2.5)	
	Other	7	(2.6)	4	(1.4)	
	With visit, no record	3	(1.1)	3	(1.1)	
	Missing by Design	123	(45.2)	167	(59.0)	
	Discontinued due to adverse event	21	(7.7)	11	(3.9)	
	Discontinued due to clinical progression	3	(1.1)	7	(2.5)	
	Discontinued due to lost to follow-up	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)	
	Discontinued due to excluded medication	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)	
	Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)	
	Discontinued due to non-compliance with study	3	(1.1)	0	(0.0)	
	drug					
	Discontinued due to physician decision	5	(1.8)	4	(1.4)	
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	4	(1.4)	
	Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	6	(2.2)	13	(4.6)	
	Discontinued due to radiographic progression	49	(18.0)	88	(31.1)	
	Visit not reached	32	(11.8)	39	(13.8)	
	Visit not scheduled	1	(0.4)	1	(0.4)	
	Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)	
Week 96	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	131	(48.2)	106	(37.5)	
	Completed	125	(46.0)	95	(33.6)	
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete	125	(95.4)	95	(89.6)	
	questionnaires)					
	Not completed	6	(2.2)	11	(3.9)	
	Other	2	(0.7)	6	(2.1)	
	With visit, no record	4	(1.5)	5	(1.8)	
	Missing by Design	141	(51.8)	177	(62.5)	
	Discontinued due to adverse event	29	(10.7)	13	(4.6)	
	Discontinued due to clinical progression	3	(1.1)	7	(2.5)	
	Discontinued due to lost to follow-up	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)	
	Discontinued due to excluded medication	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)	
	Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)	
	Discontinued due to non-compliance with study drug	3	(1.1)	0	(0.0)	
	Discontinued due to physician decision	6	(2.2)	3	(1.1)	
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	4	(1.4)	
	Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	8	(2.9)	13	(4.6)	
	Discontinued due to radiographic progression	50	(18.4)	89	(31.4)	
	Visit not reached	39	(14.3)	47	(16.6)	
	Visit not scheduled	0	(0.0)	1	(0.4)	
	Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)	
Week 102	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	130	(47.8)	103	(36.4)	
	Completed	120	(44.1)	88	(31.1)	

		Pemb	rolizumab	Pla	cebo +	
		+ (CCRT ^a	CCRT ^a		
		N	V=272	N=283		
Treatment Visit	Category	n	(%)	n	(%)	
Week 102	Compliance (% in those expected to complete	120	(92.3)	88	(85.4)	
	questionnaires)					
	Not completed	10	(3.7)	15	(5.3)	
	Other	2	(0.7)	3	(1.1)	
	With visit, no record	8	(2.9)	12	(4.2)	
	Missing by Design	142	(52.2)	180	(63.6)	
	Discontinued due to adverse event	25	(9.2)	12	(4.2)	
	Discontinued due to clinical progression	3	(1.1)	7	(2.5)	
	Discontinued due to lost to follow-up	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)	
	Discontinued due to excluded medication	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)	
	Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)	
	Discontinued due to non-compliance with study drug	3	(1.1)	0	(0.0)	
	Discontinued due to physician decision	5	(1.8)	4	(1.4)	
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	4	(1.4)	
	Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	9	(3.3)	13	(4.6)	
	Discontinued due to radiographic progression	51	(18.8)	91	(32.2)	
	Visit not reached	43	(15.8)	48	(17.0)	
	Visit not scheduled	0	(0.0)	1	(0.4)	
	Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)	
Week 114	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	115	(42.3)	94	(33.2)	
	Completed	55	(20.2)	50	(17.7)	
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete	55	(47.8)	50	(53.2)	
	questionnaires)	60	(22.1)		(1 5 5)	
	Not completed	60	(22.1)	44	(15.5)	
	Other	13	(4.8)	18	(6.4)	
	With visit, no record	47	(17.3)	26	(9.2)	
	Missing by Design	157	(57.7)	189	(66.8)	
	Discontinued due to adverse event	27	(9.9)	11	(3.9)	
	Discontinued due to clinical progression	3	(1.1)	7	(2.5)	
	Discontinued due to lost to follow-up	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)	
	Discontinued due to excluded medication	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)	
	Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)	
	Discontinued due to non-compliance with study drug	3	(1.1)	0	(0.0)	
	Discontinued due to physician decision	5	(1.8)	2	(0.7)	
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	4	(1.4)	
	Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	7	(2.6)	13	(4.6)	
	Discontinued due to radiographic progression	51	(18.8)	91	(32.2)	
	Visit not reached	43	(15.8)	48	(17.0)	
	Visit not scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)	
	Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	15	(5.5)	13	(4.6)	
Week 126	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	88	(32.4)	68	(24.0)	

		Pemb	rolizumab	Pla	cebo +
		+ (CCRT ^a	CCRT ^a	
		N	V=272	N	[=283
Treatment Visit	Category	n	(%)	n	(%)
Week 126	Completed	69	(25.4)	59	(20.8)
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete	69	(78.4)	59	(86.8)
	questionnaires)				
	Not completed	19	(7.0)	9	(3.2)
	Other	3	(1.1)	0	(0.0)
	With visit, no record	16	(5.9)	9	(3.2)
	Missing by Design	184	(67.6)	215	(76.0)
	Discontinued due to adverse event	22	(8.1)	12	(4.2)
	Discontinued due to clinical progression	3	(1.1)	7	(2.5)
	Discontinued due to lost to follow-up	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to excluded medication	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-compliance with study drug	3	(1.1)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to physician decision	5	(1.8)	4	(1.4)
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	4	(1.4)
	Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	9	(3.3)	13	(4.6)
	Discontinued due to radiographic progression	51	(18.8)	90	(31.8)
	Visit not reached	46	(16.9)	50	(17.7)
	Visit not scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	42	(15.4)	35	(12.4)
Week 138	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	17	(6.3)	11	(3.9)
	Completed	14	(5.1)	7	(2.5)
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete questionnaires)	14	(82.4)	7	(63.6)
	Not completed	3	(1.1)	4	(1.4)
	Other	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	With visit, no record	2	(0.7)	4	(1.4)
	Missing by Design	255	(93.8)	272	(96.1)
	Discontinued due to adverse event	28	(10.3)	14	(4.9)
	Discontinued due to clinical progression	3	(1.1)	7	(2.5)
	Discontinued due to lost to follow-up	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to excluded medication	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-compliance with study drug	3	(1.1)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to physician decision	5	(1.8)	4	(1.4)
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	4	(1.4)
	Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	9	(3.3)	13	(4.6)
	Discontinued due to radiographic progression	51	(18.8)	91	(32.2)
	Visit not reached	47	(17.3)	51	(18.0)
	Visit not scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	106	(39.0)	88	(31.1)

			rolizumab		acebo +
			CCRT ^a		CRT ^a
					I=283
Treatment Visit		n 25	(%)	n	(%)
Week 150	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	35	(12.9)	31	(11.0)
	Completed	34 34	(12.5)	31 31	(11.0)
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete questionnaires)	54	(97.1)	51	(100.0)
	Not completed	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Other	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	With visit, no record	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Missing by Design	237	(87.1)	252	(89.0)
	Discontinued due to adverse event	27	(9.9)	12	(4.2)
	Discontinued due to clinical progression	3	(1.1)	7	(2.5)
	Discontinued due to lost to follow-up	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to excluded medication	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-compliance with study	3	(1.1)	0	(0.0)
	drug	6	(2,2)	4	(1, 4)
	Discontinued due to physician decision	6 0	(2.2)	4	(1.4)
	Discontinued due to progressive disease Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	9	(0.0) (3.3)	13	(1.4) (4.6)
	Discontinued due to willidrawar by subject Discontinued due to radiographic progression	51	(3.3)	91	(4.0)
	Visit not reached	47	(17.3)	51	(18.0)
	Visit not scheduled	0	(17.3) (0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	88	(32.4)	70	(24.7)
Week 162	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	1	(0.4)	2	(0.7)
	Completed	1	(0.4)	2	(0.7)
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete	1	(100.0)	2	(100.0)
	questionnaires)				
	Not completed	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Other	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	With visit, no record	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Missing by Design	271	(99.6)	281	(99.3)
	Discontinued due to adverse event	29	(10.7)	14	(4.9)
	Discontinued due to clinical progression	3	(1.1)	7	(2.5)
	Discontinued due to lost to follow-up	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to excluded medication	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-compliance with study drug	3	(1.1)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to physician decision	6	(2.2)	4	(1.4)
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	4	(1.4)
	Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	9	(3.3)	13	(4.6)
	Discontinued due to radiographic progression	51	(18.8)	91	(32.2)
	Visit not reached	47	(17.3)	51	(18.0)
	Visit not scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)

		+ (rolizumab CCRT ^a I=272	C	cebo + CRT ^a =283
Treatment Visit	Category	n	(%)	n	(%)
Week 162	Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	120	(44.1)	97	(34.3)

Expected to complete questionnaire includes all subjects who do not have missing data due to a missing by design reason.

Compliance is the proportion of subjects who completed the PRO questionnaire among those who are **expected to complete the questionnaire** at this time point, excluding those missing by design. All the other categories are defined as the proportion of subjects in the analysis population (N).

Missing by design includes: death, disease progression, other discontinuations (reasons may include unacceptable AEs, withdrawal of consent, intercurrent illness that prevents further administration of treatment, investigator's decision to discontinue the participant, noncompliance with study treatment or procedure requirements or administrative reasons requiring cessation of treatment), and translation not available

a: CCRT is Chemoradiotherapy [Treatment with cisplatin and radiotherapy (EBRT followed by brachytherapy)]

Database Cutoff Date: 08JAN2024

Anhang 4-G1.2: Rücklaufquoten des EORTC QLQ-CX24

			rolizumab CCRTª		cebo + CRTª
			I=272		=281
Treatment Visit	Category	n	(%)	n	(%)
Baseline	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	265	(97.4)	274	(97.5)
	Completed	259	(95.2)	267	(95.0)
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete questionnaires)	259	(97.7)	267	(97.4)
	Not completed	6	(2.2)	7	(2.5)
	Other	1	(0.4)	2	(0.7)
	With visit, no record	5	(1.8)	5	(1.8)
	Missing by Design	7	(2.6)	7	(2.5)
	Discontinued due to adverse event	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to clinical progression	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to lost to follow-up	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to excluded medication	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-compliance with study drug	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to physician decision	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to radiographic progression	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Visit not reached	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Visit not scheduled	7	(2.6)	7	(2.5)
	Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
Week 3	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	260	(95.6)	266	(94.7)
	Completed	251	(92.3)	262	(93.2)
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete questionnaires)	251	(96.5)	262	(98.5)
	Not completed	9	(3.3)	4	(1.4)
	Other	1	(0.4)	1	(0.4)
	With visit, no record	8	(2.9)	3	(1.1)
	Missing by Design	12	(4.4)	15	(5.3)
	Discontinued due to adverse event	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to clinical progression	0	(0.0)	1	(0.4)
	Discontinued due to lost to follow-up	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to excluded medication	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-compliance with study drug	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to physician decision	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	0	(0.0)	1	(0.4)
	Discontinued due to radiographic progression	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)

Tabelle 4G-2: Gründe für das Fehlen von Werten im EORTC QLQ-CX24

		Pembrolizumab Placebo +			
			CCRT ^a		
			N=272 N=281		
Treatment Visit	Category	n	(%)	n	(%)
Week 3	Visit not reached	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Visit not scheduled	12	(4.4)	13	(4.6)
	Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
Week 6	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	252	(92.6)	252	(89.7)
	Completed	236	(86.8)	243	(86.5)
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete	236	(93.7)	243	(96.4)
	questionnaires)				
	Not completed	16	(5.9)	9	(3.2)
	Other	4	(1.5)	5	(1.8)
	With visit, no record	12	(4.4)	4	(1.4)
	Missing by Design	20	(7.4)	29	(10.3)
	Discontinued due to adverse event	2	(0.7)	3	(1.1)
	Discontinued due to clinical progression	0	(0.0)	1	(0.4)
	Discontinued due to lost to follow-up	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to excluded medication	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-compliance with study drug	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to physician decision	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	1	(0.4)	2	(0.7)
	Discontinued due to radiographic progression	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Visit not reached	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Visit not scheduled	16	(5.9)	23	(8.2)
	Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
Week 9	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	246	(90.4)	259	(92.2)
	Completed	237	(87.1)	254	(90.4)
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete	237	(96.3)	254	(98.1)
	questionnaires)				
	Not completed	9	(3.3)	5	(1.8)
	Other	5	(1.8)	3	(1.1)
	With visit, no record	4	(1.5)	2	(0.7)
	Missing by Design	26	(9.6)	22	(7.8)
	Discontinued due to adverse event	3	(1.1)	3	(1.1)
	Discontinued due to clinical progression	1	(0.4)	2	(0.7)
	Discontinued due to lost to follow-up	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to excluded medication	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-compliance with study drug	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to physician decision	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	2	(0.7)	3	(1.1)
I		-	()		()

			orolizumab		acebo +	
			CCRT ^a	CCRT ^a N=281		
		N	N=272			
Treatment Visit		n	(%)	n	(%)	
Week 9	Discontinued due to radiographic progression	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)	
	Visit not reached	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)	
	Visit not scheduled	16	(5.9)	14	(5.0)	
	Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)	
Week 12	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	249	(91.5)	256	(91.1)	
	Completed	239	(87.9)	249	(88.6)	
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete	239	(96.0)	249	(97.3)	
	questionnaires)					
	Not completed	10	(3.7)	7	(2.5)	
	Other	5	(1.8)	0	(0.0)	
	With visit, no record	5	(1.8)	7	(2.5)	
	Missing by Design	23	(8.5)	25	(8.9)	
	Discontinued due to adverse event	5	(1.8)	4	(1.4)	
	Discontinued due to clinical progression	1	(0.4)	3	(1.1)	
	Discontinued due to lost to follow-up	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)	
	Discontinued due to excluded medication	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)	
	Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)	
	Discontinued due to non-compliance with study	2	(0.7)	0	(0.0)	
	drug					
	Discontinued due to physician decision	1	(0.4)	1	(0.4)	
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)	
	Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	2	(0.7)	3	(1.1)	
	Discontinued due to radiographic progression	3	(1.1)	3	(1.1)	
	Visit not reached	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)	
	Visit not scheduled	8	(2.9)	11	(3.9)	
	Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)	
Week 18	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	253	(93.0)	261	(92.9)	
	Completed	248	(91.2)	259	(92.2)	
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete	248	(98.0)	259	(99.2)	
	questionnaires)					
	Not completed	5	(1.8)	2	(0.7)	
	Other	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)	
	With visit, no record	5	(1.8)	2	(0.7)	
	Missing by Design	19	(7.0)	20	(7.1)	
	Discontinued due to adverse event	3	(1.1)	5	(1.8)	
	Discontinued due to clinical progression	1	(0.4)	3	(1.1)	
	Discontinued due to lost to follow-up	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)	
	Discontinued due to excluded medication	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)	
	Discontinued due to excluded incurrent in Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)	
	Discontinued due to non-study and-cancel dietapy Discontinued due to non-compliance with study	2	(0.7)	0	(0.0)	
	drug	2	(0.7)		(0.0)	
	Discontinued due to physician decision	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)	
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)	
	Discontinued due to progressive discuse	0	(0.0)	Ū	(0.0)	

			orolizumab CCRT ^a		acebo + CRT ^a
			N=272	N=281	
Treatment Visit	Category	n	(%)	n	(%)
Week 18	Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	3	(1.1)	4	(1.4)
	Discontinued due to radiographic progression	4	(1.5)	3	(1.1)
	Visit not reached	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Visit not scheduled	4	(1.5)	5	(1.8)
	Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
Week 24	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	231	(84.9)	240	(85.4)
	Completed	217	(79.8)	234	(83.3)
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete	217	(93.9)	234	(97.5)
	questionnaires)				
	Not completed	14	(5.1)	6	(2.1)
	Other	7	(2.6)	1	(0.4)
	With visit, no record	7	(2.6)	5	(1.8)
	Missing by Design	41	(15.1)	41	(14.6)
	Discontinued due to adverse event	11	(4.0)	6	(2.1)
	Discontinued due to clinical progression	2	(0.7)	4	(1.4)
	Discontinued due to lost to follow-up	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to excluded medication	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-compliance with study drug	3	(1.1)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to physician decision	3	(1.1)	2	(0.7)
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	1	(0.4)
	Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	3	(1.1)	6	(2.1)
	Discontinued due to radiographic progression	10	(3.7)	12	(4.3)
	Visit not reached	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Visit not scheduled	8	(2.9)	10	(3.6)
	Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
Week 30	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	220	(80.9)	221	(78.6)
	Completed	207	(76.1)	210	(74.7)
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete questionnaires)	207	(94.1)	210	(95.0)
	Not completed	13	(4.8)	11	(3.9)
	Other	8	(2.9)	4	(1.4)
	With visit, no record	5	(1.8)	7	(2.5)
	Missing by Design	52	(19.1)	60	(21.4)
	Discontinued due to adverse event	8	(2.9)	5	(1.8)
	Discontinued due to clinical progression	2	(0.7)	5	(1.8)
	Discontinued due to lost to follow-up	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to excluded medication	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-compliance with study drug	3	(1.1)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to physician decision	4	(1.5)	1	(0.4)

			rolizumab CCRTª		icebo + CRT ^a
			V=272		I=281
Treatment Visit	Category	n	(%)	n	(%)
Week 30	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	2	(0.7)
	Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	2	(0.7)	7	(2.5)
	Discontinued due to radiographic progression	21	(7.7)	28	(10.0)
	Visit not reached	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Visit not scheduled	11	(4.0)	12	(4.3)
	Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
Week 36	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	212	(77.9)	209	(74.4)
	Completed	200	(73.5)	197	(70.1)
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete	200	(94.3)	197	(94.3)
	questionnaires)	-00	(2.110)		(5110)
	Not completed	12	(4.4)	12	(4.3)
	Other	5	(1.8)	5	(1.8)
	With visit, no record	7	(2.6)	7	(2.5)
	Missing by Design	60	(22.1)	72	(25.6)
	Discontinued due to adverse event	16	(5.9)	6	(2.1)
	Discontinued due to clinical progression	2	(0.7)	6	(2.1)
	Discontinued due to lost to follow-up	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to excluded medication	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-compliance with study	3	(1.1)	0	(0.0)
	drug	5	(1.1)	Ŭ	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to physician decision	4	(1.5)	3	(1.1)
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	2	(0.7)
	Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	3	(1.1)	9	(3.2)
	Discontinued due to radiographic progression	25	(9.2)	41	(14.6)
	Visit not reached	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Visit not scheduled	6	(2.2)	5	(1.8)
	Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
Week 42	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	210	(77.2)	193	(68.7)
	Completed	197	(72.4)	183	(65.1)
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete	197	(93.8)	183	(94.8)
	questionnaires)	177	() 5.0)	105	() 1.0)
	Not completed	13	(4.8)	10	(3.6)
	Other	8	(2.9)	3	(1.1)
	With visit, no record	5	(1.8)	7	(2.5)
	Missing by Design	62	(22.8)	88	(31.3)
	Discontinued due to adverse event	11	(4.0)	7	(2.5)
	Discontinued due to darverse event	3	(1.1)	6	(2.3) (2.1)
	Discontinued due to enheur progression Discontinued due to lost to follow-up	0	(0.0)	0	(2.1) (0.0)
	Discontinued due to lost to follow-up Discontinued due to excluded medication	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to excluded medication Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy	1	(0.0) (0.4)	0	(0.0) (0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy Discontinued due to non-compliance with study	3			(0.0) (0.0)
	drug	3	(1.1)	0	(0.0)

			rolizumab CCRTª	Placebo + CCRT ^a		
		N	I=272	N=281		
Treatment Visit	Category	n	(%)	n	(%)	
Week 42	Discontinued due to physician decision	4	(1.5)	3	(1.1)	
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	3	(1.1)	
	Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	5	(1.8)	9	(3.2)	
	Discontinued due to radiographic progression	28	(10.3)	52	(18.5)	
	Visit not reached	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)	
	Visit not scheduled	7	(2.6)	8	(2.8)	
	Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)	
Week 48	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	200	(73.5)	187	(66.5)	
	Completed	189	(69.5)	178	(63.3)	
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete	189	(94.5)	178	(95.2)	
	questionnaires)	11	(4,0)	0	(2,2)	
	Not completed Other	11 3	(4.0) (1.1)	9 3	(3.2) (1.1)	
	With visit, no record	8	(1.1) (2.9)	6	(1.1) (2.1)	
	Missing by Design	72	(2.9) (26.5)	94	(2.1) (33.5)	
	Discontinued due to adverse event	20	(7.4)	7	(2.5)	
	Discontinued due to adverse event	3	(1.1)	6	(2.3) (2.1)	
	Discontinued due to enheat progression Discontinued due to lost to follow-up	0	(1.1) (0.0)	0	(2.1) (0.0)	
	Discontinued due to lost to follow-up Discontinued due to excluded medication	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)	
	Discontinued due to excluded incurcation Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy	1	(0.0)	0	(0.0)	
	Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancel ulerapy Discontinued due to non-compliance with study	3	(0.4)	0	(0.0)	
	drug	5	(1.1)	0	(0.0)	
	Discontinued due to physician decision	5	(1.8)	3	(1.1)	
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	2	(0.7)	
	Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	4	(1.5)	9	(3.2)	
	Discontinued due to radiographic progression	33	(12.1)	62	(22.1)	
	Visit not reached	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)	
	Visit not scheduled	3	(1.1)	5	(1.8)	
	Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)	
Week 54	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	200	(73.5)	173	(61.6)	
	Completed	189	(69.5)	165	(58.7)	
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete questionnaires)	189	(94.5)	165	(95.4)	
	Not completed	11	(4.0)	8	(2.8)	
	Other	5	(1.8)	4	(1.4)	
	With visit, no record	6	(1.3)	4	(1.4)	
	Missing by Design	72	(2.2)	108	(38.4)	
	Discontinued due to adverse event	13	(4.8)	9	(3.2)	
	Discontinued due to adverse event	3	(1.1)	6	(2.1)	
	Discontinued due to enheat progression Discontinued due to lost to follow-up	0	(1.1) (0.0)	0	(2.1) (0.0)	
	Discontinued due to lost to follow-up Discontinued due to excluded medication	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)	
	Discontinued due to excluded incurcation Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy	1	(0.0)	0	(0.0)	
	Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancel ulerapy Discontinued due to non-compliance with study		(0.4)	0	(0.0)	

			rolizumab	Placebo + CCRT ^a	
		+ (CCRT ^a		
		N	J=272	N	=281
Treatment Visit		n	(%)	n	(%)
	drug				
Week 54	Discontinued due to physician decision	4	(1.5)	4	(1.4)
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	3	(1.1)
	Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	5	(1.8)	9	(3.2)
	Discontinued due to radiographic progression	38	(14.0)	68	(24.2)
	Visit not reached	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Visit not scheduled	5	(1.8)	9	(3.2)
	Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
Week 60	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	191	(70.2)	175	(62.3)
	Completed	177	(65.1)	166	(59.1)
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete	177	(92.7)	166	(94.9)
	questionnaires)				
	Not completed	14	(5.1)	9	(3.2)
	Other	7	(2.6)	3	(1.1)
	With visit, no record	7	(2.6)	6	(2.1)
	Missing by Design	81	(29.8)	106	(37.7)
	Discontinued due to adverse event	18	(6.6)	6	(2.1)
	Discontinued due to clinical progression	3	(1.1)	6	(2.1)
	Discontinued due to lost to follow-up	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to excluded medication	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-compliance with study	3	(1.1)	0	(0.0)
	drug				
	Discontinued due to physician decision	4	(1.5)	3	(1.1)
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	3	(1.1)
	Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	5	(1.8)	9	(3.2)
	Discontinued due to radiographic progression	39	(14.3)	68	(24.2)
	Visit not reached	4	(1.5)	4	(1.4)
	Visit not scheduled	4	(1.5)	7	(2.5)
	Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
Week 66	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	179	(65.8)	160	(56.9)
	Completed	161	(59.2)	149	(53.0)
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete questionnaires)	161	(89.9)	149	(93.1)
	Not completed	18	(6.6)	11	(3.9)
	Other	8	(2.9)	8	(2.8)
	With visit, no record	10	(3.7)	3	(1.1)
	Missing by Design	93	(34.2)	121	(43.1)
	Discontinued due to adverse event	16	(5.9)	10	(3.6)
	Discontinued due to clinical progression	3	(1.1)	6	(2.1)
	Discontinued due to lost to follow-up	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to excluded medication	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)

1 (%) .0) .4) .1) .6) 6.0) .3) .0) .0) .3) .0) .0) .0) .1) .6) .7) .00) .3.7) 0.9) 4.7)
(%) .0) .4) .1) .6) .6.0) .3) .0) .0) .0) .3.7) 0.9)
.4) .1) .6) .6.0) .3) .0) .0) .0) 3.7) 0.9)
.1) .6) .6.0) .3) .0) .0) .0) .0) .3.7) 0.9)
.1) .6) .6.0) .3) .0) .0) .0) .0) .3.7) 0.9)
 (.6) (.6.0) (.3) (.0) (.0)<
 (6.0) (.3) (.0) (.0) (3.7) (0.9)
 (.3) (.0) (.0) (3.7) (0.9)
0.0) 0.0) (3.7) (0.9)
0.0) (3.7) (0.9)
(3.7) (0.9)
0.9)
4.7)
.8)
.8)
.1)
6.3)
.6)
.1)
.0)
.0)
.0)
.0)
.1)
.4)
.6)
6.3)
.5)
.7)
.0)
8.8)
6.3)
4.9)
5)
(2.5)
.4)
.4) .1)
.4) .1) (1.2)
.4) .1) (1.2) (.6)
.4) .1) (1.2)
327)) 117

			rolizumab CCRTª		icebo + CRT ^a
			J=272		I=281
Treatment Visit	Category	n	(%)	n	(%)
Week 78	Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-compliance with study	3	(1.1)	0	(0.0)
	drug				
	Discontinued due to physician decision	5	(1.8)	3	(1.1)
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	4	(1.4)
	Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	6	(2.2)	10	(3.6)
	Discontinued due to radiographic progression	46	(16.9)	82	(29.2)
	Visit not reached	25	(9.2)	28	(10.0)
	Visit not scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
Week 84	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	152	(55.9)	124	(44.1)
	Completed	141	(51.8)	115	(40.9)
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete questionnaires)	141	(92.8)	115	(92.7)
	Not completed	11	(4.0)	9	(3.2)
	Other	4	(1.5)	3	(1.1)
	With visit, no record	7	(2.6)	6	(2.1)
	Missing by Design	120	(44.1)	157	(55.9)
	Discontinued due to adverse event	26	(9.6)	12	(4.3)
	Discontinued due to clinical progression	3	(1.1)	7	(2.5)
	Discontinued due to lost to follow-up	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to excluded medication	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-compliance with study drug	3	(1.1)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to physician decision	5	(1.8)	4	(1.4)
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	4	(1.4)
	Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	7	(2.6)	11	(3.9)
	Discontinued due to radiographic progression	46	(16.9)	83	(29.5)
	Visit not reached	29	(10.7)	35	(12.5)
	Visit not scheduled	0	(0.0)	1	(0.4)
	Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
Week 90	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	149	(54.8)	115	(40.9)
	Completed	139	(51.1)	108	(38.4)
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete questionnaires)	139	(93.3)	108	(93.9)
	Not completed	10	(3.7)	7	(2.5)
	Other	7	(2.6)	4	(1.4)
	With visit, no record	3	(1.1)	3	(1.1)
	Missing by Design	123	(45.2)	166	(59.1)
	Discontinued due to adverse event	21	(7.7)	11	(3.9)
	Discontinued due to clinical progression	3	(1.1)	7	(2.5)
	Discontinued due to lost to follow-up	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)

		Pemb	rolizumab	Pla	lacebo +	
		+ (CCRT ^a	CCRT ^a		
		N	V=272	N	I=281	
Treatment Visit	Category	n	(%)	n	(%)	
Week 90	Discontinued due to excluded medication	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)	
	Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)	
	Discontinued due to non-compliance with study	3	(1.1)	0	(0.0)	
	drug					
	Discontinued due to physician decision	5	(1.8)	4	(1.4)	
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	4	(1.4)	
	Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	6	(2.2)	12	(4.3)	
	Discontinued due to radiographic progression	49	(18.0)	88	(31.3)	
	Visit not reached	32	(11.8)	39	(13.9)	
	Visit not scheduled	1	(0.4)	1	(0.4)	
	Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)	
Week 96	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	131	(48.2)	105	(37.4)	
	Completed	125	(46.0)	95	(33.8)	
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete	125	(95.4)	95	(90.5)	
	questionnaires)		(2, 2)	10		
	Not completed	6	(2.2)	10	(3.6)	
	Other With the second second	2	(0.7)	6	(2.1)	
	With visit, no record	4	(1.5)	4	(1.4)	
	Missing by Design	141	(51.8)	176	(62.6)	
	Discontinued due to adverse event	29	(10.7)	13	(4.6)	
	Discontinued due to clinical progression	3	(1.1)	7	(2.5)	
	Discontinued due to lost to follow-up Discontinued due to excluded medication	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)	
		1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)	
	Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy Discontinued due to non-compliance with study	3	(0.4) (1.1)	00	(0.0) (0.0)	
	drug	5	(1.1)	0	(0.0)	
	Discontinued due to physician decision	6	(2.2)	3	(1.1)	
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	4	(1.4)	
	Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	8	(2.9)	12	(4.3)	
	Discontinued due to radiographic progression	50	(18.4)	89	(31.7)	
	Visit not reached	39	(14.3)	47	(16.7)	
	Visit not scheduled	0	(0.0)	1	(0.4)	
	Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)	
Week 102	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	130	(47.8)	102	(36.3)	
	Completed	120	(44.1)	88	(31.3)	
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete questionnaires)	120	(92.3)	88	(86.3)	
	Not completed	10	(3.7)	14	(5.0)	
	Other	2	(0.7)	2	(0.7)	
	With visit, no record	8	(0.7)	12	(4.3)	
	Missing by Design	142	(52.2)	179	(4 .5) (63.7)	
	Discontinued due to adverse event	25	(9.2)	12	(4.3)	
	Discontinued due to adverse event	3	(9.2)	7	(4.3)	
	Discontinued due to chinical progression	5	(1.1)	/	(2.3)	

	+ (rolizumab CCRTª		cebo + CRT ^a
				=281
Category	n		n	(%)
	1		0	(0.0)
Discontinued due to excluded medication	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
Discontinued due to non-compliance with study	3	(1.1)	0	(0.0)
drug				
Discontinued due to physician decision	5	(1.8)	4	(1.4)
	0	(0.0)	4	(1.4)
				(4.3)
				(32.4)
	-			(17.1)
				(0.4)
-				(0.0)
				(33.1)
-				(17.8)
	22	(47.8)	50	(53.8)
-	60	(22.1)	13	(15.3)
-				(6.4)
	-			(8.9)
				(66.9)
				(3.9)
	3			(2.5)
	1			(0.0)
Discontinued due to excluded medication	1		0	(0.0)
Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy	1		0	(0.0)
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	3	(1.1)	0	(0.0)
drug				
Discontinued due to physician decision	5	(1.8)	2	(0.7)
Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	4	(1.4)
Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	7	(2.6)	12	(4.3)
Discontinued due to radiographic progression	51	(18.8)	91	(32.4)
	43	(15.8)	48	(17.1)
Visit not scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
-	15	(5.5)	13	(4.6)
	88			(24.2)
*				(21.0)
	69	(78.4)	59	(86.8)
-	10	(7.0)	0	(2, 2)
-				(3.2)
				(0.0)
				(3.2)
Missing by Design Discontinued due to adverse event	184 22	(67.6) (8.1)	213 12	(75.8) (4.3)
	Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy Discontinued due to non-compliance with study drug Discontinued due to physician decision Discontinued due to progressive disease Discontinued due to vatiographic progression Visit not reached Visit not scheduled Completed treatment and no visit scheduled Expected to Complete Questionnaires Completed Completed Completed (% in those expected to complete questionnaires) Not completed Other With visit, no record Missing by Design Discontinued due to adverse event Discontinued due to clinical progression Discontinued due to lost to follow-up Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy Discontinued due to physician decision Discontinued due to physician decision Discontinued due to progressive disease Discontinued due to vithdrawal by subject Discontinued due to vatiographic progression Visit not reached	CategorynDiscontinued due to lost to follow-up1Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy1Discontinued due to non-compliance with study3drug3Discontinued due to physician decision5Discontinued due to progressive disease0Discontinued due to radiographic progression51Visit not reached43Visit not scheduled0Completed treatment and no visit scheduled0Expected to Complete Questionnaires115Completed55Completed (% in those expected to complete55Other13With visit, no record47Mising by Design157Discontinued due to adverse event27Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy1Discontinued due to progressive disease0Other13With visit, no record47Miscontinued due to clinical progression31Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy1Discontinued due to physician decision1Discontinued due to progressive disease0Discontinued due to vithdrawal by subject7Discontinued due to vithdrawal by subject7Discontinued due to vithdrawal b	Discontinued due to lost to follow-up1(0.4)Discontinued due to excluded medication1(0.4)Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy1(0.4)Discontinued due to non-compliance with study drug3(1.1)Discontinued due to physician decision5(1.8)Discontinued due to progressive disease0(0.0)Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject9(3.3)Discontinued due to radiographic progression51(18.8)Visit not reached43(15.8)Visit not scheduled0(0.0)Completed treatment and no visit scheduled0(0.0)Expected to Complete Questionnaires115(42.3)Completed55(20.2)Complated60(22.1)Other13(4.8)With visit, no record47(17.3)Discontinued due to adverse event27(9.9)Discontinued due to adverse event27(9.9)Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy1(0.4)Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy1(0.4)Discontinued due to progressive disease0(0.0)Discontinued due to radiographic progression51(18.8)Visit not reached43(15.8)Visit not reached43(1.1)Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy1Discontinued due to radiographic progression51(1.8)Discontinued due to progressive disease0	Category n (%) n Discontinued due to lost to follow-up 1 (0.4) 0 Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy 1 (0.4) 0 Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy 1 (0.4) 0 Discontinued due to non-compliance with study 3 (1.1) 0 drug Discontinued due to progressive disease 0 (0.0) 4 Discontinued due to radiographic progression 51 (18.8) 91 Visit not reached 43 (15.8) 48 Visit not scheduled 0 (0.0) 1 Completed to Complete Questionnaires 115 (42.3) 93 Completed 55 (20.2) 50 50 Completed 55 (20.2) 50 50 Completed 13 (4.8) 18 With visit, no record 47 (17.3) 25 Missing by Design 157 (57.7) 188 Discontinued due to alverse event 27

		Pemb	rolizumab	Pla	cebo +
		+ (CCRT ^a	CCRT ^a	
		N	I=272	N	=281
Treatment Visit	Category	n	(%)	n	(%)
Week 126	Discontinued due to clinical progression	3	(1.1)	7	(2.5)
	Discontinued due to lost to follow-up	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to excluded medication	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-compliance with study	3	(1.1)	0	(0.0)
	drug				
	Discontinued due to physician decision	5	(1.8)	4	(1.4)
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	4	(1.4)
	Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	9	(3.3)	12	(4.3)
	Discontinued due to radiographic progression	51	(18.8)	90	(32.0)
	Visit not reached	46	(16.9)	50	(17.8)
	Visit not scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	42	(15.4)	34	(12.1)
Week 138	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	17	(6.3)	11	(3.9)
	Completed	13	(4.8)	7	(2.5)
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete questionnaires)	13	(76.5)	7	(63.6)
	Not completed	4	(1.5)	4	(1.4)
	Other	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	With visit, no record	3	(1.1)	4	(1.4)
	Missing by Design	255	(93.8)	270	(96.1)
	Discontinued due to adverse event	28	(10.3)	14	(5.0)
	Discontinued due to clinical progression	3	(1.1)	7	(2.5)
	Discontinued due to lost to follow-up	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to excluded medication	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-compliance with study drug	3	(1.1)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to physician decision	5	(1.8)	4	(1.4)
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	4	(1.4)
	Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	9	(3.3)	12	(4.3)
	Discontinued due to radiographic progression	51	(18.8)	91	(32.4)
	Visit not reached	47	(17.3)	51	(18.1)
	Visit not scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	106	(39.0)	87	(31.0)
Week 150	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	35	(12.9)	31	(11.0)
	Completed	34	(12.5)	31	(11.0)
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete	34	(97.1)	31	(100.0)
	questionnaires)			~	
	Not completed	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Other	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	With visit, no record	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
1	Missing by Design	237	(87.1)	250	(89.0)

		+ (rolizumab CCRT ^a =272	C	cebo + CRT ^a =281
Treatment Visit	Category	n	(%)	n	(%)
Week 150	Discontinued due to adverse event	27	(9.9)	12	(4.3)
WCCK 150	Discontinued due to adverse event	3	(1.1)	7	(4.5) (2.5)
	Discontinued due to enhear progression Discontinued due to lost to follow-up	1	(0.4)	0	(2.5) (0.0)
	Discontinued due to lost to follow-up Discontinued due to excluded medication	1	(0.4) (0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to excluded medication Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy	1	(0.4) (0.4)	0	(0.0) (0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancel ulerapy Discontinued due to non-compliance with study	3	(0.4) (1.1)	0	(0.0)
	drug	3	(1.1)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to physician decision	6	(2.2)	4	(1.4)
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	4	(1.4)
	Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	9	(3.3)	12	(4.3)
	Discontinued due to radiographic progression	51	(18.8)	91	(32.4)
	Visit not reached	47	(17.3)	51	(18.1)
	Visit not scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	88	(32.4)	69	(24.6)
Week 162	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	1	(0.4)	2	(0.7)
	Completed	1	(0.4)	2	(0.7)
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete questionnaires)	1	(100.0)	2	(100.0
	Not completed	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Other	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	With visit, no record	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Missing by Design	271	(0.0) (99.6)	279	(99.3)
	Discontinued due to adverse event	29	(10.7)	14	(5.0)
	Discontinued due to davorse event	3	(1.1)	7	(2.5)
	Discontinued due to lost to follow-up	1	(0.4)	0	(2.0) (0.0)
	Discontinued due to excluded medication	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to constudy anti-cancer therapy	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-compliance with study	3	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	drug	5	(1.1)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to physician decision	6	(2.2)	4	(1.4)
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	4	(1.4)
	Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	9	(3.3)	12	(4.3)
	Discontinued due to radiographic progression	51	(18.8)	91	(32.4)
	Visit not reached	47	(17.3)	51	(18.1)
	Visit not scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	120	(44.1)	96	(34.2)

Expected to complete questionnaire includes all subjects who do not have missing data due to a missing by design reason.

Compliance is the proportion of subjects who completed the PRO questionnaire among those who are **expected to complete the questionnaire** at this time point, excluding those missing by design. All the other categories are defined as the proportion of subjects in the analysis population (N).

Missing by design includes: death, disease progression, other discontinuations (reasons may include unacceptable AEs, withdrawal of consent, intercurrent illness that prevents further administration of treatment, investigator's decision to discontinue the participant, noncompliance with study treatment or

		Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^a	Placebo + CCRT ^a
		N=272	N=281
Treatment Visit	Category	n (%)	n (%)
procedure requarized available	irements or administrative reasons requiring cessation	on of treatment), a	nd translation not
brachytherapy	hemoradiotherapy [Treatment with cisplatin and b] F Date: 08JAN2024	radiotherapy (EB	RT followed by

Anhang 4-G1.3: Rücklaufquoten des PGI-C

			rolizumab CCRTª	Placebo + CCRT ^a	
		N	V=254	N	=265
Treatment Visit	Category	n	(%)	n	(%)
Week 9	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	252	(99.2)	264	(99.6)
	Completed	251	(98.8)	260	(98.1)
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete questionnaires)	251	(99.6)	260	(98.5)
	Not completed	1	(0.4)	4	(1.5)
	Other	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	With visit, no record	1	(0.4)	4	(1.5)
	Missing by Design	2	(0.8)	1	(0.4)
	Discontinued due to adverse event	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to clinical progression	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to physician decision	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to radiographic progression	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Visit not scheduled	2	(0.8)	1	(0.4)
Week 24	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	224	(88.2)	228	(86.0)
	Completed	212	(83.5)	221	(83.4
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete questionnaires)	212	(94.6)	221	(96.9)
	Not completed	12	(4.7)	7	(2.6)
	Other	2	(0.8)	0	(0.0)
	With visit, no record	10	(3.9)	7	(2.6)
	Missing by Design	30	(11.8)	37	(14.0
	Discontinued due to adverse event	8	(3.1)	2	(0.8)
	Discontinued due to clinical progression	0	(0.0)	1	(0.4)
	Discontinued due to physician decision	2	(0.8)	1	(0.4)
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	2	(0.8)
	Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	1	(0.4)	1	(0.4)
	Discontinued due to radiographic progression	13	(5.1)	19	(7.2)
	Visit not scheduled	6	(2.4)	11	(4.2)
Week 30	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	205	(80.7)	215	(81.1)
	Completed	181	(71.3)	184	(69.4)
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete questionnaires)	181	(88.3)	184	(85.6)
	Not completed	24	(9.4)	31	(11.7)
	Other	7	(2.8)	4	(1.5)
	With visit, no record	17	(6.7)	27	(10.2)
	Missing by Design	49	(19.3)	50	(18.9)
	Discontinued due to adverse event	11	(4.3)	2	(0.8)
	Discontinued due to clinical progression	1	(0.4)	2	(0.8)
	Discontinued due to physician decision	3	(1.2)	1	(0.4)

Tabelle 4G-3: Gründe für das Fehlen von Werten im PGI-C

			orolizumab CCRTª		icebo + CRT ^a
			N=254	N=265	
Treatment Visit	Category	n	(%)	n	(%)
Week 30	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	2	(0.8)
	Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	1	(0.4)	2	(0.8)
	Discontinued due to radiographic progression	21	(8.3)	30	(11.3)
	Visit not scheduled	12	(4.7)	11	(4.2)
Week 42	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	193	(76.0)	190	(71.7)
	Completed	178	(70.1)	183	(69.1)
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete	178	(92.2)	183	(96.3)
	questionnaires)				
	Not completed	15	(5.9)	7	(2.6)
	Other	3	(1.2)	0	(0.0)
	With visit, no record	12	(4.7)	7	(2.6)
	Missing by Design	61	(24.0)	75	(28.3)
	Discontinued due to adverse event	15	(5.9)	3	(1.1)
	Discontinued due to clinical progression	2	(0.8)	3	(1.1)
	Discontinued due to physician decision	3	(1.2)	3	(1.1)
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	2	(0.8)
	Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	2	(0.8)	4	(1.5)
	Discontinued due to radiographic progression	29	(11.4)	55	(20.8)
	Visit not scheduled	10	(3.9)	5	(1.9)
Week 48	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	192	(75.6)	179	(67.5)
	Completed	183	(72.0)	169	(63.8)
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete questionnaires)	183	(95.3)	169	(94.4)
	Not completed	9	(3.5)	10	(3.8)
	Other	3	(1.2)	3	(1.1)
	With visit, no record	6	(2.4)	7	(2.6)
	Missing by Design	62	(24.4)	86	(32.5)
	Discontinued due to adverse event	17	(6.7)	4	(1.5)
	Discontinued due to clinical progression	2	(0.8)	3	(1.1)
	Discontinued due to physician decision	4	(1.6)	3	(1.1)
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	3	(1.1)
	Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	2	(0.8)	4	(1.5)
	Discontinued due to radiographic progression	33	(13.0)	62	(23.4)
	Visit not scheduled	4	(1.6)	7	(2.6)
Week 54	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	188	(74.0)	179	(67.5)
	Completed	169	(66.5)	158	(59.6)
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete questionnaires)	169	(89.9)	158	(88.3)
	Not completed	19	(7.5)	21	(7.9)
	Other	5	(2.0)	1	(0.4)
	With visit, no record	14	(5.5)	20	(7.5)
	Missing by Design	66	(26.0)	86	(32.5)
	Discontinued due to adverse event	17	(6.7)	5	(1.9)

		Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^a N=254		Placebo + CCRT ^a N=265	
Treatment Visit	Category	n	(%)	n	(%)
Week 54	Discontinued due to clinical progression	2	(0.8)	3	(1.1)
	Discontinued due to physician decision	4	(1.6)	3	(1.1)
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	3	(1.1)
	Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	2	(0.8)	4	(1.5)
	Discontinued due to radiographic progression	37	(14.6)	66	(24.9)
	Visit not scheduled	4	(1.6)	2	(0.8)

Expected to complete questionnaire includes all subjects who do not have missing data due to a missing by design reason.

Compliance is the proportion of subjects who completed the PRO questionnaire among those who are **expected to complete the questionnaire** at this time point, excluding those missing by design. All the other categories are defined as the proportion of subjects in the analysis population (N).

Missing by design includes: death, disease progression, other discontinuations (reasons may include unacceptable AEs, withdrawal of consent, intercurrent illness that prevents further administration of treatment, investigator's decision to discontinue the participant, noncompliance with study treatment or procedure requirements or administrative reasons requiring cessation of treatment), and translation not available

a: CCRT is Chemoradiotherapy [Treatment with cisplatin and radiotherapy (EBRT followed by brachytherapy)]

Database Cutoff Date: 08JAN2024

Anhang 4-G1.4: Rücklaufquoten des PGI-S

			rolizumab CCRTª		icebo + CRT ^a
		N	I=272	N	=281
Treatment Visit	Category	n	(%)	n	(%)
Baseline	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	265	(97.4)	274	(97.5)
	Completed	259	(95.2)	267	(95.0)
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete questionnaires)	259	(97.7)	267	(97.4)
	Not completed	6	(2.2)	7	(2.5)
	Other	1	(0.4)	2	(0.7)
	With visit, no record	5	(1.8)	5	(1.8)
	Missing by Design	7	(2.6)	7	(2.5)
	Discontinued due to adverse event	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to clinical progression	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to lost to follow-up	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to excluded medication	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-compliance with study drug	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to physician decision	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to radiographic progression	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Visit not reached	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Visit not scheduled	7	(2.6)	7	(2.5)
	Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
Week 3	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	260	(95.6)	266	(94.7)
	Completed	250	(91.9)	262	(93.2)
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete questionnaires)	250	(96.2)	262	(98.5)
	Not completed	10	(3.7)	4	(1.4)
	Other	1	(0.4)	1	(0.4)
	With visit, no record	9	(3.3)	3	(1.1)
	Missing by Design	12	(4.4)	15	(5.3)
	Discontinued due to adverse event	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to clinical progression	0	(0.0)	1	(0.4)
	Discontinued due to lost to follow-up	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to excluded medication	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-compliance with study drug	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to physician decision	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	0	(0.0)	1	(0.4)
	Discontinued due to radiographic progression	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)

Tabelle 4G-4: Gründe für das Fehlen von Werten im PGI-S

		Pemb	rolizumab	Placebo +	
			CCRT ^a		CRT ^a
			N=272	N=281	
Treatment Visit	Category	n	(%)	n	(%)
Week 3	Visit not reached	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Visit not scheduled	12	(4.4)	13	(4.6)
	Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
Week 6	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	252	(92.6)	252	(89.7)
	Completed	236	(86.8)	243	(86.5)
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete	236	(93.7)	243	(96.4)
	questionnaires)				
	Not completed	16	(5.9)	9	(3.2)
	Other	4	(1.5)	5	(1.8)
	With visit, no record	12	(4.4)	4	(1.4)
	Missing by Design	20	(7.4)	29	(10.3)
	Discontinued due to adverse event	2	(0.7)	3	(1.1)
	Discontinued due to clinical progression	0	(0.0)	1	(0.4)
	Discontinued due to lost to follow-up	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to excluded medication	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-compliance with study drug	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to physician decision	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	1	(0.4)	2	(0.7)
	Discontinued due to radiographic progression	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Visit not reached	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Visit not scheduled	16	(5.9)	23	(8.2)
	Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
Week 9	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	246	(90.4)	259	(92.2)
	Completed	237	(87.1)	254	(90.4)
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete	237	(96.3)	254	(98.1)
	questionnaires) Not completed	9	(3.3)	5	(1.8)
	Other	5	(1.8)	3	(1.8) (1.1)
	With visit, no record	4	(1.8) (1.5)	2	(1.1) (0.7)
	Missing by Design	26	(1 .5) (9.6)	22	(0.7) (7.8)
	Discontinued due to adverse event	3	(1.1)	3	(1.1)
	Discontinued due to adverse event	1	(0.4)	2	(0.7)
	Discontinued due to enhear progression Discontinued due to lost to follow-up	0	(0.4) (0.0)	0	(0.7)
	Discontinued due to lost to follow-up Discontinued due to excluded medication	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to excluded medication Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy	1	(0.0) (0.4)	0	(0.0) (0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-compliance with study	1	(0.4) (0.4)	0	(0.0) (0.0)
	drug				
	Discontinued due to physician decision	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
l	Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	2	(0.7)	3	(1.1)

			rolizumab CCRTª		cebo + CRT ^a
		N	[=272	N=281	
Treatment Visit		n	(%)	n	(%)
Week 9	Discontinued due to radiographic progression	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Visit not reached	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Visit not scheduled	16	(5.9)	14	(5.0)
	Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
Week 12	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	249	(91.5)	255	(90.7)
	Completed	239	(87.9)	248	(88.3)
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete questionnaires)	239	(96.0)	248	(97.3)
	Not completed	10	(3.7)	7	(2.5)
	Other	5	(1.8)	0	(0.0)
	With visit, no record	5	(1.8)	7	(2.5)
	Missing by Design	23	(8.5)	26	(9.3)
	Discontinued due to adverse event	5	(1.8)	4	(1.4)
	Discontinued due to clinical progression	1	(0.4)	3	(1.1)
	Discontinued due to lost to follow-up	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to excluded medication	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-compliance with study drug	2	(0.7)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to physician decision	1	(0.4)	1	(0.4)
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	2	(0.7)	3	(1.1)
	Discontinued due to radiographic progression	3	(1.1)	3	(1.1)
	Visit not reached	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Visit not scheduled	8	(2.9)	12	(4.3)
	Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
Week 18	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	252	(92.6)	261	(92.9)
	Completed	247	(90.8)	259	(92.2)
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete questionnaires)	247	(98.0)	259	(99.2)
	Not completed	5	(1.8)	2	(0.7)
	Other	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	With visit, no record	5	(1.8)	2	(0.7)
	Missing by Design	20	(7.4)	20	(7.1)
	Discontinued due to adverse event	3	(1.1)	5	(1.8)
	Discontinued due to clinical progression	1	(0.4)	3	(1.0) (1.1)
	Discontinued due to lost to follow-up	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to rest to ronow up Discontinued due to excluded medication	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to one-study anti-cancer therapy	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-compliance with study drug	3	(1.1)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to physician decision	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)

		Pemb	orolizumab			
		+ CCRT ^a		CCRT ^a		
		N	N=272	N=281		
Treatment Visit	Category	n	(%)	n	(%)	
Week 18	Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	3	(1.1)	4	(1.4)	
	Discontinued due to radiographic progression	4	(1.5)	3	(1.1)	
	Visit not reached	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)	
	Visit not scheduled	4	(1.5)	5	(1.8)	
	Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)	
Week 24	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	230	(84.6)	240	(85.4)	
	Completed	216	(79.4)	234	(83.3)	
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete	216	(93.9)	234	(97.5)	
	questionnaires)					
	Not completed	14	(5.1)	6	(2.1)	
	Other	7	(2.6)	1	(0.4)	
	With visit, no record	7	(2.6)	5	(1.8)	
	Missing by Design	42	(15.4)	41	(14.6)	
	Discontinued due to adverse event	11	(4.0)	6	(2.1)	
	Discontinued due to clinical progression	2	(0.7)	4	(1.4)	
	Discontinued due to lost to follow-up	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)	
	Discontinued due to excluded medication	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)	
	Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)	
	Discontinued due to non-compliance with study drug	3	(1.1)	0	(0.0)	
	Discontinued due to physician decision	3	(1.1)	2	(0.7)	
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	1	(0.4)	
	Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	3	(1.1)	6	(2.1)	
	Discontinued due to radiographic progression	10	(3.7)	12	(4.3)	
	Visit not reached	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)	
	Visit not scheduled	9	(3.3)	10	(3.6)	
	Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)	
Week 30	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	220	(80.9)	221	(78.6)	
	Completed	207	(76.1)	210	(74.7)	
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete questionnaires)	207	(94.1)	210	(95.0)	
	Not completed	13	(4.8)	11	(3.9)	
	Other	8	(2.9)	4	(1.4)	
	With visit, no record	5	(1.8)	7	(2.5)	
	Missing by Design	52	(19.1)	60	(21.4)	
	Discontinued due to adverse event	8	(2.9)	5	(1.8)	
	Discontinued due to clinical progression	2	(0.7)	5	(1.8)	
	Discontinued due to lost to follow-up	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)	
	Discontinued due to excluded medication	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)	
	Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)	
	Discontinued due to non-compliance with study drug	3	(1.1)	0	(0.0)	
	Discontinued due to physician decision	4	(1.5)	1	(0.4)	

			rolizumab		icebo +
			$+ CCRT^{a}$		
			N=272		1=281
Treatment Visit		n	(%)	n	(%)
Week 30	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	2	(0.7)
	Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	2	(0.7)	7	(2.5)
	Discontinued due to radiographic progression	21	(7.7)	28	(10.0)
	Visit not reached	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Visit not scheduled	11	(4.0)	12	(4.3)
W 1.26	Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
Week 36	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	212	(77 . 9)	209	(74.4)
	Completed	200	(73.5)	197	(70.1)
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete questionnaires)	200	(94.3)	197	(94.3)
	Not completed	12	(4.4)	12	(4.3)
	Other	5	(1.8)	5	(1.8)
	With visit, no record	7	(2.6)	7	(2.5)
	Missing by Design	60	(22.1)	72	(25.6)
	Discontinued due to adverse event	16	(5.9)	6	(2.1)
	Discontinued due to clinical progression	2	(0.7)	6	(2.1)
	Discontinued due to lost to follow-up	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to excluded medication	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-compliance with study drug	3	(1.1)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to physician decision	4	(1.5)	3	(1.1)
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	2	(0.7)
	Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	3	(1.1)	9	(3.2)
	Discontinued due to radiographic progression	25	(9.2)	41	(14.6)
	Visit not reached	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Visit not scheduled	6	(2.2)	5	(1.8)
	Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
Week 42	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	210	(77.2)	192	(68.3)
	Completed	197	(72.4)	182	(64.8)
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete questionnaires)	197	(93.8)	182	(94.8)
	Not completed	13	(4.8)	10	(3.6)
	Other	8	(2.9)	3	(1.1)
	With visit, no record	5	(1.8)	7	(2.5)
	Missing by Design	62	(22.8)	89	(31.7)
	Discontinued due to adverse event	11	(4.0)	7	(2.5)
	Discontinued due to clinical progression	3	(1.1)	6	(2.1)
	Discontinued due to lost to follow-up	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to excluded medication	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-compliance with study drug	3	(1.1)	0	(0.0)

			rolizumab CCRTª		cebo + CRT ^a	
		N	I=272	N=281		
Treatment Visit	Category	n	(%)	n	(%)	
Week 42	Discontinued due to physician decision	4	(1.5)	3	(1.1)	
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	3	(1.1)	
	Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	5	(1.8)	9	(3.2)	
	Discontinued due to radiographic progression	28	(10.3)	52	(18.5)	
	Visit not reached	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)	
	Visit not scheduled	7	(2.6)	9	(3.2)	
	Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)	
Week 48	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	200	(73.5)	187	(66.5)	
	Completed	189	(69.5)	178	(63.3	
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete	189	(94.5)	178	(95.2)	
	questionnaires)					
	Not completed	11	(4.0)	9	(3.2)	
	Other	3	(1.1)	3	(1.1)	
	With visit, no record	8	(2.9)	6	(2.1)	
	Missing by Design	72	(26.5)	94	(33.5	
	Discontinued due to adverse event	20	(7.4)	7	(2.5)	
	Discontinued due to clinical progression	3	(1.1)	6	(2.1)	
	Discontinued due to lost to follow-up	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)	
	Discontinued due to excluded medication	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)	
	Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)	
	Discontinued due to non-compliance with study drug	3	(1.1)	0	(0.0)	
	Discontinued due to physician decision	5	(1.8)	3	(1.1)	
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	2	(0.7)	
	Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	4	(1.5)	9	(3.2)	
	Discontinued due to radiographic progression	33	(12.1)	62	(22.1	
	Visit not reached	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)	
	Visit not scheduled	3	(1.1)	5	(1.8)	
	Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)	
Week 54	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	200	(73.5)	173	(61.6	
	Completed	189	(69.5)	165	(58.7	
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete questionnaires)	189	(94.5)	165	(95.4	
	Not completed	11	(4.0)	8	(2.8)	
	Other	5	(1.8)	4	(1.4)	
	With visit, no record	6	(2.2)	4	(1.4)	
	Missing by Design	72	(26.5)	108	(38.4	
	Discontinued due to adverse event	13	(4.8)	9	(3.2)	
	Discontinued due to clinical progression	3	(1.1)	6	(2.1)	
	Discontinued due to lost to follow-up	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)	
	Discontinued due to excluded medication	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)	
	Discontinued due to one-study anti-cancer therapy	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)	
	Discontinued due to non-compliance with study	3	(1.1)	0	(0.0)	

			rolizumab			
			CCRT ^a	CCRT ^a N=281		
Treaster and Misit	Catalogue		N=272			
Treatment Visit		n	(%)	n	(%)	
Week 54	drug Discontinued due to physician decision	4	(1.5)	4	(1.4)	
WEEK J4	Discontinued due to progressive disease	4	(1.3) (0.0)	3	(1.4) (1.1)	
		5				
	Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	38	(1.8)	9 68	(3.2)	
	Discontinued due to radiographic progression Visit not reached	- 38 - 0	(14.0)		(24.2)	
	Visit not scheduled	5	(0.0)	0	(0.0)	
			(1.8)	9	(3.2)	
W. 1 (0	Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)	
Week 60	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	191	(70.2)	175	(62.3)	
	Completed	177	(65.1)	165	(58.7)	
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete questionnaires)	177	(92.7)	165	(94.3)	
	Not completed	14	(5.1)	10	(3.6)	
	Other	7	(2.6)	3	(3.0) (1.1)	
	With visit, no record	7	(2.6)	7	(1.1) (2.5)	
	Missing by Design	81	(2.0) (29.8)	106	(37.7)	
	Discontinued due to adverse event	18	(6.6)	6	(37.7) (2.1)	
	Discontinued due to adverse event	3	(0.0)	6	(2.1)	
	Discontinued due to enhear progression Discontinued due to lost to follow-up	0	(0.0)	0	(2.1) (0.0)	
	Discontinued due to tost to follow-up Discontinued due to excluded medication	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)	
	Discontinued due to excluded incurcation Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy	1	(0.0)	0	(0.0)	
	Discontinued due to non-study and-cancel inerapy Discontinued due to non-compliance with study	3	(0.4)	0	(0.0)	
	drug	5	(1.1)	U	(0.0)	
	Discontinued due to physician decision	4	(1.5)	3	(1.1)	
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	3	(1.1)	
	Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	5	(1.8)	9	(3.2)	
	Discontinued due to radiographic progression	39	(14.3)	68	(24.2)	
	Visit not reached	4	(1.5)	4	(1.4)	
	Visit not scheduled	4	(1.5)	7	(2.5)	
	Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)	
Week 66	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	179	(65.8)	160	(56.9)	
	Completed	161	(59.2)	149	(53.0)	
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete	161	(89.9)	149	(93.1)	
	questionnaires) Not completed	18	(6.6)	11	(3.9)	
	Other	8	(0.0)	8	(2.8)	
	With visit, no record	10	(3.7)	3	(2.8) (1.1)	
	Missing by Design	93	(3.7) (34.2)	121	(1.1) (43.1)	
	Discontinued due to adverse event	16	(5.9)	121	(43.1)	
	Discontinued due to adverse event Discontinued due to clinical progression	3	(1.1)	6	(3.0)	
	Discontinued due to crimical progression Discontinued due to lost to follow-up	0	(1.1) (0.0)	0	(2.1) (0.0)	
	Discontinued due to lost to follow-up Discontinued due to excluded medication	0	(0.0) (0.0)	0	(0.0) (0.0)	
	Discontinued due to excluded medication Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy		(0.0) (0.4)		(0.0) (0.0)	
	Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)	

		Pemb	rolizumab	Pla	icebo +
			CCRT ^a	CCRT ^a	
		N	J=272	N	=281
Treatment Visit	Category	n	(%)	n	(%)
Week 66	Discontinued due to non-compliance with study	3	(1.1)	0	(0.0)
	drug				
	Discontinued due to physician decision	4	(1.5)	4	(1.4)
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	3	(1.1)
	Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	7	(2.6)	10	(3.6)
	Discontinued due to radiographic progression	42	(15.4)	73	(26.0)
	Visit not reached	11	(4.0)	15	(5.3)
	Visit not scheduled	6	(2.2)	0	(0.0)
	Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
Week 72	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	170	(62.5)	151	(53.7)
	Completed	151	(55.5)	143	(50.9)
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete	151	(88.8)	143	(94.7)
	questionnaires)			_	
	Not completed	19	(7.0)	8	(2.8)
	Other	7	(2.6)	5	(1.8)
	With visit, no record	12	(4.4)	3	(1.1)
	Missing by Design	102	(37.5)	130	(46.3)
	Discontinued due to adverse event	23	(8.5)	10	(3.6)
	Discontinued due to clinical progression	3	(1.1)	6	(2.1)
	Discontinued due to lost to follow-up	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to excluded medication	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-compliance with study drug	3	(1.1)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to physician decision	5	(1.8)	3	(1.1)
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	4	(1.4)
	Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	7	(2.6)	10	(3.6)
	Discontinued due to radiographic progression	42	(15.4)	74	(26.3)
	Visit not reached	17	(6.3)	21	(7.5)
	Visit not scheduled	1	(0.4)	2	(0.7)
	Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
Week 78	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	161	(59.2)	137	(48.8)
	Completed	145	(53.3)	130	(46.3)
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete	145	(90.1)	130	(94.9)
	questionnaires)				
	Not completed	16	(5.9)	7	(2.5)
	Other	8	(2.9)	4	(1.4)
	With visit, no record	8	(2.9)	3	(1.1)
	Missing by Design	111	(40.8)	144	(51.2)
	Discontinued due to adverse event	21	(7.7)	10	(3.6)
	Discontinued due to clinical progression	3	(1.1)	7	(2.5)
	Discontinued due to lost to follow-up	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to excluded medication	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)

			rolizumab CCRTª		icebo + CRT ^a
			J=272		[=281
Treatment Visit	Category	n	(%)	n	(%)
Week 78	Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-compliance with study	3	(1.1)	0	(0.0)
	drug		. ,		
	Discontinued due to physician decision	5	(1.8)	3	(1.1)
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	4	(1.4)
	Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	6	(2.2)	10	(3.6)
	Discontinued due to radiographic progression	46	(16.9)	82	(29.2)
	Visit not reached	25	(9.2)	28	(10.0)
	Visit not scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
Week 84	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	152	(55.9)	124	(44.1)
	Completed	141	(51.8)	115	(40.9)
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete questionnaires)	141	(92.8)	115	(92.7)
	Not completed	11	(4.0)	9	(3.2)
	Other	4	(1.5)	3	(1.1)
	With visit, no record	7	(2.6)	6	(2.1)
	Missing by Design	120	(44.1)	157	(55.9)
	Discontinued due to adverse event	26	(9.6)	12	(4.3)
	Discontinued due to clinical progression	3	(1.1)	7	(2.5)
	Discontinued due to lost to follow-up	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to excluded medication	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-compliance with study drug	3	(1.1)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to physician decision	5	(1.8)	4	(1.4)
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	4	(1.4)
	Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	7	(2.6)	11	(3.9)
	Discontinued due to radiographic progression	46	(16.9)	83	(29.5)
	Visit not reached	29	(10.7)	35	(12.5)
	Visit not scheduled	0	(0.0)	1	(0.4)
	Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
Week 90	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	149	(54.8)	115	(40.9)
	Completed	139	(51.1)	109	(38.8)
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete questionnaires)	139	(93.3)	109	(94.8)
	Not completed	10	(3.7)	6	(2.1)
	Other	7	(2.6)	4	(1.4)
	With visit, no record	3	(1.1)	2	(0.7)
	Missing by Design	123	(45.2)	166	(59.1)
	Discontinued due to adverse event	21	(7.7)	11	(3.9)
	Discontinued due to clinical progression	3	(1.1)	7	(2.5)
	Discontinued due to lost to follow-up	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)

		Pemb	rolizumab	Pla	icebo +
			CCRT ^a	CCRT ^a	
		N	N=272		I=281
Treatment Visit	Category	n	(%)	n	(%)
Week 90	Discontinued due to excluded medication	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-compliance with study	3	(1.1)	0	(0.0)
	drug				
	Discontinued due to physician decision	5	(1.8)	4	(1.4)
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	4	(1.4)
	Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	6	(2.2)	12	(4.3)
	Discontinued due to radiographic progression	49	(18.0)	88	(31.3)
	Visit not reached	32	(11.8)	39	(13.9)
	Visit not scheduled	1	(0.4)	1	(0.4)
	Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
Week 96	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	131	(48.2)	105	(37.4)
	Completed	125	(46.0)	95	(33.8)
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete	125	(95.4)	95	(90.5)
	questionnaires)				
	Not completed	6	(2.2)	10	(3.6)
	Other	2	(0.7)	6	(2.1)
	With visit, no record	4	(1.5)	4	(1.4)
	Missing by Design	141	(51.8)	176	(62.6)
	Discontinued due to adverse event	29	(10.7)	13	(4.6)
	Discontinued due to clinical progression	3	(1.1)	7	(2.5)
	Discontinued due to lost to follow-up	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to excluded medication	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-compliance with study drug	3	(1.1)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to physician decision	6	(2.2)	3	(1.1)
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	4	(1.4)
	Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	8	(2.9)	12	(4.3)
	Discontinued due to radiographic progression	50	(18.4)	89	(31.7)
	Visit not reached	39	(14.3)	47	(16.7)
	Visit not scheduled	0	(0.0)	1	(0.4)
	Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
Week 102	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	130	(47.8)	102	(36.3)
	Completed	120	(44.1)	88	(31.3)
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete	120	(92.3)	88	(86.3)
	questionnaires)				
	Not completed	10	(3.7)	14	(5.0)
	Other	2	(0.7)	2	(0.7)
	With visit, no record	8	(2.9)	12	(4.3)
	Missing by Design	142	(52.2)	179	(63.7)
	Discontinued due to adverse event	25	(9.2)	12	(4.3)
	Discontinued due to clinical progression	3	(1.1)	7	(2.5)

			rolizumab CCRTª		cebo + CRT ^a
			=272		I=281
Treatment Visit	Category	n	(%)	n	(%)
Week 102	Discontinued due to lost to follow-up	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
WCCK 102	Discontinued due to tost to follow-up Discontinued due to excluded medication	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to excluded incurcation Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-study and-cancel dietapy Discontinued due to non-compliance with study	3	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	drug	5	(1.1)	U	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to physician decision	5	(1.8)	4	(1.4)
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	4	(1.4)
	Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	9	(3.3)	12	(4.3)
	Discontinued due to radiographic progression	51	(18.8)	91	(32.4)
	Visit not reached	43	(15.8)	48	(17.1)
	Visit not scheduled	0	(0.0)	1	(0.4)
	Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
Week 114	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	115	(42.3)	93	(33.1)
	Completed	55	(20.2)	50	(17.8)
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete	55	(47.8)	50	(53.8)
	questionnaires)	55	(17.0)	50	(55.0)
	Not completed	60	(22.1)	43	(15.3)
	Other	13	(4.8)	18	(6.4)
	With visit, no record	47	(17.3)	25	(8.9)
	Missing by Design	157	(57.7)	188	(66.9)
	Discontinued due to adverse event	27	(9.9)	11	(3.9)
	Discontinued due to clinical progression	3	(1.1)	7	(2.5)
	Discontinued due to lost to follow-up	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to excluded medication	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-compliance with study	3	(1.1)	0	(0.0)
	drug	-	()	Ŭ	(010)
	Discontinued due to physician decision	5	(1.8)	2	(0.7)
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	4	(1.4)
	Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	7	(2.6)	12	(4.3)
	Discontinued due to radiographic progression	51	(18.8)	91	(32.4)
	Visit not reached	43	(15.8)	48	(17.1)
	Visit not scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	15	(5.5)	13	(4.6)
Week 126	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	88	(32.4)	68	(24.2)
	Completed	69	(25.4)	59	(21.0)
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete questionnaires)	69	(78.4)	59	(86.8)
	Not completed	19	(7.0)	9	(3.2)
	Other	3	(1.1)	0	(0.0)
	With visit, no record	16	(5.9)	9	(3.2)
	Missing by Design	184	(67.6)	213	(75.8)
	Discontinued due to adverse event	22	(8.1)	12	(4.3)

		Damh	rolizumab	Dle	acebo +
			CCRT ^a		CRT ^a
			J=272		I=281
Treatment Visit	Category	n	(%)	n	(%)
Week 126	Discontinued due to clinical progression	3	(1.1)	7	(2.5)
Week 120	Discontinued due to enhear progression Discontinued due to lost to follow-up	1	(0.4)	0	(2.3) (0.0)
	Discontinued due to lost to follow-up Discontinued due to excluded medication	1	(0.4) (0.4)	0	(0.0) (0.0)
	Discontinued due to excluded medication Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy	1	(0.4) (0.4)	0	(0.0) (0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-compliance with study	3	(0.4) (1.1)	0	(0.0) (0.0)
	drug	5	(1.1)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to physician decision	5	(1.8)	4	(1.4)
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	4	(1.4)
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	9	(3.3)	12	(4.3)
	Discontinued due to williardwar by subject Discontinued due to radiographic progression	51	(18.8)	90	(32.0)
	Visit not reached	46	(16.9)	50	(17.8)
	Visit not scheduled	40	(10.9) (0.0)	0	(17.8) (0.0)
	Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	42	(0.0)	34	(0.0) (12.1)
Week 138	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	42 17	(6.3)	11	(12.1) (3.9)
WCCK 158	Completed	13	(4.8)	7	(2.5)
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete	13	(4.8)	7	(63.6)
	questionnaires)	15	(70.3)	/	(03.0)
	Not completed	4	(1.5)	4	(1.4)
	Other	1	(0.4)	0	(1.4) (0.0)
	With visit, no record	3	(0.4)	4	(0.0)
	Missing by Design	255	(93.8)	270	(1. 4) (96.1)
	Discontinued due to adverse event	233	(10.3)	14	(5.0)
	Discontinued due to adverse event	3	(10.3) (1.1)	7	(2.5)
	Discontinued due to lost to follow-up	1	(0.4)	0	(2.5) (0.0)
	Discontinued due to tost to follow-up Discontinued due to excluded medication	1	(0.4) (0.4)	0	(0.0) (0.0)
	Discontinued due to excluded incurcation Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy	1	(0.4) (0.4)	0	(0.0) (0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-compliance with study	3	(0.4) (1.1)	0	(0.0) (0.0)
	drug	5	(1.1)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to physician decision	5	(1.8)	4	(1.4)
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	4	(1.4)
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	9	(3.3)	12	(4.3)
	Discontinued due to whitehawar by subject	51	(18.8)	91	(32.4)
	Visit not reached	47	(17.3)	51	(18.1)
	Visit not scheduled	0	(17.3) (0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	106	(39.0)	87	(0.0)
Week 150	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	35	(12.9)	31	(11.0)
WCCK 150	Completed	33	(12.5)	31	(11.0)
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete	34	(12.3)	31	(11.0) (100.0)
	questionnaires)	54	(97.1)	51	(100.0)
	Not completed	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Other	0	(0.4) (0.0)	0	(0.0)
	With visit, no record	1	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Missing by Design	237	(0.4) (87.1)	250	(0.0) (89.0)
I	missing by Design	251	(07.1)	250	(07.0)

		+ CCRT ^a CC		cebo + CRT ^a =281	
Treatment Visit	Category	n	(%)	n	(%)
Week 150	Discontinued due to adverse event	27	(9.9)	12	(4.3)
	Discontinued due to clinical progression	3	(1.1)	7	(2.5)
	Discontinued due to lost to follow-up	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to excluded medication	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-compliance with study drug	3	(1.1)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to physician decision	6	(2.2)	4	(1.4)
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	4	(1.4)
	Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	9	(3.3)	12	(4.3)
	Discontinued due to radiographic progression	51	(18.8)	91	(32.4)
	Visit not reached	47	(17.3)	51	(18.1)
	Visit not scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	88	(32.4)	69	(24.6)
Week 162	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	1	(0.4)	2	(0.7)
	Completed	1	(0.4)	2	(0.7)
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete questionnaires)	1	(100.0)	2	(100.0
	Not completed	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Other	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	With visit, no record	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Missing by Design	271	(99.6)	279	(99.3)
	Discontinued due to adverse event	29	(10.7)	14	(5.0)
	Discontinued due to clinical progression	3	(1.1)	7	(2.5)
	Discontinued due to lost to follow-up	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to excluded medication	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-compliance with study drug	3	(1.1)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to physician decision	6	(2.2)	4	(1.4)
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	4	(1.4)
	Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	9	(3.3)	12	(4.3)
	Discontinued due to radiographic progression	51	(18.8)	91	(32.4)
	Visit not reached	47	(17.3)	51	(18.1)
	Visit not scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	120	(44.1)	96	(34.2)

Expected to complete questionnaire includes all subjects who do not have missing data due to a missing by design reason.

Compliance is the proportion of subjects who completed the PRO questionnaire among those who are **expected to complete the questionnaire** at this time point, excluding those missing by design. All the other categories are defined as the proportion of subjects in the analysis population (N).

Missing by design includes: death, disease progression, other discontinuations (reasons may include unacceptable AEs, withdrawal of consent, intercurrent illness that prevents further administration of treatment, investigator's decision to discontinue the participant, noncompliance with study treatment or

		Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^a	Placebo + CCRT ^a					
		N=272	N=281					
Treatment Visit	Category	n (%)	n (%)					
procedure requarized available	procedure requirements or administrative reasons requiring cessation of treatment), and translation not available							
brachytherapy	hemoradiotherapy [Treatment with cisplatin and b] F Date: 08JAN2024	radiotherapy (EB	RT followed by					

Anhang 4-G1.5: Rücklaufquoten des EQ-5D VAS

Category Expected to Complete Questionnaires	n N	CCRT ^a I=272 (%)		CRT ^a
Expected to Complete Questionnaires	n		N=281	
Expected to Complete Questionnaires		(%)	n	(%)
	264	(97.1)	274	(97.5)
Completed	258	(94.9)	266	(94.7)
-				(97.1)
questionnaires)		(2007)		(,,
-	6	(2.2)	8	(2.8)
Other	1	(0.4)	2	(0.7)
With visit, no record	5	(1.8)	6	(2.1)
Missing by Design	8	(2.9)	7	(2.5)
Discontinued due to adverse event	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
Discontinued due to clinical progression	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
Discontinued due to lost to follow-up	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
Discontinued due to excluded medication	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
Discontinued due to non-compliance with study	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
drug				
Discontinued due to physician decision	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
Discontinued due to radiographic progression	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
Visit not reached	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
Visit not scheduled	8	(2.9)	7	(2.5)
Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
Expected to Complete Questionnaires	260	(95.6)	265	(94.3
Completed	250	(91.9)	260	(92.5
Compliance (% in those expected to complete	250	(96.2)	260	(98.1
	10		~	(1.0)
•				(1.8)
				(0.4)
				(1.4)
				(5.7)
				(0.0)
			_	(0.4)
_				(0.0)
				(0.0)
				(0.0)
	U	(0.0)	U	(0.0)
-	Ο	(0,0)	Ο	(0.0)
				(0.0) (0.0)
				(0.0) (0.4)
				(0.4) (0.0)
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete questionnaires) Not completed Other With visit, no record Missing by Design Discontinued due to adverse event Discontinued due to clinical progression Discontinued due to clinical progression Discontinued due to lost to follow-up Discontinued due to lost to follow-up Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy Discontinued due to non-compliance with study drug Discontinued due to physician decision Discontinued due to progressive disease Discontinued due to progressive disease Discontinued due to radiographic progression Visit not reached Visit not scheduled Completed treatment and no visit scheduled Expected to Complete Questionnaires Completed	Compliance (% in those expected to complete questionnaires)258Not completed6Other1With visit, no record5Missing by Design8Discontinued due to adverse event0Discontinued due to clinical progression0Discontinued due to excluded medication0Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy0Discontinued due to non-compliance with study drug0Discontinued due to progressive disease0Discontinued due to radiographic progression0Visit not reached0Visit not scheduled8Completed to Complete Questionnaires260Completed250Completed250Completed250Completed10Other1With visit, no record9Missing by Design12Discontinued due to adverse event0Other1With visit, no record9Missing by Design12Discontinued due to adverse event0Discontinued due to adverse event0Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy0Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy0Discontinued due to non-compliance with study0Other10Other10Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy0Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy0Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy0D	Compliance (% in those expected to complete questionnaires)258 (97.7) Not completed6 (2.2) Other1 (0.4) With visit, no record5 (1.8) Missing by Design 8 (2.9) Discontinued due to adverse event0 (0.0) Discontinued due to clinical progression0 (0.0) Discontinued due to excluded medication0 (0.0) Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy Discontinued due to non-compliance with study drug0 (0.0) Discontinued due to physician decision0 (0.0) Discontinued due to progressive disease0 (0.0) Discontinued due to radiographic progression0 (0.0) Visit not reached0 (0.0) Visit not scheduled8 (2.9) Completed treatment and no visit scheduled0 (0.0) Expected to Complete Questionnaires260 (95.6) Completed250 (91.9) Compliance (% in those expected to complete questionnaires)10 (3.7) Other1 (0.4) (0.0) Discontinued due to adverse event0 (0.0) Discontinued due to scluded medication0 (0.0) Discontinued due to adverse event0 (0.0) Discontinued due to adverse event0 (0.0) Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy0 (0.0) Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy0 (0.0) Discontinued due	Compliance (% in those expected to complete questionnaires)258 (97.7) 266Not completed6 (2.2) 8Other1 (0.4) 2With visit, no record5 (1.8) 6Missing by Design8 (2.9) 7Discontinued due to adverse event0 (0.0) 0Discontinued due to clinical progression0 (0.0) 0Discontinued due to lost to follow-up0 (0.0) 0Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy0 (0.0) 0Discontinued due to physician decision0 (0.0) 0Discontinued due to progressive disease0 (0.0) 0Discontinued due to radiographic progression0 (0.0) 0Discontinued due to radiographic progression0 (0.0) 0Visit not reached0 (0.0) 00Visit not reached250 (96.2) 260Completed treatment and no visit scheduled0 (3.7) 5Completed1 (0.4) 11With visit, no record9 (3.3) 4Missing by Design12 (4.4) 16Discontinued due to adverse event0 (0.0) 0Other1 (0.4) 1With visit, no record9 (3.3) 4Missing by Design12 (4.4) 16Discontinued due to adverse event0 (0.0) 0Discontinued due to adve

Tabelle 4G-5: Gründe für das Fehlen von Werten im EQ-5D VAS

			rolizumab		cebo +
		+ CCRT ^a N=272		CCRT ^a N=281	
Treatment Visit	Category		(%)		(%)
Week 3	Visit not reached	n 0	(%)	n 0	(0.0)
WCCK J	Visit not scheduled	12	(0.0)	14	(5.0)
	Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	0	(4.4) (0.0)	0	(0.0)
Week 6	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	252	(0.0) (92.6)	252	(0.0) (89.7)
WEEK 0	Completed	236	(86.8)	242	(86.1)
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete	236	(93.7)	242	(96.0)
	questionnaires)	-00	(2011)		(2010)
	Not completed	16	(5.9)	10	(3.6)
	Other	4	(1.5)	5	(1.8)
	With visit, no record	12	(4.4)	5	(1.8)
	Missing by Design	20	(7.4)	29	(10.3)
	Discontinued due to adverse event	2	(0.7)	3	(1.1)
	Discontinued due to clinical progression	0	(0.0)	1	(0.4)
	Discontinued due to lost to follow-up	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to excluded medication	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-compliance with study drug	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to physician decision	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	1	(0.4)	2	(0.7)
	Discontinued due to radiographic progression	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Visit not reached	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Visit not scheduled	16	(5.9)	23	(8.2)
	Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
Week 9	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	246	(90.4)	259	(92.2)
	Completed	237	(87.1)	253	(90.0)
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete questionnaires)	237	(96.3)	253	(97.7)
	Not completed	9	(3.3)	6	(2.1)
	Other	5	(1.8)	3	(1.1)
	With visit, no record	4	(1.5)	3	(1.1)
	Missing by Design	26	(9.6)	22	(7.8)
	Discontinued due to adverse event	3	(1.1)	3	(1.1)
	Discontinued due to clinical progression	1	(0.4)	2	(0.7)
	Discontinued due to lost to follow-up	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to excluded medication	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-compliance with study drug	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to physician decision	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	2	(0.7)	3	(1.1)

			Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^a		cebo + CRT ^a
		N	V=272	N	I=281
Treatment Visit	Category	n	(%)	n	(%)
Week 9	Discontinued due to radiographic progression	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Visit not reached	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Visit not scheduled	16	(5.9)	14	(5.0)
	Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
Week 12	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	249	(91.5)	256	(91.1)
	Completed	239	(87.9)	249	(88.6)
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete	239	(96.0)	249	(97.3)
	questionnaires)				
	Not completed	10	(3.7)	7	(2.5)
	Other	5	(1.8)	0	(0.0)
	With visit, no record	5	(1.8)	7	(2.5)
	Missing by Design	23	(8.5)	25	(8.9)
	Discontinued due to adverse event	5	(1.8)	4	(1.4)
	Discontinued due to clinical progression	1	(0.4)	3	(1.1)
	Discontinued due to lost to follow-up	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to excluded medication	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-compliance with study	2	(0.7)	0	(0.0)
	drug				
	Discontinued due to physician decision	1	(0.4)	1	(0.4)
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	2	(0.7)	3	(1.1)
	Discontinued due to radiographic progression	3	(1.1)	3	(1.1)
	Visit not reached	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Visit not scheduled	8	(2.9)	11	(3.9)
	Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
Week 18	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	253	(93.0)	261	(92.9)
	Completed	248	(91.2)	259	(92.2)
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete questionnaires)	248	(98.0)	259	(99.2)
	Not completed	5	(1.8)	2	(0.7)
	Other	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	With visit, no record	5	(1.8)	2	(0.7)
	Missing by Design	19	(7.0)	20	(7.1)
	Discontinued due to adverse event	3	(1.1)	5	(1.8)
	Discontinued due to clinical progression	1	(0.4)	3	(1.1)
	Discontinued due to lost to follow-up	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to excluded medication	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-compliance with study drug	2	(0.7)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to physician decision	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)

			orolizumab		icebo +
		+ CCRT ^a		CCRT ^a	
		Ν	N=272	N	I=281
Treatment Visit	Category	n	(%)	n	(%)
Week 18	Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	3	(1.1)	4	(1.4)
	Discontinued due to radiographic progression	4	(1.5)	3	(1.1)
	Visit not reached	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Visit not scheduled	4	(1.5)	5	(1.8)
	Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
Week 24	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	230	(84.6)	240	(85.4)
	Completed	216	(79.4)	234	(83.3)
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete	216	(93.9)	234	(97.5)
	questionnaires)				
	Not completed	14	(5.1)	6	(2.1)
	Other	7	(2.6)	1	(0.4)
	With visit, no record	7	(2.6)	5	(1.8)
	Missing by Design	42	(15.4)	41	(14.6)
	Discontinued due to adverse event	11	(4.0)	6	(2.1)
	Discontinued due to clinical progression	2	(0.7)	4	(1.4)
	Discontinued due to lost to follow-up	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to excluded medication	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-compliance with study drug	3	(1.1)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to physician decision	3	(1.1)	2	(0.7)
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	1	(0.4)
	Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	3	(1.1)	6	(2.1)
	Discontinued due to radiographic progression	10	(3.7)	12	(4.3)
	Visit not reached	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Visit not scheduled	9	(3.3)	10	(3.6)
	Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
Week 30	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	220	(80.9)	221	(78.6)
	Completed	207	(76.1)	208	(74.0)
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete questionnaires)	207	(94.1)	208	(94.1)
	Not completed	13	(4.8)	13	(4.6)
	Other	8	(2.9)	4	(1.4)
	With visit, no record	5	(1.8)	9	(3.2)
	Missing by Design	52	(19.1)	60	(21.4)
	Discontinued due to adverse event	8	(2.9)	5	(1.8)
	Discontinued due to clinical progression	2	(0.7)	5	(1.8)
	Discontinued due to enheat progression Discontinued due to lost to follow-up	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to excluded medication	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to excluded incurcation Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy	1	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-compliance with study drug	3	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to physician decision	4	(1.5)	1	(0.4)

			rolizumab		icebo +
			CCRT ^a		
Transforment Minit	Catagoria		N=272		I=281
Treatment Visit		n	(%)	n	(%)
Week 30	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	2	(0.7)
	Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject		(0.7)		(2.5)
	Discontinued due to radiographic progression Visit not reached	21 0	(7.7)	28	(10.0)
	Visit not reached Visit not scheduled	11	(0.0)	0 12	(0.0) (4.3)
		0	(4.0)	0	
Week 36	Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	212	(0.0) (77.9)	209	(0.0) (74.4)
week 50	Expected to Complete Questionnaires Completed	212	(77.9)	209 197	(74.4) (70.1)
	-	200		197	(94.3)
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete questionnaires)	200	(94.3)	197	(94.3)
	Not completed	12	(4.4)	12	(4.3)
	Other	5	(1.8)	5	(1.8)
	With visit, no record	7	(2.6)	7	(2.5)
	Missing by Design	60	(22.1)	72	(25.6)
	Discontinued due to adverse event	16	(5.9)	6	(2.1)
	Discontinued due to clinical progression	2	(0.7)	6	(2.1)
	Discontinued due to lost to follow-up	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to excluded medication	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-compliance with study	3	(1.1)	0	(0.0)
	drug				. ,
	Discontinued due to physician decision	4	(1.5)	3	(1.1)
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	2	(0.7)
	Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	3	(1.1)	9	(3.2)
	Discontinued due to radiographic progression	25	(9.2)	41	(14.6)
	Visit not reached	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Visit not scheduled	6	(2.2)	5	(1.8)
	Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
Week 42	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	210	(77.2)	192	(68.3)
	Completed	197	(72.4)	182	(64.8)
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete	197	(93.8)	182	(94.8)
	questionnaires)				
	Not completed	13	(4.8)	10	(3.6)
	Other	8	(2.9)	3	(1.1)
	With visit, no record	5	(1.8)	7	(2.5)
	Missing by Design	62	(22.8)	89	(31.7)
	Discontinued due to adverse event	11	(4.0)	7	(2.5)
	Discontinued due to clinical progression	3	(1.1)	6	(2.1)
	Discontinued due to lost to follow-up	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to excluded medication	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-compliance with study drug	3	(1.1)	0	(0.0)

			rolizumab CCRTª		cebo + CRT ^a
		N	V=272	N	I=281
Treatment Visit	Category	n	(%)	n	(%)
Week 42	Discontinued due to physician decision	4	(1.5)	3	(1.1)
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	3	(1.1)
	Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	5	(1.8)	9	(3.2)
	Discontinued due to radiographic progression	28	(10.3)	52	(18.5)
	Visit not reached	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Visit not scheduled	7	(2.6)	9	(3.2)
	Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
Week 48	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	200	(73.5)	187	(66.5)
	Completed	189	(69.5)	178	(63.3)
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete	189	(94.5)	178	(95.2)
	questionnaires)				
	Not completed	11	(4.0)	9	(3.2)
	Other	3	(1.1)	3	(1.1)
	With visit, no record	8	(2.9)	6	(2.1)
	Missing by Design	72	(26.5)	94	(33.5)
	Discontinued due to adverse event	20	(7.4)	7	(2.5)
	Discontinued due to clinical progression	3	(1.1)	6	(2.1)
	Discontinued due to lost to follow-up	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to excluded medication	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-compliance with study	3	(1.1)	0	(0.0)
	drug				
	Discontinued due to physician decision	5	(1.8)	3	(1.1)
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	2	(0.7)
	Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	4	(1.5)	9	(3.2)
	Discontinued due to radiographic progression	33	(12.1)	62	(22.1)
	Visit not reached	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Visit not scheduled	3	(1.1)	5	(1.8)
	Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
Week 54	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	200	(73.5)	173	(61.6)
	Completed	189	(69.5)	164	(58.4)
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete questionnaires)	189	(94.5)	164	(94.8)
	Not completed	11	(4.0)	9	(3.2)
	Other	5	(1.8)	4	(1.4)
	With visit, no record	6	(2.2)	5	(1.8)
	Missing by Design	72	(26.5)	108	(38.4)
	Discontinued due to adverse event	13	(4.8)	9	(3.2)
	Discontinued due to darverse event	3	(1.1)	6	(2.1)
	Discontinued due to lost to follow-up	0	(0.0)	0	(2.1) (0.0)
	Discontinued due to tost to follow up Discontinued due to excluded medication	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to excluded incureation Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-study and-cancel dietapy Discontinued due to non-compliance with study	3	(0.4)	0	(0.0)

			rolizumab		cebo +
		+ CCRT ^a		CCRT ^a	
		N	N=272	N	=281
Treatment Visit		n	(%)	n	(%)
	drug				
Week 54	Discontinued due to physician decision	4	(1.5)	4	(1.4)
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	3	(1.1)
	Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	5	(1.8)	9	(3.2)
	Discontinued due to radiographic progression	38	(14.0)	68	(24.2)
	Visit not reached	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Visit not scheduled	5	(1.8)	9	(3.2)
	Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
Week 60	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	191	(70.2)	175	(62.3)
	Completed	177	(65.1)	165	(58.7)
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete	177	(92.7)	165	(94.3)
	questionnaires)				
	Not completed	14	(5.1)	10	(3.6)
	Other	7	(2.6)	3	(1.1)
	With visit, no record	7	(2.6)	7	(2.5)
	Missing by Design	81	(29.8)	106	(37.7)
	Discontinued due to adverse event	18	(6.6)	6	(2.1)
	Discontinued due to clinical progression	3	(1.1)	6	(2.1)
	Discontinued due to lost to follow-up	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to excluded medication	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-compliance with study	3	(1.1)	0	(0.0)
	drug Discontinued due to physician decision	4	(1.5)	3	(1,1)
					(1.1)
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0 5	(0.0)	3	(1.1)
	Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject		(1.8)	9	(3.2)
	Discontinued due to radiographic progression Visit not reached	39	(14.3)	68	(24.2)
	Visit not reached	4	(1.5)	4	(1.4)
		4	(1.5)	7	(2.5)
West CC	Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
Week 66	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	179	(65.8)	160	(56.9)
	Completed	161	(59.2)	149	(53.0)
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete questionnaires)	161	(89.9)	149	(93.1)
	Not completed	18	(6.6)	11	(3.9)
	Other	8	(2.9)	8	(2.8)
	With visit, no record	10	(3.7)	3	(1.1)
	Missing by Design	93	(34.2)	121	(43.1)
	Discontinued due to adverse event	16	(5.9)	10	(3.6)
	Discontinued due to clinical progression	3	(1.1)	6	(2.1)
	Discontinued due to lost to follow-up	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to excluded medication	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to excluded incurrent in Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-study and-cancel therapy	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)

		Pemb	rolizumab	Pla	cebo +
		+ (CCRT ^a	C	CRT ^a
		N	V=272	N	=281
Treatment Visit	Category	n	(%)	n	(%)
Week 66	Discontinued due to non-compliance with study	3	(1.1)	0	(0.0)
	drug				
	Discontinued due to physician decision	4	(1.5)	4	(1.4)
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	3	(1.1)
	Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	7	(2.6)	10	(3.6)
	Discontinued due to radiographic progression	42	(15.4)	73	(26.0)
	Visit not reached	11	(4.0)	15	(5.3)
	Visit not scheduled	6	(2.2)	0	(0.0)
	Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
Week 72	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	170	(62.5)	151	(53.7)
	Completed	151	(55.5)	143	(50.9)
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete	151	(88.8)	143	(94.7)
	questionnaires)				
	Not completed	19	(7.0)	8	(2.8)
	Other	7	(2.6)	5	(1.8)
	With visit, no record	12	(4.4)	3	(1.1)
	Missing by Design	102	(37.5)	130	(46.3)
	Discontinued due to adverse event	23	(8.5)	10	(3.6)
	Discontinued due to clinical progression	3	(1.1)	6	(2.1)
	Discontinued due to lost to follow-up	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to excluded medication	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-compliance with study drug	3	(1.1)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to physician decision	5	(1.8)	3	(1.1)
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	4	(1.4)
	Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	7	(2.6)	10	(3.6)
	Discontinued due to radiographic progression	42	(15.4)	74	(26.3)
	Visit not reached	17	(6.3)	21	(7.5)
	Visit not scheduled	1	(0.4)	2	(0.7)
	Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
Week 78	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	161	(59.2)	137	(48.8)
	Completed	145	(53.3)	130	(46.3)
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete questionnaires)	145	(90.1)	130	(94.9)
	Not completed	16	(5.9)	7	(2.5)
	Other	8	(2.9)	4	(1.4)
	With visit, no record	8	(2.9)	3	(1.1)
	Missing by Design	111	(40.8)	144	(51.2)
	Discontinued due to adverse event	21	(7.7)	10	(3.6)
	Discontinued due to clinical progression	3	(1.1)	7	(2.5)
	Discontinued due to lost to follow-up	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to rost to ronow up Discontinued due to excluded medication	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)

			rolizumab CCRTª		cebo + CRT ^a
			J=272		I=281
Treatment Visit	Cotogory				
Week 78		n 1	(%)	n	(%)
WEEK /o	Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy Discontinued due to non-compliance with study	1 3	(0.4) (1.1)	00	(0.0) (0.0)
	drug	5	(1.1)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to physician decision	5	(1.8)	3	(1.1)
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	4	(1.4)
	Discontinued due to progressive discuse Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	6	(0.0)	10	(3.6)
	Discontinued due to wilderwar by subject	46	(16.9)	82	(29.2)
	Visit not reached	25	(9.2)	28	(10.0)
	Visit not scheduled	0	(0.2) (0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
Week 84	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	152	(55.9)	124	(44.1)
Week of	Completed	141	(51.8)	115	(40.9)
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete	141	(92.8)	115	(92.7)
	questionnaires)	111	()2.0)	110	()2.1)
	Not completed	11	(4.0)	9	(3.2)
	Other	4	(1.5)	3	(1.1)
	With visit, no record	7	(2.6)	6	(2.1)
	Missing by Design	120	(44.1)	157	(55.9)
	Discontinued due to adverse event	26	(9.6)	12	(4.3)
	Discontinued due to clinical progression	3	(1.1)	7	(2.5)
	Discontinued due to lost to follow-up	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to excluded medication	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-compliance with study	3	(1.1)	0	(0.0)
	drug				. ,
	Discontinued due to physician decision	5	(1.8)	4	(1.4)
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	4	(1.4)
	Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	7	(2.6)	11	(3.9)
	Discontinued due to radiographic progression	46	(16.9)	83	(29.5)
	Visit not reached	29	(10.7)	35	(12.5)
	Visit not scheduled	0	(0.0)	1	(0.4)
	Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
Week 90	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	149	(54.8)	115	(40.9)
	Completed	139	(51.1)	108	(38.4)
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete	139	(93.3)	108	(93.9)
	questionnaires)				
	Not completed	10	(3.7)	7	(2.5)
	Other	7	(2.6)	4	(1.4)
	With visit, no record	3	(1.1)	3	(1.1)
	Missing by Design	123	(45.2)	166	(59.1)
	Discontinued due to adverse event	21	(7.7)	11	(3.9)
	Discontinued due to clinical progression	3	(1.1)	7	(2.5)
	Discontinued due to lost to follow-up	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)

		Pemb	rolizumab	Pla	acebo +
			CCRT ^a		CRT ^a
			I=272		I=281
Treatment Visit	Category	n	(%)	n	(%)
Week 90	Discontinued due to excluded medication	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
Week yo	Discontinued due to one-study anti-cancer therapy	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-compliance with study	3	(1.1)	0	(0.0)
	drug	5	(111)	Ū	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to physician decision	5	(1.8)	4	(1.4)
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	4	(1.4)
	Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	6	(2.2)	12	(4.3)
	Discontinued due to radiographic progression	49	(18.0)	88	(31.3)
	Visit not reached	32	(11.8)	39	(13.9)
	Visit not scheduled	1	(0.4)	1	(0.4)
	Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
Week 96	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	131	(48.2)	105	(37.4)
	Completed	125	(46.0)	95	(33.8)
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete	125	(95.4)	95	(90.5)
	questionnaires)				
	Not completed	6	(2.2)	10	(3.6)
	Other	2	(0.7)	6	(2.1)
	With visit, no record	4	(1.5)	4	(1.4)
	Missing by Design	141	(51.8)	176	(62.6)
	Discontinued due to adverse event	29	(10.7)	13	(4.6)
	Discontinued due to clinical progression	3	(1.1)	7	(2.5)
	Discontinued due to lost to follow-up	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to excluded medication	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-compliance with study	3	(1.1)	0	(0.0)
	drug				
	Discontinued due to physician decision	6	(2.2)	3	(1.1)
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	4	(1.4)
	Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	8	(2.9)	12	(4.3)
	Discontinued due to radiographic progression	50	(18.4)	89	(31.7)
	Visit not reached	39	(14.3)	47	(16.7)
	Visit not scheduled	0	(0.0)	1	(0.4)
	Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
Week 102	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	130	(47.8)	102	(36.3)
	Completed	120	(44.1)	88	(31.3)
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete	120	(92.3)	88	(86.3)
	questionnaires)				
	Not completed	10	(3.7)	14	(5.0)
	Other	2	(0.7)	2	(0.7)
	With visit, no record	8	(2.9)	12	(4.3)
	Missing by Design	142	(52.2)	179	(63.7)
	Discontinued due to adverse event	25	(9.2)	12	(4.3)

Week 102Discontinued due to lost to follow-up Discontinued due to excluded medication Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy I Discontinued due to non-compliance with study drug Discontinued due to non-compliance with study drug Discontinued due to progressive disease Discontinued due to withdrawal by subjectImage: 100,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000				orolizumab		icebo +
Treatment Visit Category n (%) r Week 102 Discontinued due to lost to follow-up Discontinued due to excluded medication 1 (0.4) 0 Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy Discontinued due to non-compliance with study drug 3 (1.1) 0 Discontinued due to physician decision Discontinued due to progressive disease 0 (0.0) 4 Discontinued due to radiographic progression 51 (18.8) 91 Visit not reached 43 (15.8) 48 Visit not scheduled 0 (0.0) 11 Completed treatment and no visit scheduled 0 (0.0) 11 Week 114 Expected to Complete Questionnaires 115 (42.3) 93 Completed Completed 55 (20.2) 44 Other 13 (4.8) 19 With visit, no record 47 (17.3) 22 Missing by Design 157 (57.7) 188 Discontinued due to alverse event 27 (9.9) 11 Discontin						CRT^{a}
Week 102 Discontinued due to lost to follow-up Discontinued due to excluded medication 1 (0.4) 0 Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy Discontinued due to non-compliance with study drug 1 (0.4) 0 Discontinued due to non-compliance with study drug 3 (1.1) 0 Discontinued due to physician decision 5 (1.8) 4 Discontinued due to progressive disease 0 (0.0) 4 Discontinued due to radiographic progression 51 (18.8) 91 Visit not reached 43 (15.8) 44 Visit not scheduled 0 (0.0) 11 Completed treatment and no visit scheduled 0 (0.0) 11 Compliance (% in those expected to complete 55 (47.8) 49 With visit, no record 47 (17.3) 22 Missing by Design 157 (57.7) 188 Discontinued due to adverse event 27 (9.9) 11 Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy 1 (0.4) 00 Discontinued d	T	Catalogue				I=281
Discontinued due to excluded medication1(0.4)0Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy1(0.4)0Discontinued due to non-compliance with study3(1.1)0drugDiscontinued due to physician decision5(1.8)4Discontinued due to progressive disease0(0.0)4Discontinued due to radiographic progression51(18.8)91Visit not scheduled000.001Completed treatment and no visit scheduled0(0.0)1Completed treatment and no visit scheduled0(0.0)1Completed55(20.2)49Completed55(20.2)49Completed55(47.8)49With visit, no record47(17.3)25Missing by Design157(57.7)188Discontinued due to adverse event27(9.9)11Discontinued due to schudy anti-cancer therapy1(0.4)(0.0)Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy1(0.4)(0.2)Discontinued due to progressive disease0(0.0)44Discontinued due to radiographic progression55(1.8)2Discontinued due to progressive disease0(0.0)44Other1(0.4)(0.4)(0.4)(0.4)Discontinued due to progressive disease0(0.0)(4.1)Discontinued due to progressive disease0(0.0)(4.1) </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>n</td> <td>(%)</td>					n	(%)
Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy Discontinued due to non-compliance with study drug 1 (0.4) 0 Discontinued due to physician decision 5 (1.8) 4 Discontinued due to progressive disease 0 (0.0) 4 Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject 9 (3.3) 12 Discontinued due to radiographic progression 51 (18.8) 91 Visit not scheduled 0 (0.0) 15 (42.3) Completed to Complete Questionnaires 115 (42.3) 93 Completed to Complete Questionnaires 115 (42.3) 93 Complance (% in those expected to complete questionnaires) 60 (22.1) 44 Other 13 (4.8) 19 With visit, no record 47 (17.3) 25 Missing by Design 157 (57.7) 188 Discontinued due to adverse event 27 (9.9) 11 Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy Discontinued due to physician decision 5 (1.8) 91	week 102	-				(0.0)
Discontinued due to non-compliance with study drug3(1.1)0Discontinued due to physician decision5(1.8)4Discontinued due to progressive disease0(0.0)4Discontinued due to atdiographic progression51(18.8)91Visit not reached43(15.8)48Visit not scheduled0(0.0)11Completed treatment and no visit scheduled0(0.0)10Completed55(47.8)93Completed60(22.1)44Other13(4.8)19With visit, no record47(17.3)25Missing by Design157(57.7)188Discontinued due to adverse event27(9.9)11Discontinued due to and-study anti-cancer therapy1(0.4)00Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy1(0.4)00Discontinued due to progressive disease0(0.0)40Discontinued due to radiographic progression5(1.8)22Missing by Design157(57.7)188Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy1(0.4)00Discontinued due to progressive disease0(0.0)40Discontinued due to physician decision5(1.8)22Discontinued due to vithdrawal by subject7(2.6)12Discontinued due to radiographic progression51(1.8)91Visit not reached43					-	(0.0)
drug Discontinued due to physician decision 5 (1.8) 4 Discontinued due to progressive disease 0 (0.0) 4 Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject 9 (3.3) 12 Discontinued due to radiographic progression 51 (18.8) 94 Visit not reached 0 (0.0) 1 Completed treatment and no visit scheduled 0 (0.0) 10 Completed treatment and no visit scheduled 0 (0.0) 10 Week 114 Expected to Complete Questionnaires 115 (42.3) 93 Completed 55 (20.2) 44 Other 13 (4.8) 19 With visit, no record 47 (17.3) 25 Missing by Design 157 (57.7) 188 Discontinued due to adverse event 27 (9.9) 11 Discontinued due to anon-study anti-cancer therapy 1 (0.4) 00 Discontinued due to non-compliance with study 3 (1.1) 70		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				(0.0)
Discontinued due to progressive disease00.0.04Discontinued due to vithdrawal by subject9(3.3)12Discontinued due to radiographic progression51(18.8)91Visit not scheduled0(0.0)11Completed treatment and no visit scheduled0(0.0)12Completed to Complete Questionnaires115(42.3)93Completed55(20.2)49Completed60(22.1)44Other13(4.8)19With visit, no record47(17.3)25Missing by Design157(57.7)188Discontinued due to adverse event27(9.9)11Discontinued due to adverse event3(1.1)7Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy1(0.4)00Discontinued due to progressive disease0(0.0)40Discontinued due to progressive disease0(0.0)00Discontinued due to adverse event5(1.8)2Discontinued due to non-compliance with study3(1.1)7Discontinued due to non-compliance with study3(1.1)00Discontinued due to radiographic progression51(18.8)91Visit not scheduled0(0.0)0000Discontinued due to radiographic progression51(18.8)91Visit not scheduled0(0.0)0000Discontinued due to radiographic progression <td></td> <td></td> <td>3</td> <td>(1.1)</td> <td>0</td> <td>(0.0)</td>			3	(1.1)	0	(0.0)
Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject9(3.3)12Discontinued due to radiographic progression51(18.8)91Visit not reached43(15.8)48Visit not scheduled0(0.0)11Completed treatment and no visit scheduled0(0.0)12Completed treatment and no visit scheduled0(0.0)93Completed treatment and no visit scheduled0(0.0)93Completed treatment and no visit scheduled0(0.0)93Completed treatment and no visit scheduled0(22.1)44Other13(4.8)19With visit, no record47(17.3)25Missing by Design157(57.7)188Discontinued due to adverse event27(9.9)11Discontinued due to lost to follow-up1(0.4)0Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy1(0.4)0Discontinued due to progressive disease0(0.0)4Discontinued due to progressive disease0(0.0)4Discontinued due to radiographic progression51(18.8)91Visit not scheduled0(0.0)00Completed treatment and no visit scheduled15(5.5)13Week 126Expected to Complete Questionnaires88(32.4)68Visit not scheduled0(0.0)00Completed treatment and no visit scheduled15(5.5)13 </td <td></td> <td>Discontinued due to physician decision</td> <td>5</td> <td>(1.8)</td> <td>4</td> <td>(1.4)</td>		Discontinued due to physician decision	5	(1.8)	4	(1.4)
Discontinued due to radiographic progression 51 (18.8) 91 Visit not reached 43 (15.8) 48 Visit not scheduled 0 (0.0) 11 Completed treatment and no visit scheduled 0 (0.0) 0 Week 114 Expected to Complete Questionnaires 115 (42.3) 93 Completed 55 (20.2) 49 Completed 55 (20.2) 49 Questionnaires) 60 (22.1) 44 Other 13 (4.8) 19 With visit, no record 47 (17.3) 25 Missing by Design 157 (57.7) 188 Discontinued due to adverse event 27 (9.9) 11 Discontinued due to sexcluded medication 1 (0.4) 00 Discontinued due to physician decision 5 (1.8) 2 Discontinued due to physician decision 5 (1.8) 2 Discontinued due to progressive disease 0 (0.0) 44 <		Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	4	(1.4)
Visit not reached 43 (15.8) 48 Visit not scheduled 0 (0.0) 1 Completed treatment and no visit scheduled 0 (0.0) 0 Week 114 Expected to Complete Questionnaires 115 (42.3) 93 Completed 55 (20.2) 49 Compliance (% in those expected to complete 55 (47.8) 49 questionnaires)		Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	9	(3.3)	12	(4.3)
Visit not scheduled 0 (0,0) 1 Completed treatment and no visit scheduled 0 (0,0) 0 Week 114 Expected to Complete Questionnaires 115 (42.3) 93 Completed 55 (20.2) 49 Compliance (% in those expected to complete 55 (47.8) 49 questionnaires) 7 (17.3) 25 Missing by Design 157 (57.7) 188 Discontinued due to adverse event 27 (9.9) 11 Discontinued due to adverse event 1 (0.4) 00 Discontinued due to adverse event 1 (0.4) 00 Discontinued due to adverse event 1 (0.4) 00 Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy 1 (0.4) 00 Discontinued due to non-compliance with study 3 (1.1) 00 drug 1 0.4 00 00.00 44 Discontinued due to progressive disease 0 (0.0) 44 Discontinue		Discontinued due to radiographic progression	51	(18.8)	91	(32.4)
Completed treatment and no visit scheduled 0 (0.0) 0 Week 114 Expected to Complete Questionnaires 115 (42.3) 93 Completed 55 (20.2) 49 Compliance (% in those expected to complete questionnaires) 60 (22.1) 44 Other 13 (4.8) 19 With visit, no record 47 (17.3) 25 Missing by Design 157 (57.7) 188 Discontinued due to adverse event 27 (9.9) 11 Discontinued due to clinical progression 3 (1.1) 7 Discontinued due to ost to follow-up 1 (0.4) 00 Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy 1 (0.4) 00 Discontinued due to non-compliance with study 3 (1.1) 00 drug		Visit not reached	43	(15.8)	48	(17.1)
Week 114 Expected to Complete Questionnaires 115 (42.3) 93 Completed 55 (20.2) 49 Compliance (% in those expected to complete questionnaires) 55 (47.8) 49 Not completed 60 (22.1) 44 Other 13 (4.8) 19 With visit, no record 47 (17.3) 25 Missing by Design 157 (57.7) 188 Discontinued due to adverse event 27 (9.9) 11 Discontinued due to clinical progression 3 (1.1) 7 Discontinued due to lost to follow-up 1 (0.4) 0 Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy 1 (0.4) 0 Discontinued due to physician decision 5 (1.8) 91 Discontinued due to progressive disease 0 (0.0) 44 Discontinued due to radiographic progression 51 (18.8) 91 Visit not reached 0 (0.0) 0 0 Discontinued due to ra		Visit not scheduled	0	(0.0)	1	(0.4)
Completed 55 (20.2) 49 Compliance (% in those expected to complete questionnaires) 55 (47.8) 49 Not completed 60 (22.1) 44 Other 13 (4.8) 19 With visit, no record 47 (17.3) 25 Missing by Design 157 (57.7) 188 Discontinued due to adverse event 27 (9.9) 11 Discontinued due to clinical progression 3 (1.1) 7 Discontinued due to lost to follow-up 1 (0.4) 00 Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy 1 (0.4) 00 Discontinued due to non-compliance with study 3 (1.1) 00 drug 0 0.00.0 44 Discontinued due to physician decision 5 (1.8) 2 Discontinued due to progressive disease 0 (0.0) 44 Discontinued due to radiographic progression 51 (1.8.8) 91 Visit not scheduled 0 (0.00)		Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
Compliance (% in those expected to complete questionnaires)55(47.8)49Not completed60(22.1)44Other13(4.8)19With visit, no record47(17.3)25Missing by Design157(57.7)188Discontinued due to adverse event27(9.9)11Discontinued due to clinical progression3(1.1)7Discontinued due to lost to follow-up1(0.4)00Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy1(0.4)00Discontinued due to non-compliance with study drug3(1.1)00Discontinued due to physician decision5(1.8)22Discontinued due to progressive disease0(0.0)44Discontinued due to radiographic progression51(1.8.8)91Visit not reached43(15.8)48Visit not scheduled0(0.0)00Completed treatment and no visit scheduled15(5.5)13Week 126Expected to Complete Questionnaires questionnaires)88(32.4)68Not completed69(78.4)59Other3(1.1)00	Week 114	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	115	(42.3)	93	(33.1)
questionnaires) v v Not completed 60 (22.1) 44 Other 13 (4.8) 19 With visit, no record 47 (17.3) 25 Missing by Design 157 (57.7) 188 Discontinued due to adverse event 27 (9.9) 11 Discontinued due to clinical progression 3 (1.1) 7 Discontinued due to lost to follow-up 1 (0.4) 00 Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy 1 (0.4) 00 Discontinued due to physician decision 5 (1.8) 20 Discontinued due to physician decision 5 (1.8) 21 Discontinued due to progressive disease 0 (0.0) 44 Discontinued due to radiographic progression 51 (18.8) 91 Visit not scheduled 0 (0.0) 00 00 Completed treatment and no visit scheduled 15 (5.5) 13 Week 126 Expected to Complete Questionnaires 8		Completed	55	(20.2)	49	(17.4)
Not completed 60 (22.1) 44 Other 13 (4.8) 19 With visit, no record 47 (17.3) 25 Missing by Design 157 (57.7) 188 Discontinued due to adverse event 27 (9.9) 11 Discontinued due to clinical progression 3 (1.1) 7 Discontinued due to lost to follow-up 1 (0.4) 00 Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy 1 (0.4) 00 Discontinued due to non-compliance with study 3 (1.1) 0 drug 0 0 0 0 Discontinued due to progressive disease 0 (0.0) 4 Discontinued due to radiographic progression 51 (18.8) 91 Visit not reached 43 (15.8) 48 Visit not scheduled 0 (0.0) 0 Completed treatment and no visit scheduled 15 (5.5) 13 Week 126 Expected to Complete Questionnaires 88		Compliance (% in those expected to complete	55	(47.8)	49	(52.7)
Other 13 (4.8) 19 With visit, no record 47 (17.3) 25 Missing by Design 157 (57.7) 188 Discontinued due to adverse event 27 (9.9) 11 Discontinued due to clinical progression 3 (1.1) 7 Discontinued due to lost to follow-up 1 (0.4) 00 Discontinued due to excluded medication 1 (0.4) 00 Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy 1 (0.4) 00 Discontinued due to physician decision 5 (1.8) 2 Discontinued due to physician decision 5 (1.8) 2 Discontinued due to radiographic progression 51 (18.8) 91 Visit not scheduled 0 (0.0) 0 Completed treatment and no visit scheduled 15 (5.5) 13 Week 126 Expected to Complete Questionnaires 88 (32.4) 68 Completed 69 (25.4) 59 59 Completed		questionnaires)				
With visit, no record 47 (17.3) 25 Missing by Design 157 (57.7) 188 Discontinued due to adverse event 27 (9.9) 11 Discontinued due to clinical progression 3 (1.1) 7 Discontinued due to lost to follow-up 1 (0.4) 00 Discontinued due to excluded medication 1 (0.4) 00 Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy 1 (0.4) 00 Discontinued due to physician decision 5 (1.8) 2 Discontinued due to physician decision 5 (1.8) 2 Discontinued due to progressive disease 0 (0.0) 4 Discontinued due to radiographic progression 51 (18.8) 91 Visit not scheduled 0 (0.0) 0 0 Completed treatment and no visit scheduled 15 (5.5) 13 Week 126 Expected to Complete Questionnaires 88 (32.4) 68 Completed 69 (25.4) 59 59<		Not completed	60	(22.1)	44	(15.7)
Missing by Design 157 (57.7) 188 Discontinued due to adverse event 27 (9.9) 11 Discontinued due to clinical progression 3 (1.1) 7 Discontinued due to lost to follow-up 1 (0.4) 00 Discontinued due to excluded medication 1 (0.4) 00 Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy 1 (0.4) 00 Discontinued due to physician decision 5 (1.8) 2 Discontinued due to progressive disease 0 (0.0) 4 Discontinued due to radiographic progression 51 (18.8) 91 Visit not scheduled 0 (0.0) 0 0 Visit not scheduled 0 (0.0) 0 0 Completed treatment and no visit scheduled 15 (5.5) 13 Week 126 Expected to Complete Questionnaires 88 (32.4) 68 Completed 69 (78.4) 59 Compliance (% in those expected to complete guestionnaires) 69 (78.4) <td></td> <td>Other</td> <td>13</td> <td>(4.8)</td> <td>19</td> <td>(6.8)</td>		Other	13	(4.8)	19	(6.8)
Discontinued due to adverse event27(9.9)11Discontinued due to clinical progression3(1.1)7Discontinued due to lost to follow-up1(0.4)0Discontinued due to excluded medication1(0.4)0Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy1(0.4)0Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy1(0.4)0Discontinued due to non-compliance with study drug3(1.1)0Discontinued due to physician decision5(1.8)2Discontinued due to progressive disease0(0.0)4Discontinued due to radiographic progression51(18.8)91Visit not reached43(15.8)48Visit not scheduled0(0.0)0Completed treatment and no visit scheduled15(5.5)13Week 126Expected to Complete Questionnaires88(32.4)68Completed69(78.4)59Other3(1.1)0		With visit, no record	47	(17.3)	25	(8.9)
Discontinued due to clinical progression3(1.1)7Discontinued due to lost to follow-up1(0.4)0Discontinued due to excluded medication1(0.4)0Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy1(0.4)0Discontinued due to non-compliance with study3(1.1)0drug3(1.1)00Discontinued due to physician decision5(1.8)2Discontinued due to progressive disease0(0.0)4Discontinued due to vithdrawal by subject7(2.6)12Discontinued due to radiographic progression51(18.8)91Visit not reached43(15.8)48Visit not scheduled0(0.0)0Completed treatment and no visit scheduled15(5.5)13Week 126Expected to Complete Questionnaires questionnaires)88(32.4)68Not completed19(7.0)9Other3(1.1)0		Missing by Design	157	(57.7)	188	(66.9)
Discontinued due to lost to follow-up1(0.4)0Discontinued due to excluded medication1(0.4)0Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy1(0.4)0Discontinued due to non-compliance with study3(1.1)0drug3(1.1)00Discontinued due to physician decision5(1.8)2Discontinued due to progressive disease0(0.0)4Discontinued due to vithdrawal by subject7(2.6)12Discontinued due to radiographic progression51(18.8)91Visit not reached43(15.8)48Visit not scheduled0(0.0)0Completed treatment and no visit scheduled15(5.5)13Week 126Expected to Complete Questionnaires88(32.4)68Compliance (% in those expected to complete69(78.4)59Questionnaires)19(7.0)90Other3(1.1)00		Discontinued due to adverse event	27	(9.9)	11	(3.9)
Discontinued due to excluded medication1(0.4)0Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy1(0.4)0Discontinued due to non-compliance with study drug3(1.1)0Discontinued due to physician decision5(1.8)2Discontinued due to progressive disease0(0.0)4Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject7(2.6)12Discontinued due to radiographic progression51(18.8)91Visit not reached43(15.8)48Visit not scheduled0(0.0)0Completed treatment and no visit scheduled15(5.5)13Week 126 Expected to Complete Questionnaires (completed reatment and no visit scheduled69(78.4)59Not completed19(7.0)909Other3(1.1)00		Discontinued due to clinical progression	3	(1.1)	7	(2.5)
Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy Discontinued due to non-compliance with study drug1(0.4)0Discontinued due to non-compliance with study drug3(1.1)0Discontinued due to physician decision5(1.8)2Discontinued due to progressive disease0(0.0)4Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject7(2.6)12Discontinued due to radiographic progression51(18.8)91Visit not reached43(15.8)48Visit not scheduled0(0.0)0Completed treatment and no visit scheduled15(5.5)13Week 126Expected to Complete Questionnaires questionnaires)88(32.4)68Not completed19(7.0)9Other3(1.1)0		Discontinued due to lost to follow-up	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
Discontinued due to non-compliance with study drug3(1.1)0Discontinued due to physician decision5(1.8)2Discontinued due to progressive disease0(0.0)4Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject7(2.6)12Discontinued due to radiographic progression51(18.8)91Visit not reached43(15.8)48Visit not scheduled0(0.0)0Completed treatment and no visit scheduled15(5.5)13Week 126Expected to Complete Questionnaires88(32.4)68Completed69(78.4)59Outpeted19(7.0)9Other3(1.1)0		Discontinued due to excluded medication	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
Discontinued due to non-compliance with study drug3(1.1)0Discontinued due to physician decision5(1.8)2Discontinued due to progressive disease0(0.0)4Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject7(2.6)12Discontinued due to radiographic progression51(18.8)91Visit not reached43(15.8)48Visit not scheduled0(0.0)0Completed treatment and no visit scheduled15(5.5)13Week 126Expected to Complete Questionnaires88(32.4)68Completed69(25.4)59Completed69(78.4)59Questionnaires)19(7.0)9Not completed19(7.0)9Other3(1.1)0		Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy	1		0	(0.0)
Discontinued due to physician decision5(1.8)2Discontinued due to progressive disease0(0.0)4Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject7(2.6)12Discontinued due to radiographic progression51(18.8)91Visit not reached43(15.8)48Visit not scheduled0(0.0)0Completed treatment and no visit scheduled15(5.5)13Week 126Expected to Complete Questionnaires88(32.4)68Completed69(25.4)59Completed69(78.4)59Questionnaires)Not completed19(7.0)9Other3(1.1)0		Discontinued due to non-compliance with study	3		0	(0.0)
Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject7(2.6)12Discontinued due to radiographic progression51(18.8)91Visit not reached43(15.8)48Visit not scheduled0(0.0)0Completed treatment and no visit scheduled15(5.5)13Week 126Expected to Complete Questionnaires88(32.4)68Completed69(25.4)59Compliance (% in those expected to complete69(78.4)59Not completed19(7.0)9Other3(1.1)0		-	5	(1.8)	2	(0.7)
Discontinued due to radiographic progression51(18.8)91Visit not reached43(15.8)48Visit not scheduled0(0.0)0Completed treatment and no visit scheduled15(5.5)13Week 126Expected to Complete Questionnaires88(32.4)68Completed69(25.4)59Compliance (% in those expected to complete questionnaires)69(78.4)59Not completed19(7.0)9Other3(1.1)0		Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	4	(1.4)
Visit not reached43(15.8)48Visit not scheduled0(0.0)0Completed treatment and no visit scheduled15(5.5)13Week 126Expected to Complete Questionnaires88(32.4)68Completed69(25.4)59Compliance (% in those expected to complete69(78.4)59Questionnaires)Not completed19(7.0)9Other3(1.1)0		Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	7	(2.6)	12	(4.3)
Visit not scheduled0(0.0)0Completed treatment and no visit scheduled15(5.5)13Week 126Expected to Complete Questionnaires88(32.4)68Completed69(25.4)59Compliance (% in those expected to complete69(78.4)59questionnaires)19(7.0)9Not completed19(7.0)9Other3(1.1)0		Discontinued due to radiographic progression	51	(18.8)	91	(32.4)
Week 126Completed treatment and no visit scheduled15(5.5)13Week 126Expected to Complete Questionnaires88(32.4)68Completed69(25.4)59Compliance (% in those expected to complete questionnaires)69(78.4)59Not completed19(7.0)9Other3(1.1)0		Visit not reached	43	(15.8)	48	(17.1)
Week 126Expected to Complete Questionnaires88(32.4)68Completed69(25.4)59Compliance (% in those expected to complete69(78.4)59questionnaires)Not completed19(7.0)9Other3(1.1)0		Visit not scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
Completed69(25.4)59Compliance (% in those expected to complete questionnaires)69(78.4)59Not completed19(7.0)9Other3(1.1)0		Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	15	(5.5)	13	(4.6)
Compliance (% in those expected to complete questionnaires)69(78.4)59Not completed19(7.0)9Other3(1.1)0	Week 126	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	88	(32.4)	68	(24.2)
Compliance (% in those expected to complete questionnaires)69(78.4)59Not completed19(7.0)9Other3(1.1)0			69		59	(21.0)
Not completed 19 (7.0) 9 Other 3 (1.1) 0			69	(78.4)	59	(86.8)
Other 3 (1.1) 0			19	(7.0)	9	(3.2)
		*				(0.0)
10 (3.9) > 5						(0.0)
Missing by Design 184 (67.6) 213					9 213	(3.2) (75.8)
					12	(4.3)

			rolizumab		icebo +
		+ (CCRT ^a		CRT ^a
		N	I=272	N	=281
Treatment Visit		n	(%)	n	(%)
Week 126	Discontinued due to clinical progression	3	(1.1)	7	(2.5)
	Discontinued due to lost to follow-up	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to excluded medication	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-compliance with study drug	3	(1.1)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to physician decision	5	(1.8)	4	(1.4)
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	4	(1.4)
	Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	9	(3.3)	12	(4.3)
	Discontinued due to radiographic progression	51	(18.8)	90	(32.0)
	Visit not reached	46	(16.9)	50	(17.8)
	Visit not scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	42	(15.4)	34	(12.1)
Week 138	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	17	(6.3)	11	(3.9)
	Completed	13	(4.8)	7	(2.5)
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete questionnaires)	13	(76.5)	7	(63.6)
	Not completed	4	(1.5)	4	(1.4)
	Other	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	With visit, no record	3	(1.1)	4	(1.4)
	Missing by Design	255	(93.8)	270	(96.1)
	Discontinued due to adverse event	28	(10.3)	14	(5.0)
	Discontinued due to clinical progression	3	(1.1)	7	(2.5)
	Discontinued due to lost to follow-up	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to excluded medication	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-compliance with study drug	3	(1.1)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to physician decision	5	(1.8)	4	(1.4)
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	4	(1.4)
	Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	9	(3.3)	12	(4.3)
	Discontinued due to radiographic progression	51	(18.8)	91	(32.4)
	Visit not reached	47	(17.3)	51	(18.1)
	Visit not scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	106	(39.0)	87	(31.0)
Week 150	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	35	(12.9)	31	(11.0)
	Completed	34	(12.5)	31	(11.0)
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete questionnaires)	34	(97.1)	31	(100.0)
	Not completed	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Other	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	With visit, no record	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Missing by Design	237	(0.4) (87.1)	250	(0.0) (89.0)

		+ (rolizumab CCRT ^a	C	cebo + CRT ^a
-			[=272		=281
Treatment Visit		n	(%)	n	(%)
Week 150	Discontinued due to adverse event	27	(9.9)	12	(4.3)
	Discontinued due to clinical progression	3	(1.1)	7	(2.5)
	Discontinued due to lost to follow-up	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to excluded medication	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-compliance with study drug	3	(1.1)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to physician decision	6	(2.2)	4	(1.4)
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	4	(1.4)
	Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	9	(3.3)	12	(4.3)
	Discontinued due to radiographic progression	51	(18.8)	91	(32.4)
	Visit not reached	47	(17.3)	51	(18.1)
	Visit not scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	88	(32.4)	69	(24.6)
Week 162	Expected to Complete Questionnaires	1	(0.4)	2	(0.7)
	Completed	1	(0.4)	2	(0.7)
	Compliance (% in those expected to complete questionnaires)	1	(100.0)	2	(100.0
	Not completed	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Other	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	With visit, no record	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Missing by Design	271	(99.6)	279	(99.3)
	Discontinued due to adverse event	29	(10.7)	14	(5.0)
	Discontinued due to clinical progression	3	(1.1)	7	(2.5)
	Discontinued due to lost to follow-up	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to excluded medication	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-study anti-cancer therapy	1	(0.4)	0	(0.0)
	Discontinued due to non-compliance with study	3	(1.1)	0	(0.0)
	drug				
	Discontinued due to physician decision	6	(2.2)	4	(1.4)
	Discontinued due to progressive disease	0	(0.0)	4	(1.4)
	Discontinued due to withdrawal by subject	9	(3.3)	12	(4.3)
	Discontinued due to radiographic progression	51	(18.8)	91	(32.4)
	Visit not reached	47	(17.3)	51	(18.1)
	Visit not scheduled	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Completed treatment and no visit scheduled	120	(44.1)	96	(34.2)

Expected to complete questionnaire includes all subjects who do not have missing data due to a missing by design reason.

Compliance is the proportion of subjects who completed the PRO questionnaire among those who are **expected to complete the questionnaire** at this time point, excluding those missing by design. All the other categories are defined as the proportion of subjects in the analysis population (N).

Missing by design includes: death, disease progression, other discontinuations (reasons may include unacceptable AEs, withdrawal of consent, intercurrent illness that prevents further administration of treatment, investigator's decision to discontinue the participant, noncompliance with study treatment or

		Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^a	Placebo + CCRT ^a						
		N=272	N=281						
Treatment Visit	Category	n (%)	n (%)						
procedure requirements or administrative reasons requiring cessation of treatment), and translation not available									
brachytherapy	(hemoradiotherapy [Treatment with cisplatin and)] f Date: 08JAN2024	radiotherapy (EB	RT followed by						

Anhang 4-G2: Ergebnisse der Subgruppen mit nicht signifikantem Interaktionstest $(p \geq 0,\!05)$

Im Folgenden werden ergänzend zu Abschnitt 4.3.1.3.2.2 die Ergebnisse der Subgruppenanalysen, für die ein nicht signifikanter Interaktionstest ($p \ge 0.05$) vorliegt, dargestellt.

Alle Ergebnisse beziehen sich auf den Datenschnitt vom 08.01.2024.

Anhang 4-G2.1: Mortalität

Gesamtüberleben

Tabelle 4G-6: Subgruppenanalysen der Teilpopulation FIGO 2014 Stadium III bis IVA mit nicht signifikantem Interaktionstest ($p \ge 0.05$) für den Endpunkt Gesamtüberleben aus RCT mit dem zu bewertenden Arzneimittel

Pla	cebo + CC	CRT ^b	Pembrolizuma vs. Placebo		_	
ŀ	ticipants with Event 1 (%)	Median Time ^d in Months [95 %-CI]	Hazard Ratio [95 %-CI] ^e	p-Value ^{e,f}	p-Value for Interaction Test ^g	
				-		
248 (64 (25.8)	Not reached [-; -]	0.49 [0.32; 0.74]	< 0.001	0.060	
57 (9 (15.8)	Not reached [-; -]	1.31 [0.52; 3.30]	0.570		
212 (51 (24.1)	Not reached [-; -]	0.58 [0.36; 0.92]	0.019	0.936	
93 (22 (23.7)	Not reached [-; -]	0.57 [0.29; 1.09]	0.091		
55 (11 (20.0)	Not reached [27.5; -]	0.64 [0.25; 1.64]	0.350	0.892	
17 (6 (35.3)	Not reached [11.7; -]	0.79 [0.22; 2.81]	0.719		
233 (56 (24.0)	Not reached [-; -]	0.55 [0.35; 0.84]	0.006		
264 (64 (24.2)	Not reached [-; -]	0.56 [0.37; 0.84]	0.005	0.806	
41 (9 (22.0)	Not reached [-; -]	0.66 [0.23; 1.85]	0.430		
dose)						
29 (7 (24.1)	Not reached [30.8; -]	0.70 [0.22; 2.20]	0.540	0.734	
276 (66 (23.9)	Not reached [-; -]	0.56 [0.37; 0.83]	0.004		
		l				
113 (29 (25.7)	Not reached [-; -]	0.74 [0.42; 1.30]	0.294	0.243	
192 (44 (22.9)	Not reached [-; -]	0.47 [0.28; 0.78]	0.004		
	((22.9)	(22.9) [-; -]		(22.9) [-; -] [0.28; 0.78]	

Study: KEYNOTE A18ª	Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b				Placebo + CO	CRT⁵	Pembrolizum vs. Placebo				
		Participants with Event	Median Time ^d in Months		Participants with Event	Median Time ^d in Months	Hazard Ratio		p-Value for Interaction		
Overall Survival	N ^c	n (%)	[95 %-CI]	N ^c	n (%)	[95 %-CI]	[95 %-CI] ^e	p-Value ^{e,f}	Test ^g		
c: Number of participants: intention-to-treat population with FIGO III to IVA											
d: From product-lin	nit (Kaj	plan-Meier) meth	nod for censore	d data							
e: Based on Cox re	gressio	n model with trea	tment as a cov	ariate u	using Wald confi	dence interval					
f: Two-sided p-valu	e using	Wald test			-						
g: Based on Cox m interaction term)	g: Based on Cox model with treatment and subgroup as covariates, and treatment-by-subgroup interaction (p-value of likelihood ratio test for										
CCDT. Comment	Chame	madiathananyu Cl	Confidence I	intorrol	LEDDT. Externe	1 Doom Dodiot	hamany ECOC. I	C	tive Oneology		

CCRT: Concurrent Chemoradiotherapy; CI: Confidence Interval; EBRT: External Beam Radiotherapy; ECOG: Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; FIGO: International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics; Gy: Gray; IMRT: Intensity-Modulated Radiation Therapy; RoW: Rest of World; VMAT: Volumetric Modulated Arc Therapy

Anhang 4-G2.2: Morbidität

Progress als Scheitern des potenziell kurativen Therapieansatzes

Tabelle 4G-7: Subgruppenanalysen der Teilpopulation FIGO 2014 Stadium III bis IVA mit nicht signifikantem Interaktionstest ($p \ge 0.05$) für den Endpunkt Progress als Scheitern des potenziell kurativen Therapieansatzes aus RCT mit dem zu bewertenden Arzneimittel

Study: KEYNOTE A18 ^a	Р	embrolizumab	+ CCRT ^b		Placebo + C	CRT ^b	Pembrolizum vs. Placebo		
Progression-Free Survival	N°	Participants with Event n (%)	Median Time ^d in Months [95 %-CI]	N°	Participants with Event n (%)	Median Time ^d in Months [95 %-CI]	Hazard Ratio [95 %-CI] ^e	p-Value ^{e,f}	p-Value for Interaction Test ^g
Age									
< 65	254	66 (26.0)	Not reached [-; -]	248	104 (41.9)	Not reached [23.4; -]	0.56 [0.41; 0.76]	< 0.001	0.694
≥65	42	13 (31.0)	Not reached [30.4; -]	57	21 (36.8)	Not reached [16.9; -]	0.65 [0.32; 1.30]	0.222	
ECOG Performance	e Statu	8							
0	194	53 (27.3)	Not reached [-; -]	212	88 (41.5)	Not reached [23.4; -]	0.61 [0.43; 0.85]	0.004	0.613
1	102	26 (25.5)	Not reached [34.9; -]	93	37 (39.8)	Not reached [18.7; -]	0.53 [0.32; 0.87]	0.012	
Region									
Western Europe	52	16 (30.8)	30.4 [23.3; -]	55	27 (49.1)	20.1 [11.2; -]	0.64 [0.34; 1.19]	0.159	0.984
North America	14	6 (42.9)	15.7 [6.9; -]	17	10 (58.8)	13.1 [4.5; -]	0.61 [0.22; 1.68]	0.337	
RoW	230	57 (24.8)	Not reached [-; -]	233	88 (37.8)	Not reached [29.7; -]	0.57 [0.41; 0.80]	0.001	
Planned Type of El	BRT								
IMRT or VMAT	255	69 (27.1)	Not reached [-; -]	264	110 (41.7)	Not reached [23.2; -]	0.57 [0.42; 0.77]	< 0.001	0.956
Non-IMRT and Non-VMAT	41	10 (24.4)	Not reached [-; -]	41	15 (36.6)	Not reached [15.7; -]	0.60 [0.27; 1.34]	0.211	
Planned Total Radi	otherap	y Dose (EBRT -	+ brachytherap	y dose)					
< 70 Gy	32	8 (25.0)	Not reached [-; -]	29	13 (44.8)	29.7 [12.9; -]	0.52 [0.22; 1.26]	0.147	0.814
\geq 70 Gy	264	71 (26.9)	Not reached [-; -]	276	112 (40.6)	Not reached [24.1; -]	0.58 [0.43; 0.78]	< 0.001	

Study: KEYNOTE A18 ^a	Р	embrolizumab	+ CCRT ^b	Placebo + CCRT ^b			Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b vs. Placebo + CCRT ^b		
Progression-Free Survival	Nc	Participants with Event n (%)	Median Time ^d in Months [95 %-CI]	N°	Participants with Event n (%)	Median Time ^d in Months [95 %-CI]	Hazard Ratio [95 %-CI] ^e	p-Value ^{e,f}	p-Value for Interaction Test ^g
Race									
White	104	35 (33.7)	Not reached [30.4; -]	113	58 (51.3)	18.8 [12.8; 32.0]	0.59 [0.39; 0.90]	0.015	0.956
All Others	192	44 (22.9)	Not reached [-; -]	192	67 (34.9)	Not reached [-; -]	0.57 [0.39; 0.84]	0.004	

a: Database Cutoff Date: 08JAN2024

b: CCRT is Chemoradiotherapy [Treatment with cisplatin and radiotherapy (EBRT followed by brachytherapy)]

c: Number of participants: intention-to-treat population with FIGO III to IVA

d: From product-limit (Kaplan-Meier) method for censored data

e: Based on Cox regression model with treatment as a covariate using Wald confidence interval

f: Two-sided p-value using Wald test

g: Based on Cox model with treatment and subgroup as covariates, and treatment-by-subgroup interaction (p-value of likelihood ratio test for interaction term)

CCRT: Concurrent Chemoradiotherapy; CI: Confidence Interval; EBRT: External Beam Radiotherapy; ECOG: Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; FIGO: International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics; Gy: Gray; IMRT: Intensity-Modulated Radiation Therapy; RoW: Rest of World; VMAT: Volumetric Modulated Arc Therapy

Zeit bis zur palliativen Therapie als Übergang ins palliative Therapiesetting

Tabelle 4G-8: Subgruppenanalysen der Teilpopulation FIGO 2014 Stadium III bis IVA mit nicht signifikantem Interaktionstest ($p \ge 0.05$) für den Endpunkt Zeit bis zur palliativen Therapie als Übergang ins palliative Setting aus RCT mit dem zu bewertenden Arzneimittel

Study: KEYNOTE A18 ^a	P	embrolizumab	+ CCRT ^b		Placebo + C	CRT ^b	Pembrolizum vs. Placebo		
Subsequent Palliative Therapy or Death	N ^c	Participants with Event n (%)	Median Time ^d in Months [95 %-CI]	N ^c	Participants with Event n (%)	Median Time ^d in Months [95 %-CI]	Hazard Ratio [95 %-CI] ^e	p-Value ^{e,f}	p-Value for Interaction Test ^g
Age									
< 65	254	59 (23.2)	Not reached [37.4; -]	248	98 (39.5)	Not reached [32.0; -]	0.53 [0.38; 0.73]	< 0.001	0.513
≥65	42	12 (28.6)	Not reached [31.2; -]	57	20 (35.1)	Not reached [19.1; -]	0.68 [0.33; 1.40]	0.293	
ECOG Performance	e Status	3							
0	194	49 (25.3)	Not reached [-; -]	212	83 (39.2)	Not reached [31.4; -]	0.60 [0.42; 0.85]	0.004	0.468
1	102	22 (21.6)	Not reached [37.4; -]	93	35 (37.6)	Not reached [-; -]	0.48 [0.28; 0.81]	0.007	
Region				I					
Western Europe	52	15 (28.8)	32.3 [31.7; -]	55	24 (43.6)	32.0 [16.3; -]	0.66 [0.35; 1.27]	0.214	0.735
North America	14	7 (50.0)	17.6 [6.9; -]	17	10 (58.8)	16.4 [5.4; -]	0.74 [0.28; 1.96]	0.549	
RoW	230	49 (21.3)	Not reached [-; -]	233	84 (36.1)	Not reached [-; -]	0.52 [0.36; 0.74]	< 0.001	
Planned Type of El	BRT								1
IMRT or VMAT	255	62 (24.3)	Not reached [37.4; -]	264	103 (39.0)	Not reached [-; -]	0.55 [0.40; 0.75]	< 0.001	0.956
Non-IMRT and	41	9	Not reached	41	15	Not reached	0.56	0.167	

Study: KEYNOTE A18ª	Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b				Placebo + CCRT ^b Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b vs. Placebo + CCRT ^b				
Subsequent Palliative Therapy or Death	N°	Participants with Event n (%)	Median Time ^d in Months [95 %-CI]	N°	Participants with Event n (%)	Median Time ^d in Months [95 %-CI]	Hazard Ratio [95 %-CI] ^e	p-Value ^{e,f}	p-Value for Interaction Test ^g
Non-VMAT		(22.0)	[-; -]		(36.6)	[16.9; -]	[0.24; 1.28]		
Planned Total Radi	otherap	y Dose (EBRT -	+ brachytherapy	y dose)					
< 70 Gy	32	9 (28.1)	Not reached [37.4; -]	29	14 (48.3)	31.4 [14.1; -]	0.48 [0.20; 1.15]	0.100	0.939
\geq 70 Gy	264	62 (23.5)	Not reached [-; -]	276	104 (37.7)	Not reached [-; -]	0.55 [0.40; 0.76]	< 0.001	

a: Database Cutoff Date: 08JAN2024

b: CCRT is Chemoradiotherapy [Treatment with cisplatin and radiotherapy (EBRT followed by brachytherapy)]

c: Number of participants: intention-to-treat population with FIGO III to IVA

d: From product-limit (Kaplan-Meier) method for censored data

e: Based on Cox regression model with treatment as a covariate using Wald confidence interval

f: Two-sided p-value using Wald test

g: Based on Cox model with treatment and subgroup as covariates, and treatment-by-subgroup interaction (p-value of likelihood ratio test for interaction term)

CCRT: Concurrent Chemoradiotherapy; CI: Confidence Interval; EBRT: External Beam Radiotherapy; ECOG: Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; FIGO: International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics; Gy: Gray; IMRT: Intensity-Modulated Radiation Therapy; RoW: Rest of World; VMAT: Volumetric Modulated Arc Therapy

Krankheitssymptomatik und Gesundheitszustand

EORTC QLQ-C30: Symptomskala Erschöpfung

Tabelle 4G-9: Subgruppenanalysen der Teilpopulation FIGO 2014 Stadium III bis IVA mit nicht signifikantem Interaktionstest ($p \ge 0,05$) für die Symptomskala Erschöpfung aus RCT mit dem zu bewertenden Arzneimittel

Study: KEYNOTE A18 ^a EORTC QLQ-C30 Symptom Scales Fatigue					Change from Baseline to Week 60		Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b vs. Placebo + CCRT ^b			
	Baseline		Week 60				Mean Difference at Week 60		Standardized Mean Difference at Week 60	p-Value for Interaction Test ^g
	$\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{c}}$	Mean (SD)	$\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{c}}$	Mean (SD)	$\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{d}}$	Mean [95 %-CI] ^e	[95 %-CI] ^e	p-Value	[95 %-CI] ^f	<u> </u>
Age										
< 65										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	226	31.12 (25.32)	154	18.04 (21.07)	235	-10.18 [-13.29; -7.06]	0.74	0.721	-	0.220
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	223	29.74 (22.03)	141	15.44 (19.28)	234	-10.92 [-14.14; -7.70]	[-3.33; 4.82]			
≥ 65										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	35	26.03 (20.69)	23	25.12 (18.72)	37	0.60 [-6.72; 7.92]	2.17	0.677	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	47	31.91 (26.58)	25	28.44 (22.70)	49	-1.57 [-10.14; 6.99]	[-8.06; 12.40]			
ECOG Performance Status									1	
0										
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^{b}$	176	26.89 (22.36)	124	18.55 (20.37)	185	-6.86 [-10.22; -3.50]	0.38	0.864	-	0.826
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	194	27.55 (21.38)	121	17.17 (18.98)	203	-7.24 [-10.56; -3.92]	[-3.98; 4.74]			
1										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	85	37.78 (27.87)	53	19.92 (22.15)	87	-13.14 [-18.74; -7.54]	2.37	0.558	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	76	36.70 (25.21)	45	18.02 (23.72)	80	-15.51 [-22.36; -8.67]	[-5.58; 10.32]			
Region										
North America										
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^{b}$	12	40.74 (22.89)	5	15.55 (18.59)	13	-23.14 [-40.45; -5.83]	-11.11	0.339	-	0.333
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	15	48.89 (31.65)	5	26.67 (25.58)	17	-12.03 [-33.17; 9.11]	[-33.99; 11.77]			
RoW										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	204	28.59 (24.09)	145	18.31 (19.71)	207	-7.59 [-10.72; -4.46]	0.99	0.635	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	206	27.61 (21.27)	133	16.04 (18.82)	213	-8.59 [-11.90; -5.28]	[-3.12; 5.11]			
Western Europe										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	45	36.05 (27.23)	27	23.04 (26.85)	52	-13.88 [-22.23; -5.54]	0.19	0.972	-	

Study:KEYNOTEA18 ^a EORTCQLQ-C30SymptomScales Fatigue							Pembrolizumab -			
	Baseline		Week 60		Change from Baseline to Week 60		Mean Difference at Week 60		Standardized Mean Difference at Week 60	p-Value for Interaction Test ^g
	$\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{c}}$	Mean (SD)	$\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{c}}$	Mean (SD)	$\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{d}}$	Mean [95 %-CI] ^e	[95 %-CI] ^e p-Value	[95 %-CI] ^f		
Placebo + CCRT ^b	49	34.92 (23.24)	28	22.22 (25.30)	53	-14.07 [-21.42; -6.73]	[-10.43; 10.80]			
Planned Type of EBRT										
IMRT or VMAT										
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^b$	221	29.71 (24.78)	152	19.37 (21.72)	232	-8.34 [-11.58; -5.09]	1.66	0.451	-	0.070
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	230	31.01 (23.34)	140	17.62 (20.82)	242	-9.99 [-13.43; -6.56]	[-2.65; 5.97]			
non-IMRT and non-VMAT										
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^b$	40	34.44 (24.63)	25	16.44 (14.74)	40	-11.74 [-17.65; -5.83]	-4.92	0.215	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	40	25.00 (19.29)	26	16.24 (17.56)	41	-6.82 [-13.20; -0.45]	[-12.69; 2.85]			
Planned Total Radiotherapy D	ose (EB	RT + brachyther	apy dos	e)						
< 70 Gy										
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^b$	29	36.40 (26.54)	19	21.64 (20.11)	29	-8.42 [-17.21; 0.37]	0.72	0.888	-	0.245
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	28	22.62 (19.00)	19	12.86 (13.99)	28	-9.14 [-16.06; -2.21]	[-9.30; 10.74]			
\geq 70 Gy										
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^{b}$	232	29.69 (24.50)	158	18.63 (20.99)	243	-8.99 [-12.08; -5.90]	0.59	0.779	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	242	30.99 (23.13)	147	17.99 (20.94)	255	-9.58 [-12.92; -6.25]	[-3.55; 4.74]			
a: Database Cutoff Date: 08JAN	2024									
b: CCRT is Chemoradiotherapy	[Treatm	ent with cisplatin a	and radio	otherapy (EBRT fo	ollowed b	y brachytherapy)]				
c: Number of participants in full-	-analysis	s-set population wi	ith FIGC	III to IVA with d	ata availa	ble at respective timepoint				

d: Number of participants in full-analysis-set population with FIGO III to IVA with data available for analysis

e: Based on constrained longitudinal data analysis model with the PRO scores as the response variable, and treatment by study visit interaction as covariates

f: Standardized mean difference (Hedges's g) is only calculated if confidence interval for mean difference does not include zero

g: Based on constrained longitudinal data analysis model with change as the response variable, baseline, treatment, subgroup, study visit, treatment by subgroup interaction, treatment by study visit interaction, subgroup by study visit interaction and treatment by study visit and by subgroup interaction as covariates

CCRT: Concurrent Chemoradiotherapy; CI: Confidence Interval; EBRT: External Beam Radiotherapy; ECOG: Eastern Cooperative of Gynecology and Obstetrics; EORTC QLQ-C30: European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer Quality of Life Questionnaire - Core 30 items; FIGO: International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics; Gy: Gray; IMRT: Intensity-Modulated Radiation Therapy; PRO: Patient Reported Outcome; RoW: Rest of the World; SD: Standard Deviation; VMAT: Volumetric Modulated Arc Therapy

EORTC QLQ-C30: Symptomskala Übelkeit und Erbrechen

Tabelle 4G-10: Subgruppenanalysen der Teilpopulation FIGO 2014 Stadium III bis IVA mit nicht signifikantem Interaktionstest ($p \ge 0,05$) für die Symptomskala Übelkeit und Erbrechen aus RCT mit dem zu bewertenden Arzneimittel

Study: KEYNOTE A18 ^a EORTC QLQ-C30 Symptom Scales Nausea and Vomiting							Pembrolizumab -	⊦ CCRT ^b vs.	Placebo + CCRT ^b	
		Baseline		Week 60	Chan	ge from Baseline to Week 60	Mean Difference at Week 60		Standardized Mean Difference at Week 60	p-Value for Interaction Test ^g
	N°	Mean (SD)	N ^c	Mean (SD)	$\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{d}}$	Mean [95 %-CI] ^e	[95 %-CI] ^e	p-Value	[95 %-CI] ^f	1050
Age										
< 65										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	226	9.44 (16.83)	154	4.65 (11.51)	235	-3.73 [-5.75; -1.72]	1.10	0.375	-	0.272
Placebo + CCRT ^b	223	9.04 (18.70)	141	2.01 (7.58)	234	-4.84 [-6.93; -2.75]	[-1.33; 3.54]			
≥65										
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^b$	35	3.33 (7.88)	23	6.52 (10.94)	37	3.33 [-1.04; 7.70]	-0.46	0.905	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	47	3.90 (9.96)	25	7.33 (16.02)	49	3.79 [-2.77; 10.35]	[-7.98; 7.06]			
ECOG Performance Status	1		1							
0										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	176	7.67 (15.31)	124	4.30 (9.47)	185	-2.49 [-4.65; -0.34]	0.14	0.915	-	0.180
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	194	7.04 (16.77)	121	3.44 (10.52)	203	-2.63 [-5.03; -0.23]	[-2.45; 2.74]			
1										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	85	10.59 (17.41)	53	6.29 (15.06)	87	-3.22 [-6.45; 0.02]	3.31	0.145	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	76	10.97 (19.35)	45	1.11 (5.50)	80	-6.53 [-10.07; -2.99]	[-1.14; 7.76]			
Region										
North America										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	12	9.72 (16.60)	5	6.67 (9.13)	13	-21.89 [-38.93; -4.84]	-17.66	0.053	-	0.321
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	15	27.78 (31.91)	5	6.67 (14.91)	17	-4.22 [-20.63; 12.19]	[-35.59; 0.26]			
RoW										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	204	8.66 (15.20)	145	4.48 (11.33)	207	-3.14 [-4.98; -1.30]	-0.11	0.933	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	206	7.69 (16.67)	133	3.26 (10.15)	213	-3.02 [-5.41; -0.64]	[-2.76; 2.53]			
Western Europe										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	45	8.15 (19.66)	27	6.79 (12.45)	52	1.40 [-3.74; 6.54]	6.45	0.006	0.39	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	49	4.08 (10.50)	28	0.00 (0.00)	53	-5.05 [-8.65; -1.45]	[1.85; 11.05]		[0.11; 0.67]	

Study:KEYNOTEA18aEORTCQLQ-C30SymptomScalesNausea and Vomiting								⊦ CCRT ^b vs.	Placebo + CCRT ^b	
		Baseline		Week 60	Chang	ge from Baseline to Week 60	Mean Difference at Week 60		Standardized Mean Difference at Week	p-Value for Interaction
	N°	Mean (SD)	N ^c	Mean (SD)	$\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{d}}$	Mean [95 %-CI] ^e	[95 %-CI] ^e	p-Value	60 [95 %-CI] ^f	Test ^g
Planned Total Radiotherapy D	ose (EB	RT + brachyther	apy dos	e)						
< 70 Gy										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	29	4.60 (8.79)	19	0.88 (3.82)	29	0.01 [-3.75; 3.76]	1.69	0.552	-	0.211
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	28	2.98 (9.13)	19	3.51 (8.92)	28	-1.68 [-7.12; 3.75]	[-3.88; 7.26]			
\geq 70 Gy										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	232	9.12 (16.68)	158	5.38 (11.94)	243	-2.63 [-4.64; -0.62]	1.49	0.251	-	
Placebo + CCRT ^b	242	8.75 (18.23)	147	2.72 (9.56)	255	-4.12 [-6.34; -1.91]	[-1.06; 4.04]			

b: CCRT is Chemoradiotherapy [Treatment with cisplatin and radiotherapy (EBRT followed by brachytherapy)]

c: Number of participants in full-analysis-set population with FIGO III to IVA with data available at respective timepoint

d: Number of participants in full-analysis-set population with FIGO III to IVA with data available for analysis

e: Based on constrained longitudinal data analysis model with the PRO scores as the response variable, and treatment by study visit interaction as covariates

f: Standardized mean difference (Hedges's g) is only calculated if confidence interval for mean difference does not include zero

g: Based on constrained longitudinal data analysis model with change as the response variable, baseline, treatment, subgroup, study visit, treatment by subgroup interaction, treatment by study visit interaction, subgroup by study visit interaction and treatment by study visit and by subgroup interaction as covariates

CCRT: Concurrent Chemoradiotherapy; CI: Confidence Interval; EBRT: External Beam Radiotherapy; ECOG: Eastern Cooperative of Gynecology and Obstetrics; EORTC QLQ-C30: European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer Quality of Life Questionnaire - Core 30 items; FIGO: International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics; Gy: Gray; PRO: Patient Reported Outcome; RoW: Rest of the World; SD: Standard Deviation

EORTC QLQ-C30: Symptomskala Schmerzen

Tabelle 4G-11: Subgruppenanalysen der Teilpopulation FIGO 2014 Stadium III bis IVA mit nicht signifikantem Interaktionstest ($p \ge 0,05$) für die Symptomskala Schmerzen aus RCT mit dem zu bewertenden Arzneimittel

Study: KEYNOTE A18 ^a EORTC QLQ-C30 Symptom Scales Pain							Pembrolizumab -	+ CCRT ^b vs.	Placebo + CCRT ^b	
		Baseline		Week 60	Chan	ge from Baseline to Week 60	Mean Difference at Week 60		Standardized Mean Difference at Week 60	p-Value for Interaction Test ^g
	N ^c	Mean (SD)	N ^c	Mean (SD)	$\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{d}}$	Mean [95 %-CI] ^e	[95 %-CI] ^e	p-Value	[95 %-CI] ^f	1000
ECOG Performance Status										
0										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	176	32.39 (30.06)	124	14.52 (23.76)	185	-16.38 [-20.45; -12.30]	-2.38	0.341	-	0.443
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	194	31.10 (27.00)	121	13.09 (19.98)	203	-13.99 [-17.74; -10.24]	[-7.29; 2.52]			
1										
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^b$	85	43.14 (35.01)	53	18.87 (29.42)	87	-20.80 [-27.79; -13.80]	0.54	0.909	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	76	38.82 (31.43)	45	15.56 (22.02)	80	-21.33 [-29.53; -13.14]	[-8.69; 9.77]			
Region	1									
North America										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	12	51.39 (39.86)	5	16.67 (28.87)	13	-41.17 [-72.11; -10.22]	-20.37	0.159	-	0.959
Placebo + CCRT ^b	15	55.55 (36.00)	5	20.00 (18.26)	17	-20.80 [-38.73; -2.86]	[-48.80; 8.07]			
RoW										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	204	35.29 (31.46)	145	14.71 (24.02)	207	-18.04 [-21.77; -14.31]	-2.58	0.280	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	206	31.80 (27.67)	133	13.41 (20.04)	213	-15.47 [-19.32; -11.61]	[-7.25; 2.10]			
Western Europe										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	45	34.44 (32.45)	27	21.61 (32.63)	52	-13.42 [-23.61; -3.23]	3.96	0.540	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	49	32.65 (26.99)	28	14.29 (23.45)	53	-17.37 [-27.31; -7.43]	[-8.71; 16.63]			
Planned Type of EBRT										
IMRT or VMAT										
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^{b}$	221	35.82 (32.84)	152	16.56 (26.12)	232	-17.22 [-21.20; -13.25]	-0.19	0.938	-	0.238
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	230	34.28 (29.14)	140	13.69 (19.72)	242	-17.03 [-20.98; -13.08]	[-5.05; 4.67]			
non-IMRT and non-VMAT										
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^{b}$	40	36.25 (27.96)	25	11.33 (21.90)	40	-21.69 [-28.86; -14.51]	-11.34	0.021	-0.56	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	40	27.50 (23.74)	26	14.10 (24.81)	41	-10.35 [-17.93; -2.77]	[-21.00; -1.68]		[-1.03; -0.08]	

Study: KEYNOTE A18 ^a EORTC QLQ-C30 Symptom Scales Pain							Pembrolizumab -	- CCRT ^b vs.	Placebo + CCRT ^b	
		Baseline		Week 60	Chan	ge from Baseline to Week 60	Mean Difference at Week 60		Standardized Mean Difference at Week	p-Value for Interaction
									60	Test ^g
	N ^c	Mean (SD)	N ^c	Mean (SD)	$\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{d}}$	Mean [95 %-CI] ^e	[95 %-CI] ^e	p-Value	[95 %-CI] ^f	
Planned Total Radiotherapy D	ose (EB	RT + brachyther	apy dos	e)						
< 70 Gy										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	29	41.95 (27.68)	19	15.79 (31.66)	29	-20.29 [-31.11; -9.47]	-2.42	0.717	-	0.200
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	28	25.00 (21.99)	19	8.77 (17.00)	28	-17.86 [-26.79; -8.94]	[-15.57; 10.72]			
\geq 70 Gy										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	232	35.13 (32.57)	158	15.82 (24.87)	243	-17.39 [-21.16; -13.62]	-1.62	0.496	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	242	34.23 (29.01)	147	14.40 (20.89)	255	-15.77 [-19.62; -11.92]	[-6.30; 3.05]			

b: CCRT is Chemoradiotherapy [Treatment with cisplatin and radiotherapy (EBRT followed by brachytherapy)]

c: Number of participants in full-analysis-set population with FIGO III to IVA with data available at respective timepoint

d: Number of participants in full-analysis-set population with FIGO III to IVA with data available for analysis

e: Based on constrained longitudinal data analysis model with the PRO scores as the response variable, and treatment by study visit interaction as covariates

f: Standardized mean difference (Hedges's g) is only calculated if confidence interval for mean difference does not include zero

g: Based on constrained longitudinal data analysis model with change as the response variable, baseline, treatment, subgroup, study visit, treatment by subgroup interaction, treatment by study visit interaction, subgroup by study visit interaction and treatment by study visit and by subgroup interaction as covariates

CCRT: Concurrent Chemoradiotherapy; CI: Confidence Interval; EBRT: External Beam Radiotherapy; ECOG: Eastern Cooperative of Gynecology and Obstetrics; EORTC QLQ-C30: European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer Quality of Life Questionnaire - Core 30 items; FIGO: International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics; Gy: Gray; IMRT: Intensity-Modulated Radiation Therapy; PRO: Patient Reported Outcome; RoW: Rest of the World; SD: Standard Deviation; VMAT: Volumetric Modulated Arc Therapy

EORTC QLQ-C30: Symptomskala Atemnot (Dyspnoe)

Tabelle 4G-12: Subgruppenanalysen der Teilpopulation FIGO 2014 Stadium III bis IVA mit nicht signifikantem Interaktionstest ($p \ge 0,05$) für die Symptomskala Atemnot (Dyspnoe) aus RCT mit dem zu bewertenden Arzneimittel

Study:KEYNOTEA18 ^a EORTCQLQ-C30SymptomScalesDyspnoea							Pembrolizumab	+ CCRT ^b vs.	Placebo + CCRT ^b	
		Baseline		Week 60	Chang	ge from Baseline to Week 60	Mean Difference at Week 60		Standardized Mean Difference at Week 60	p-Value for Interaction Test ^g
	N ^c	Mean (SD)	N ^c	Mean (SD)	$\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{d}}$	Mean [95 %-CI] ^e	[95 %-CI] ^e	p-Value	[95 %-CI] ^f	
Age										
< 65										
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^{b}$	226	10.18 (19.36)	154	6.93 (15.09)	235	-1.79 [-4.47; 0.90]	0.94	0.531	-	0.397
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	223	8.67 (18.57)	141	5.20 (12.78)	234	-2.73 [-4.98; -0.48]	[-2.01; 3.90]			
≥65										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	35	8.57 (16.85)	23	8.69 (14.96)	37	1.38 [-3.87; 6.64]	-1.78	0.659	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	47	9.93 (15.41)	25	13.33 (19.24)	49	3.17 [-3.14; 9.48]	[-9.71; 6.15]			
ECOG Performance Status										
0										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	176	8.90 (16.80)	124	6.45 (14.52)	185	-1.49 [-4.38; 1.39]	-0.45	0.788	-	0.470
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	194	9.11 (19.27)	121	6.61 (14.67)	203	-1.04 [-3.52; 1.45]	[-3.78; 2.87]			
1										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	85	12.16 (22.91)	53	8.80 (16.21)	87	-1.86 [-6.45; 2.72]	2.03	0.455	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	76	8.33 (14.53)	45	5.93 (12.89)	80	-3.90 [-8.17; 0.38]	[-3.30; 7.37]			
Region										
North America										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	12	5.56 (12.97)	5	6.67 (14.91)	13	- [-; -]	-	-	-	n.a.
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	15	8.89 (15.26)	5	6.67 (14.91)	17	- [-; -]				
RoW										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	204	9.15 (18.51)	145	6.67 (14.49)	207	- [-; -]	-	-	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	206	8.09 (17.09)	133	5.76 (12.65)	213	- [-; -]				
Western Europe										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	45	14.81 (21.97)	27	9.88 (18.06)	52	- [-; -]	-	-	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	49	12.24 (22.25)	28	9.52 (19.99)	53	- [-; -]				

Study: KEYNOTE A18 ^a EORTC QLQ-C30 Symptom Scales Dyspnoea							Pembrolizumab -	+ CCRT ^b vs.	Placebo + CCRT ^b	
		Baseline		Week 60	Chang	e from Baseline to Week 60	Mean Difference at Week 60		Standardized Mean Difference at Week	p-Value for Interaction
									60	Test ^g
	N ^c	Mean (SD)	N ^c	Mean (SD)	$\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{d}}$	Mean [95 %-CI] ^e	[95 %-CI] ^e	p-Value	[95 %-CI] ^f	
Planned Type of EBRT										
IMRT or VMAT										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	221	8.60 (17.45)	152	7.02 (15.17)	232	-0.73 [-3.28; 1.83]	0.85	0.580	-	0.064
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	230	8.55 (18.15)	140	5.95 (14.00)	242	-1.58 [-3.91; 0.75]	[-2.18; 3.88]			
non-IMRT and non-VMAT										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	40	17.50 (25.02)	25	8.00 (14.53)	40	-5.94 [-13.56; 1.69]	-2.59	0.520	-	
Placebo + CCRT ^b	40	10.83 (17.52)	26	8.97 (15.08)	41	-3.35 [-8.80; 2.10]	[-10.49; 5.32]			

b: CCRT is Chemoradiotherapy [Treatment with cisplatin and radiotherapy (EBRT followed by brachytherapy)]

c: Number of participants in full-analysis-set population with FIGO III to IVA with data available at respective timepoint

d: Number of participants in full-analysis-set population with FIGO III to IVA with data available for analysis

e: Based on constrained longitudinal data analysis model with the PRO scores as the response variable, and treatment by study visit interaction as covariates

f: Standardized mean difference (Hedges's g) is only calculated if confidence interval for mean difference does not include zero

g: Based on constrained longitudinal data analysis model with change as the response variable, baseline, treatment, subgroup, study visit, treatment by subgroup interaction, treatment by study visit interaction, subgroup by study visit interaction and treatment by study visit and by subgroup interaction as covariates

CCRT: Concurrent Chemoradiotherapy; CI: Confidence Interval; EBRT: External Beam Radiotherapy; ECOG: Eastern Cooperative of Gynecology and Obstetrics; EORTC QLQ-C30: European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer Quality of Life Questionnaire - Core 30 items; FIGO: International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics; IMRT: Intensity-Modulated Radiation Therapy; n.a.: not applicable (when estimation not possible); PRO: Patient Reported Outcome; RoW: Rest of the World; SD: Standard Deviation; VMAT: Volumetric Modulated Arc Therapy

EORTC QLQ-C30: Symptomskala Schlaflosigkeit

Tabelle 4G-13: Subgruppenanalysen der Teilpopulation FIGO 2014 Stadium III bis IVA mit nicht signifikantem Interaktionstest ($p \ge 0.05$) für die Symptomskala Schlaflosigkeit aus RCT mit dem zu bewertenden Arzneimittel

Study:KEYNOTEA18 ^a EORTCQLQ-C30SymptomScalesInsomnia							Pembrolizumab	+ CCRT ^b vs.	Placebo + CCRT ^b	
		Baseline		Week 60	Chan	ge from Baseline to Week 60	Mean Difference at Week 60		Standardized Mean Difference at Week 60	p-Value for Interaction Test ^g
	N ^c	Mean (SD)	N ^c	Mean (SD)	$\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{d}}$	Mean [95 %-CI] ^e	[95 %-CI] ^e	p-Value	[95 %-CI] ^f	1050
Age										
< 65										
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^{b}$	226	32.01 (30.84)	154	15.58 (25.33)	235	-14.15 [-18.32; -9.98]	-0.93	0.715	-	0.106
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	223	31.09 (29.85)	141	13.47 (21.81)	234	-13.22 [-17.19; -9.25]	[-5.94; 4.08]			
≥ 65										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	35	22.86 (27.74)	23	21.74 (19.09)	37	-3.86 [-12.77; 5.04]	5.12	0.371	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	47	34.04 (30.68)	25	22.67 (26.74)	49	-8.98 [-18.42; 0.46]	[-6.11; 16.35]			
ECOG Performance Status										
0										
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^{b}$	176	26.70 (28.95)	124	16.13 (24.23)	185	-10.14 [-14.65; -5.64]	-0.70	0.804	-	0.231
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	194	29.72 (29.06)	121	15.43 (23.19)	203	-9.44 [-13.80; -5.09]	[-6.23; 4.83]			
1										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	85	39.22 (32.19)	53	16.98 (25.84)	87	-18.34 [-25.51; -11.17]	2.11	0.615	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	76	36.40 (31.82)	45	13.33 (21.79)	80	-20.45 [-26.81; -14.09]	[-6.11; 10.33]			
Region										
North America										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	12	47.22 (33.21)	5	20.00 (29.82)	13	- [-; -]	-	-	-	n.a.
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	15	62.22 (33.02)	5	20.00 (18.26)	17	- [-; -]				
RoW										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	204	28.43 (29.74)	145	15.63 (23.91)	207	- [-; -]	-	-	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	206	28.64 (28.22)	133	14.54 (23.34)	213	- [-; -]				
Western Europe										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	45	37.04 (31.96)	27	19.75 (28.13)	52	- [-; -]	-	-	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	49	34.69 (31.15)	28	15.48 (21.24)	53	- [-; -]				

Study: KEYNOTE A18 ^a EORTC QLQ-C30 Symptom Scales Insomnia							Pembrolizumab -	- CCRT ^b vs.	Placebo + CCRT ^b	
		Baseline		Week 60	Chan	ge from Baseline to Week 60	Mean Difference at Week 60		Standardized Mean Difference at Week 60	p-Value for Interaction Test ^g
	$\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{c}}$	Mean (SD)	$\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{c}}$	Mean (SD)	$\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{d}}$	Mean [95 %-CI] ^e	[95 %-CI] ^e	p-Value	[95 %-CI] ^f	
Planned Type of EBRT										
IMRT or VMAT										
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^{b}$	221	30.47 (31.57)	152	16.67 (25.73)	232	-13.04 [-17.36; -8.72]	1.31	0.616	-	0.205
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	230	33.04 (30.99)	140	14.29 (23.02)	242	-14.35 [-18.41; -10.29]	[-3.82; 6.45]			
non-IMRT and non-VMAT										
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^b$	40	32.50 (24.45)	25	14.67 (16.89)	40	-14.26 [-21.22; -7.29]	-11.48	0.014	-0.57	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	40	23.33 (21.62)	26	17.95 (21.56)	41	-2.78 [-9.92; 4.37]	[-20.60; -2.36]		[-1.02; -0.12]	
Planned Total Radiotherapy I	Dose (EB	BRT + brachyther	apy dos	e)						
< 70 Gy										
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^{b}$	29	39.08 (23.69)	19	17.54 (20.39)	29	-11.04 [-21.27; -0.82]	3.55	0.573	-	0.496
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	28	23.81 (21.96)	19	12.28 (19.91)	28	-14.59 [-23.88; -5.31]	[-8.83; 15.93]			
\geq 70 Gy										
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^b$	232	29.74 (31.19)	158	16.24 (25.16)	243	-12.53 [-16.68; -8.39]	0.05	0.985	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	242	32.51 (30.66)	147	15.19 (23.16)	255	-12.58 [-16.54; -8.63]	[-4.92; 5.02]			

b: CCRT is Chemoradiotherapy [Treatment with cisplatin and radiotherapy (EBRT followed by brachytherapy)]

c: Number of participants in full-analysis-set population with FIGO III to IVA with data available at respective timepoint

d: Number of participants in full-analysis-set population with FIGO III to IVA with data available for analysis

e: Based on constrained longitudinal data analysis model with the PRO scores as the response variable, and treatment by study visit interaction as covariates

f: Standardized mean difference (Hedges's g) is only calculated if confidence interval for mean difference does not include zero

g: Based on constrained longitudinal data analysis model with change as the response variable, baseline, treatment, subgroup, study visit, treatment by subgroup interaction, treatment by study visit interaction, subgroup by study visit interaction and treatment by study visit and by subgroup interaction as covariates

CCRT: Concurrent Chemoradiotherapy; CI: Confidence Interval; EBRT: External Beam Radiotherapy; ECOG: Eastern Cooperative of Gynecology and Obstetrics; EORTC QLQ-C30: European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer Quality of Life Questionnaire - Core 30 items; FIGO: International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics; Gy: Gray; IMRT: Intensity-Modulated Radiation Therapy; n.a.: not applicable (when estimation not possible); PRO: Patient Reported Outcome; RoW: Rest of the World; SD: Standard Deviation; VMAT: Volumetric Modulated Arc Therapy

EORTC QLQ-C30: Symptomskala Appetitlosigkeit

Tabelle 4G-14: Subgruppenanalysen der Teilpopulation FIGO 2014 Stadium III bis IVA mit nicht signifikantem Interaktionstest ($p \ge 0,05$) für die Symptomskala Appetitlosigkeit aus RCT mit dem zu bewertenden Arzneimittel

Study:KEYNOTEA18 ^a EORTCQLQ-C30SymptomScales Appetite Loss							Pembrolizumab	+ CCRT ^b vs.	Placebo + CCRT ^b	
		Baseline		Week 60	Chan	ge from Baseline to Week 60	Mean Difference at Week 60		Standardized Mean Difference at Week 60	p-Value for Interaction Test ^g
	N ^c	Mean (SD)	N ^c	Mean (SD)	$\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{d}}$	Mean [95 %-CI] ^e	[95 %-CI] ^e	p-Value	[95 %-CI] ^f	1050
Age										
< 65										
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^{b} \\$	226	19.76 (26.16)	154	9.74 (20.85)	235	-9.13 [-12.76; -5.49]	3.64	0.058	-	0.106
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	223	21.37 (28.59)	141	4.02 (12.26)	234	-12.76 [-15.69; -9.84]	[-0.12; 7.39]			
≥ 65										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	35	16.19 (23.39)	23	7.25 (14.06)	37	-11.03 [-18.37; -3.68]	-4.40	0.345	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	47	20.57 (27.41)	25	12.00 (18.95)	49	-6.63 [-15.90; 2.64]	[-13.54; 4.75]			
ECOG Performance Status										
0										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	176	15.34 (22.50)	124	8.87 (19.06)	185	-6.39 [-10.15; -2.63]	2.35	0.258	-	0.293
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	194	17.87 (25.65)	121	5.23 (13.61)	203	-8.74 [-12.05; -5.43]	[-1.72; 6.42]			
1										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	85	27.45 (30.07)	53	10.69 (22.43)	87	-14.93 [-21.21; -8.65]	4.94	0.164	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	76	29.82 (32.92)	45	5.18 (14.13)	80	-19.87 [-25.64; -14.10]	[-2.02; 11.90]			
Region										
North America										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	12	22.22 (25.95)	5	6.67 (14.91)	13	- [-; -]	-	-	-	n.a.
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	15	44.44 (34.89)	5	6.67 (14.91)	17	- [-; -]				
RoW										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	204	18.79 (25.86)	145	9.20 (20.22)	207	- [-; -]	-	-	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	206	19.90 (27.89)	133	4.26 (12.59)	213	- [-; -]				
Western Europe										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	45	20.74 (25.91)	27	11.11 (20.67)	52	- [-; -]	-	-	-	
Placebo + CCRT ^b	49	19.73 (25.38)	28	9.52 (17.82)	53	- [-; -]				

Study: KEYNOTE A18 ^a EORTC QLQ-C30 Symptom Scales Appetite Loss							Pembrolizumab -	- CCRT ^b vs.	Placebo + CCRT ^b	
		Baseline		Week 60	Chan	ge from Baseline to Week 60	Mean Difference at Week 60		Standardized Mean Difference at Week 60	p-Value for Interaction Test ^g
	N ^c	Mean (SD)	N ^c	Mean (SD)	$\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{d}}$	Mean [95 %-CI] ^e	[95 %-CI] ^e	p-Value	[95 %-CI] ^f	
Planned Type of EBRT										
IMRT or VMAT										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	221	19.00 (26.80)	152	9.87 (20.96)	232	-8.56 [-12.17; -4.94]	4.44	0.025	0.19	0.362
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	230	22.32 (29.30)	140	5.00 (13.81)	242	-13.00 [-16.21; -9.79]	[0.56; 8.33]		[0.02; 0.36]	
non-IMRT and non-VMAT										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	40	20.83 (19.52)	25	6.67 (13.61)	40	-11.76 [-18.99; -4.53]	-5.69	0.167	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	40	15.00 (21.28)	26	6.41 (13.40)	41	-6.07 [-12.19; 0.04]	[-13.75; 2.38]			

b: CCRT is Chemoradiotherapy [Treatment with cisplatin and radiotherapy (EBRT followed by brachytherapy)]

c: Number of participants in full-analysis-set population with FIGO III to IVA with data available at respective timepoint

d: Number of participants in full-analysis-set population with FIGO III to IVA with data available for analysis

e: Based on constrained longitudinal data analysis model with the PRO scores as the response variable, and treatment by study visit interaction as covariates

f: Standardized mean difference (Hedges's g) is only calculated if confidence interval for mean difference does not include zero

g: Based on constrained longitudinal data analysis model with change as the response variable, baseline, treatment, subgroup, study visit, treatment by subgroup interaction, treatment by study visit interaction, subgroup by study visit interaction and treatment by study visit and by subgroup interaction as covariates

CCRT: Concurrent Chemoradiotherapy; CI: Confidence Interval; EBRT: External Beam Radiotherapy; ECOG: Eastern Cooperative of Gynecology and Obstetrics; EORTC QLQ-C30: European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer Quality of Life Questionnaire - Core 30 items; FIGO: International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics; IMRT: Intensity-Modulated Radiation Therapy; n.a.: not applicable (when estimation not possible); PRO: Patient Reported Outcome; RoW: Rest of the World; SD: Standard Deviation; VMAT: Volumetric Modulated Arc Therapy

EORTC QLQ-C30: Symptomskala Verstopfung

Tabelle 4G-15: Subgruppenanalysen der Teilpopulation FIGO 2014 Stadium III bis IVA mit nicht signifikantem Interaktionstest ($p \ge 0,05$) für die Symptomskala Verstopfung aus RCT mit dem zu bewertenden Arzneimittel

Study:KEYNOTEA18 ^a EORTCQLQ-C30SymptomScalesConstipation							Pembrolizumab	+ CCRT ^b vs.	Placebo + CCRT ^b	
		Baseline		Week 60	Chan	ge from Baseline to Week 60	Mean Difference at Week 60		Standardized Mean Difference at Week 60	p-Value for Interaction Test ^g
	N ^c	Mean (SD)	N ^c	Mean (SD)	$\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{d}}$	Mean [95 %-CI] ^e	[95 %-CI] ^e	p-Value	[95 %-CI] ^f	1050
Age										
< 65										
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^{b}$	226	23.75 (30.49)	154	8.22 (18.79)	235	-15.00 [-18.40; -11.61]	-3.64	0.095	-	0.578
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	223	24.51 (29.46)	141	10.64 (21.57)	234	-11.37 [-15.26; -7.47]	[-7.91; 0.63]			
≥ 65										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	35	20.95 (24.37)	23	13.04 (24.08)	37	-8.12 [-17.32; 1.09]	2.57	0.646	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	47	22.69 (30.38)	25	9.33 (18.05)	49	-10.69 [-18.84; -2.54]	[-8.40; 13.54]			
ECOG Performance Status										
0										
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^{b}$	176	18.75 (26.82)	124	9.41 (19.74)	185	-9.77 [-13.30; -6.25]	-3.85	0.106	-	0.053
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	194	21.48 (27.61)	121	12.40 (22.00)	203	-5.93 [-9.87; -1.98]	[-8.52; 0.82]			
1										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	85	32.94 (33.13)	53	7.55 (19.22)	87	-23.44 [-29.81; -17.08]	1.44	0.676	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	76	31.14 (33.26)	45	5.18 (17.34)	80	-24.88 [-31.42; -18.35]	[-5.33; 8.22]			
Region										
North America										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	12	41.67 (35.18)	5	13.33 (29.82)	13	- [-; -]	-	-	-	n.a.
Placebo + CCRT ^b	15	40.00 (33.81)	5	13.33 (18.26)	17	- [-; -]				
RoW										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	204	23.86 (29.17)	145	8.96 (18.94)	207	- [-; -]	-	-	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	206	24.11 (28.82)	133	11.53 (22.49)	213	- [-; -]				
Western Europe										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	45	16.30 (28.97)	27	7.41 (21.35)	52	- [-; -]	-	-	-	
Placebo + CCRT ^b	49	19.73 (30.37)	28	4.76 (11.88)	53	- [-; -]				

Study:KEYNOTEA18 ^a EORTCQLQ-C30SymptomScalesConstipation							Pembrolizumab -	+ CCRT ^b vs.	Placebo + CCRT ^b	
		Baseline		Week 60	Chan	ge from Baseline to Week 60	Mean Difference at Week 60		Standardized Mean Difference at Week 60	p-Value for Interaction Test ^g
	N ^c	Mean (SD)	$\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{c}}$	Mean (SD)	$\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{d}}$	Mean [95 %-CI] ^e	[95 %-CI] ^e	p-Value	[95 %-CI] ^f	
Planned Type of EBRT										
IMRT or VMAT										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	221	25.04 (30.09)	152	9.65 (20.53)	232	-14.89 [-18.49; -11.28]	-1.18	0.598	-	0.470
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	230	25.22 (30.71)	140	9.29 (20.41)	242	-13.71 [-17.62; -9.79]	[-5.56; 3.20]			
non-IMRT and non-VMAT										
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^{b}$	40	14.17 (26.03)	25	4.00 (11.05)	40	-9.81 [-16.20; -3.42]	-10.10	0.023	-0.58	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	40	18.33 (21.28)	26	16.67 (23.57)	41	0.29 [-6.97; 7.54]	[-18.78; -1.42]		[-1.08; -0.08]	
Planned Total Radiotherapy D	ose (EB	RT + brachyther	apy dos	e)						
< 70 Gy										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	29	24.14 (29.41)	19	12.28 (25.36)	29	-10.73 [-22.24; 0.79]	-3.03	0.679	-	0.512
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	28	26.19 (24.61)	19	17.54 (25.74)	28	-7.69 [-18.04; 2.66]	[-17.40; 11.34]			
$\geq 70 \text{ Gy}$										
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^b$	232	23.28 (29.82)	158	8.44 (18.79)	243	-14.65 [-17.96; -11.33]	-2.63	0.203	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	242	23.97 (30.13)	147	9.52 (20.27)	255	-12.01 [-15.75; -8.27]	[-6.69; 1.42]			

b: CCRT is Chemoradiotherapy [Treatment with cisplatin and radiotherapy (EBRT followed by brachytherapy)]

c: Number of participants in full-analysis-set population with FIGO III to IVA with data available at respective timepoint

d: Number of participants in full-analysis-set population with FIGO III to IVA with data available for analysis

e: Based on constrained longitudinal data analysis model with the PRO scores as the response variable, and treatment by study visit interaction as covariates

f: Standardized mean difference (Hedges's g) is only calculated if confidence interval for mean difference does not include zero

g: Based on constrained longitudinal data analysis model with change as the response variable, baseline, treatment, subgroup, study visit, treatment by subgroup interaction, treatment by study visit interaction, subgroup by study visit interaction and treatment by study visit and by subgroup interaction as covariates

CCRT: Concurrent Chemoradiotherapy; CI: Confidence Interval; EBRT: External Beam Radiotherapy; ECOG: Eastern Cooperative of Gynecology and Obstetrics; EORTC QLQ-C30: European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer Quality of Life Questionnaire - Core 30 items; FIGO: International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics; Gy: Gray; IMRT: Intensity-Modulated Radiation Therapy; n.a.: not applicable (when estimation not possible); PRO: Patient Reported Outcome; RoW: Rest of the World; SD: Standard Deviation; VMAT: Volumetric Modulated Arc Therapy

EORTC QLQ-C30: Symptomskala Diarrhö

Tabelle 4G-16: Subgruppenanalysen der Teilpopulation FIGO 2014 Stadium III bis IVA mit nicht signifikantem Interaktionstest ($p \ge 0,05$) für die Symptomskala Diarrhö aus RCT mit dem zu bewertenden Arzneimittel

Study:KEYNOTEA18 ^a EORTCQLQ-C30SymptomScalesDiarrhoea							Pembrolizumab +	- CCRT ^b vs.	Placebo + CCRT ^b	
		Baseline		Week 60	Chan	ge from Baseline to Week 60	Mean Difference at Week 60		Standardized Mean Difference at Week 60	p-Value for Interaction Test ^g
	N ^c	Mean (SD)	N ^c	Mean (SD)	$\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{d}}$	Mean [95 %-CI] ^e	[95 %-CI] ^e	p-Value	[95 %-CI] ^f	2000
Age										
< 65										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	226	5.75 (16.67)	154	10.61 (20.06)	235	4.93 [1.59; 8.27]	4.39	0.026	0.21	0.572
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	223	6.73 (16.73)	141	6.15 (15.22)	234	0.54 [-2.18; 3.26]	[0.53; 8.25]		[0.03; 0.39]	
≥65										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	35	2.86 (9.47)	23	5.80 (12.92)	37	3.91 [-1.09; 8.92]	-0.69	0.859	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	47	4.96 (15.51)	25	8.00 (14.53)	49	4.60 [-1.84; 11.04]	[-8.34; 6.96]			
ECOG Performance Status							1			1
0										
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^{b}$	176	3.79 (12.27)	124	8.87 (18.09)	185	4.66 [1.27; 8.04]	2.21	0.292	-	0.061
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	194	5.84 (16.63)	121	7.16 (15.64)	203	2.45 [-0.55; 5.45]	[-1.90; 6.32]			
1										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	85	8.63 (21.30)	53	12.58 (21.90)	87	5.05 [-1.14; 11.23]	7.33	0.032	0.32	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	76	7.89 (16.21)	45	4.44 (13.48)	80	-2.29 [-6.79; 2.22]	[0.65; 14.01]		[0.03; 0.61]	
Region										
North America										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	12	16.67 (33.33)	5	6.67 (14.91)	13	-18.43 [-40.85; 4.00]	-34.13	0.004	-1.30	0.130
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	15	20.00 (32.85)	5	13.33 (18.26)	17	15.70 [4.73; 26.68]	[-56.99; -11.27]		[-2.17; -0.43]	
RoW										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	204	4.57 (13.27)	145	8.73 (16.21)	207	4.46 [1.67; 7.25]	3.43	0.054	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	206	5.66 (14.55)	133	5.26 (14.12)	213	1.03 [-1.65; 3.72]	[-0.05; 6.91]			
Western Europe										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	45	5.93 (19.19)	27	17.28 (31.17)	52	11.30 [0.15; 22.44]	8.17	0.177	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	49	5.44 (15.73)	28	10.71 (18.26)	53	3.13 [-2.45; 8.71]	[-3.69; 20.03]			

Study:KEYNOTEA18 ^a EORTCQLQ-C30SymptomScalesDiarrhoea							Pembrolizumab -	- CCRT ^b vs.	Placebo + CCRT ^b	
		Baseline		Week 60	Chang	e from Baseline to Week 60	Mean Difference at Week 60		Standardized Mean Difference at Week 60	p-Value for Interaction Test ^g
	N ^c	Mean (SD)	N ^c	Mean (SD)	$\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{d}}$	Mean [95 %-CI] ^e	[95 %-CI] ^e	p-Value	[95 %-CI] ^f	
Planned Type of EBRT										
IMRT or VMAT										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	221	5.73 (15.81)	152	9.87 (19.87)	232	4.26 [0.94; 7.57]	4.38	0.023	0.21	0.585
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	230	6.38 (16.71)	140	5.48 (14.20)	242	-0.13 [-2.74; 2.48]	[0.61; 8.16]		[0.03; 0.39]	
non-IMRT and non-VMAT										
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^{b}$	40	3.33 (16.54)	25	10.67 (15.87)	40	7.81 [1.34; 14.29]	-0.10	0.982	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	40	6.67 (15.47)	26	11.54 (18.72)	41	7.91 [1.02; 14.80]	[-8.85; 8.65]			
Planned Total Radiotherapy D	ose (EB	RT + brachyther	apy dos	e)						
< 70 Gy										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	29	4.60 (19.36)	19	8.77 (15.08)	29	4.11 [-2.97; 11.19]	-5.65	0.278	-	0.703
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	28	7.14 (16.62)	19	14.03 (20.23)	28	9.76 [1.25; 18.26]	[-15.86; 4.57]			
\geq 70 Gy										
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^b$	232	5.46 (15.47)	158	10.13 (19.80)	243	4.76 [1.52; 8.00]	4.62	0.014	0.22	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	242	6.34 (16.53)	147	5.44 (14.09)	255	0.14 [-2.39; 2.68]	[0.94; 8.29]		[0.05; 0.40]	

b: CCRT is Chemoradiotherapy [Treatment with cisplatin and radiotherapy (EBRT followed by brachytherapy)]

c: Number of participants in full-analysis-set population with FIGO III to IVA with data available at respective timepoint

d: Number of participants in full-analysis-set population with FIGO III to IVA with data available for analysis

e: Based on constrained longitudinal data analysis model with the PRO scores as the response variable, and treatment by study visit interaction as covariates

f: Standardized mean difference (Hedges's g) is only calculated if confidence interval for mean difference does not include zero

g: Based on constrained longitudinal data analysis model with change as the response variable, baseline, treatment, subgroup, study visit, treatment by subgroup interaction, treatment by study visit interaction, subgroup by study visit interaction and treatment by study visit and by subgroup interaction as covariates

CCRT: Concurrent Chemoradiotherapy; CI: Confidence Interval; EBRT: External Beam Radiotherapy; ECOG: Eastern Cooperative of Gynecology and Obstetrics; EORTC QLQ-C30: European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer Quality of Life Questionnaire - Core 30 items; FIGO: International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics; Gy: Gray; IMRT: Intensity-Modulated Radiation Therapy; PRO: Patient Reported Outcome; RoW: Rest of the World; SD: Standard Deviation; VMAT: Volumetric Modulated Arc Therapy

EORTC QLQ-CX24: Symptomskala Symptomerleben

Tabelle 4G-17: Subgruppenanalysen der Teilpopulation FIGO 2014 Stadium III bis IVA mit nicht signifikantem Interaktionstest ($p \ge 0,05$) für die Symptomskala Symptomerleben aus RCT mit dem zu bewertenden Arzneimittel

Study:KEYNOTEA18*EORTCQLQ-CX24SymptomScalesSymptomExperience							Pembrolizumab	+ CCRT ^b vs.	Placebo + CCRT ^b	
		Baseline		Week 60	Chan	ge from Baseline to Week 60	Mean Difference at Week 60		Standardized Mean Difference at Week 60	p-Value for Interaction Test ^g
	N ^c	Mean (SD)	N ^c	Mean (SD)	$\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{d}}$	Mean [95 %-CI] ^e	[95 %-CI] ^e	p-Value	[95 %-CI] ^f	
Age										
< 65										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	224	21.16 (14.86)	154	9.86 (12.12)	235	-11.29 [-13.06; -9.52]	0.26	0.819	-	0.092
Placebo + CCRT ^b	222	21.40 (15.47)	141	7.84 (10.84)	234	-11.55 [-13.45; -9.65]	[-1.98; 2.51]			
≥ 65										
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^{b}$	35	16.88 (13.44)	23	8.56 (10.03)	37	-8.64 [-13.21; -4.07]	-0.31	0.910	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	45	19.80 (17.04)	25	11.15 (11.29)	47	-8.33 [-13.03; -3.63]	[-5.61; 5.00]			
ECOG Performance Status									I	1
0										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	175	18.87 (13.76)	124	9.04 (11.81)	185	-10.35 [-12.40; -8.30]	-0.64	0.621	-	0.176
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	192	20.55 (15.39)	121	8.76 (11.60)	202	-9.71 [-11.77; -7.65]	[-3.19; 1.90]			
1										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	84	24.13 (16.07)	53	11.21 (11.92)	87	-11.87 [-14.63; -9.11]	2.81	0.121	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	75	22.62 (16.55)	45	7.20 (8.93)	79	-14.68 [-18.09; -11.26]	[-0.74; 6.36]			
Region										
North America										
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^{b}$	12	25.76 (10.92)	5	9.70 (9.44)	13	-14.27 [-22.54; -6.00]	0.33	0.950	-	0.329
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	15	34.54 (22.44)	5	9.70 (7.23)	17	-14.60 [-24.46; -4.74]	[-10.12; 10.78]			
RoW										
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^{b}$	203	20.75 (14.92)	145	9.65 (11.66)	207	-10.86 [-12.75; -8.96]	-0.13	0.917	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	205	21.20 (15.25)	133	8.77 (11.44)	212	-10.73 [-12.74; -8.72]	[-2.51; 2.26]			
Western Europe										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	44	18.39 (14.59)	27	9.88 (13.54)	52	-9.89 [-13.29; -6.48]	0.82	0.698	-	

Study:KEYNOTEA18aEORTCQLQ-CX24SymptomScalesSymptomExperience							Pembrolizumab +	- CCRT ^b vs.	Placebo + CCRT ^b	
		Baseline		Week 60	Chan	ge from Baseline to Week 60	Mean Difference at Week 60		Standardized Mean Difference at Week 60	p-Value for Interaction Test ^g
	$\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{c}}$	Mean (SD)	$\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{c}}$	Mean (SD)	$\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{d}}$	Mean [95 %-CI] ^e	[95 %-CI] ^e	p-Value	[95 %-CI] ^f	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	47	16.57 (12.81)	28	6.06 (8.73)	52	-10.71 [-14.11; -7.31]	[-3.33; 4.97]			
Planned Type of EBRT										
IMRT or VMAT										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	219	20.57 (15.06)	152	10.31 (12.46)	232	-10.43 [-12.30; -8.56]	1.17	0.321	-	0.643
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	227	21.50 (16.27)	140	8.07 (10.51)	240	-11.60 [-13.58; -9.62]	[-1.14; 3.48]			
non-IMRT and non-VMAT										
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^b$	40	20.60 (12.92)	25	5.94 (5.96)	40	-13.11 [-16.17; -10.06]	-4.55	0.030	-0.56	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	40	19.01 (12.06)	26	9.79 (13.15)	41	-8.57 [-11.90; -5.24]	[-8.66; -0.43]		[-1.07; -0.05]	
Planned Total Radiotherapy	Dose (EB	RT + brachyther	apy dos	e)	1		1			
< 70 Gy										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	29	22.15 (14.53)	19	8.45 (9.87)	29	-10.61 [-15.53; -5.70]	0.46	0.861	-	0.283
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	28	17.10 (8.17)	19	6.54 (7.84)	28	-11.07 [-14.37; -7.78]	[-4.70; 5.62]			
$\geq 70 \text{ Gy}$										
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^b$	230	20.38 (14.77)	158	9.84 (12.09)	243	-10.82 [-12.57; -9.07]	0.33	0.771	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	239	21.60 (16.32)	147	8.57 (11.27)	253	-11.15 [-13.10; -9.21]	[-1.91; 2.58]			

b: CCRT is Chemoradiotherapy [Treatment with cisplatin and radiotherapy (EBRT followed by brachytherapy)]

c: Number of participants in full-analysis-set population with FIGO III to IVA with data available at respective timepoint

d: Number of participants in full-analysis-set population with FIGO III to IVA with data available for analysis

e: Based on constrained longitudinal data analysis model with the PRO scores as the response variable, and treatment by study visit interaction as covariates

f: Standardized mean difference (Hedges's g) is only calculated if confidence interval for mean difference does not include zero

g: Based on constrained longitudinal data analysis model with change as the response variable, baseline, treatment, subgroup, study visit, treatment by subgroup interaction, treatment by study visit interaction, subgroup by study visit interaction and treatment by study visit and by subgroup interaction as covariates

CCRT: Concurrent Chemoradiotherapy; CI: Confidence Interval; EBRT: External Beam Radiotherapy; ECOG: Eastern Cooperative of Gynecology and Obstetrics; EORTC QLQ-CX24: European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer Quality of Life Questionnaire CX24; FIGO: International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics; Gy: Gray; IMRT: Intensity-Modulated Radiation Therapy; PRO: Patient Reported Outcome; RoW: Rest of the World; SD: Standard Deviation; VMAT: Volumetric Modulated Arc Therapy

EORTC QLQ-CX24: Symptomskala Lymphödeme

Tabelle 4G-18: Subgruppenanalysen der Teilpopulation FIGO 2014 Stadium III bis IVA mit nicht signifikantem Interaktionstest ($p \ge 0.05$) für die Symptomskala Lymphödeme aus RCT mit dem zu bewertenden Arzneimittel

Study:KEYNOTEA18*EORTCQLQ-CX24SymptomScalesLymphoedema							Pembrolizumab	+ CCRT ^b vs.	Placebo + CCRT ^b	
		Baseline		Week 60	Chang	e from Baseline to Week 60	Mean Difference at Week 60		Standardized Mean Difference at Week 60	p-Value for Interaction Test ^g
	N ^c	Mean (SD)	$\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{c}}$	Mean (SD)	$\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{d}}$	Mean [95 %-CI] ^e	[95 %-CI] ^e	p-Value	[95 %-CI] ^f	
Age										
< 65										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	224	4.02 (12.58)	154	7.58 (19.25)	235	2.44 [-0.54; 5.43]	0.49	0.783	-	0.515
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	222	5.86 (16.50)	141	6.15 (12.97)	234	1.95 [-0.44; 4.34]	[-3.01; 4.00]			
≥ 65										
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^{b}$	35	3.81 (10.76)	23	4.35 (11.48)	37	-0.70 [-6.48; 5.08]	-1.95	0.596	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	45	11.11 (22.47)	25	9.33 (18.05)	47	1.25 [-4.71; 7.20]	[-9.15; 5.26]			
ECOG Performance Status					1					1
0										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	175	3.05 (10.28)	124	6.72 (18.02)	185	1.51 [-1.86; 4.87]	-0.58	0.766	-	0.196
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	192	6.94 (18.32)	121	6.89 (13.55)	202	2.09 [-0.51; 4.70]	[-4.42; 3.25]			
1										
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^{b}$	84	5.95 (15.66)	53	8.18 (19.51)	87	1.80 [-2.90; 6.50]	2.31	0.465	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	75	6.22 (16.16)	45	5.93 (14.72)	79	-0.52 [-5.52; 4.48]	[-3.90; 8.53]			
Region										
North America										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	12	2.78 (9.62)	5	0.00 (0.00)	13	- [-; -]	-	-	-	n.a.
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	15	13.33 (27.60)	5	6.67 (14.91)	17	- [-; -]				
RoW										
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^{b}$	203	3.28 (10.50)	145	7.13 (17.65)	207	- [-; -]	-	-	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	205	6.50 (15.86)	133	7.02 (14.24)	212	- [-; -]				
Western Europe										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	44	7.58 (18.83)	27	8.64 (23.74)	52	- [-; -]	-	-	-	

Study:KEYNOTEA18°EORTCQLQ-CX24SymptomScalesLymphoedema							Pembrolizumab -	+ CCRT ^b vs.	Placebo + CCRT ^b	
		Baseline		Week 60	Chang	ge from Baseline to Week 60	Mean Difference at Week 60		Standardized Mean Difference at Week 60	p-Value for Interaction Test ^g
	$\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{c}}$	Mean (SD)	N ^c	Mean (SD)	$\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{d}}$	Mean [95 %-CI] ^e	[95 %-CI] ^e	p-Value	[95 %-CI] ^f	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	47	5.67 (21.22)	28	4.76 (11.88)	52	- [-; -]				
Planned Type of EBRT	1		1							
IMRT or VMAT										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	219	4.41 (13.00)	152	7.24 (18.76)	232	1.33 [-1.61; 4.27]	-0.75	0.677	-	0.825
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	227	6.75 (18.11)	140	6.90 (14.13)	240	2.08 [-0.45; 4.60]	[-4.26; 2.77]			
non-IMRT and non-VMAT										
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^b$	40	1.67 (7.36)	25	6.67 (16.67)	40	4.70 [-2.26; 11.66]	3.93	0.325	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	40	6.67 (15.47)	26	5.13 (12.26)	41	0.77 [-3.65; 5.18]	[-3.91; 11.76]			
Planned Total Radiotherapy l	Dose (EB	RT + brachyther	apy dos	e)						
< 70 Gy										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	29	4.60 (11.70)	19	8.77 (15.08)	29	4.67 [-2.97; 12.31]	7.06	0.104	-	0.552
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	28	8.33 (19.51)	19	3.51 (10.51)	28	-2.39 [-8.55; 3.78]	[-1.46; 15.57]			
\geq 70 Gy										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	230	3.91 (12.43)	158	6.96 (18.83)	243	1.60 [-1.28; 4.49]	-0.79	0.653	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	239	6.55 (17.52)	147	7.03 (14.19)	253	2.39 [0.02; 4.77]	[-4.22; 2.64]			
a: Database Cutoff Date: 08JAN	12024									

b: CCRT is Chemoradiotherapy [Treatment with cisplatin and radiotherapy (EBRT followed by brachytherapy)]

c: Number of participants in full-analysis-set population with FIGO III to IVA with data available at respective timepoint

d: Number of participants in full-analysis-set population with FIGO III to IVA with data available for analysis

e: Based on constrained longitudinal data analysis model with the PRO scores as the response variable, and treatment by study visit interaction as covariates

f: Standardized mean difference (Hedges's g) is only calculated if confidence interval for mean difference does not include zero

g: Based on constrained longitudinal data analysis model with change as the response variable, baseline, treatment, subgroup, study visit, treatment by subgroup interaction, treatment by study visit interaction, subgroup by study visit interaction and treatment by study visit and by subgroup interaction as covariates

CCRT: Concurrent Chemoradiotherapy; CI: Confidence Interval; EBRT: External Beam Radiotherapy; ECOG: Eastern Cooperative of Gynecology and Obstetrics; EORTC QLQ-CX24: European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer Quality of Life Questionnaire CX24; FIGO: International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics; Gy: Gray; IMRT: Intensity-Modulated Radiation Therapy; n.a.: not applicable (when estimation not possible); PRO: Patient Reported Outcome; RoW: Rest of the World; SD: Standard Deviation; VMAT: Volumetric Modulated Arc Therapy

EORTC QLQ-CX24: Symptomskala periphere Neuropathie

Tabelle 4G-19: Subgruppenanalysen der Teilpopulation FIGO 2014 Stadium III bis IVA mit nicht signifikantem Interaktionstest ($p \ge 0.05$) für die Symptomskala periphere Neuropathie aus RCT mit dem zu bewertenden Arzneimittel

Study:KEYNOTEA18aEORTCQLQ-CX24SymptomScalesPeripheralNeuropathy							Pembrolizumab +	CCRT ^b vs.	Placebo + CCRT ^b	
		Baseline		Week 60	Chang	e from Baseline to Week 60	Mean Difference at Week 60		Standardized Mean Difference at Week 60	p-Value for Interaction Test ^g
	N ^c	Mean (SD)	N ^c	Mean (SD)	$\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{d}}$	Mean [95 %-CI] ^e	[95 %-CI] ^e	p-Value	[95 %-CI] ^f	
Age										
< 65										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	224	10.12 (17.48)	154	18.61 (25.56)	235	8.44 [4.60; 12.29]	5.39	0.030	0.25	0.567
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	222	9.91 (19.34)	141	13.00 (20.23)	234	3.06 [-0.56; 6.68]	[0.52; 10.26]		[0.02; 0.47]	
≥ 65										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	35	7.62 (21.52)	23	10.14 (15.68)	37	3.14 [-4.33; 10.61]	-6.91	0.226	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	45	15.56 (27.16)	25	26.67 (30.43)	47	10.05 [0.10; 20.01]	[-18.11; 4.28]			
Region	1		1							
North America										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	12	2.78 (9.62)	5	13.33 (18.26)	13	- [-; -]	-	-	-	n.a.
Placebo + CCRT ^b	15	20.00 (27.60)	5	20.00 (29.82)	17	- [-; -]				
RoW										
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^{b}$	203	10.84 (18.25)	145	17.70 (23.91)	207	- [-; -]	-	-	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	205	12.03 (21.55)	133	15.04 (21.11)	212	- [-; -]				
Western Europe										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	44	6.82 (18.44)	27	17.28 (29.77)	52	- [-; -]	-	-	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	47	2.84 (11.69)	28	14.29 (27.86)	52	- [-; -]				
Planned Type of EBRT										
IMRT or VMAT										
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^{b}$	219	9.89 (18.30)	152	17.54 (24.85)	232	7.15 [3.32; 10.98]	3.32	0.180	-	0.690
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	227	11.60 (20.98)	140	14.76 (20.88)	240	3.83 [0.16; 7.50]	[-1.53; 8.18]			
non-IMRT and non-VMAT										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	40	9.17 (16.86)	25	17.33 (23.80)	40	9.37 [0.95; 17.79]	2.06	0.738	-	

Study:KEYNOTEA18*EORTCQLQ-CX24SymptomScalesPeripheralNeuropathy							Pembrolizumab +	+ CCRT ^b vs.	Placebo + CCRT ^b	
		Baseline		Week 60	Chang	ge from Baseline to Week 60	Mean Difference at Week 60		Standardized Mean Difference at Week 60	p-Value for Interaction Test ^g
	N ^c	Mean (SD)	N ^c	Mean (SD)	$\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{d}}$	Mean [95 %-CI] ^e	[95 %-CI] ^e	p-Value	[95 %-CI] ^f	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	40	6.67 (20.25)	26	16.67 (30.19)	41	7.31 [-2.03; 16.66]	[-10.03; 14.15]			
Planned Total Radiotherapy D	ose (EB	RT + brachyther	apy dos	e)						
< 70 Gy										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	29	10.34 (18.05)	19	21.05 (27.69)	29	10.30 [-1.15; 21.74]	7.42	0.303	-	0.896
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	28	8.33 (23.35)	19	12.28 (22.80)	28	2.88 [-7.50; 13.25]	[-6.71; 21.55]			
\geq 70 Gy										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	230	9.71 (18.09)	158	17.09 (24.31)	243	7.17 [3.53; 10.80]	2.35	0.338	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	239	11.16 (20.64)	147	15.42 (22.50)	253	4.82 [1.13; 8.51]	[-2.45; 7.15]			

b: CCRT is Chemoradiotherapy [Treatment with cisplatin and radiotherapy (EBRT followed by brachytherapy)]

c: Number of participants in full-analysis-set population with FIGO III to IVA with data available at respective timepoint

d: Number of participants in full-analysis-set population with FIGO III to IVA with data available for analysis

e: Based on constrained longitudinal data analysis model with the PRO scores as the response variable, and treatment and study visit as covariates, and treatment-by-study visit interaction

f: Standardized mean difference (Hedges's g) is only calculated if confidence interval for mean difference does not include zero

g: Based on constrained longitudinal data analysis model with change as the response variable, baseline, treatment, subgroup, study visit, treatment by subgroup interaction, treatment by study visit interaction, subgroup by study visit interaction and treatment by study visit and by subgroup interaction as covariates

CCRT: Concurrent Chemoradiotherapy; CI: Confidence Interval; EBRT: External Beam Radiotherapy; EORTC QLQ-CX24: European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer Quality of Life Questionnaire CX24; FIGO: International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics; Gy: Gray; IMRT: Intensity-Modulated Radiation Therapy; n.a.: not applicable (when estimation not possible); PRO: Patient Reported Outcome; RoW: Rest of the World; SD: Standard Deviation; VMAT: Volumetric Modulated Arc Therapy

EORTC QLQ-CX24: Symptomskala menopausale Symptome

Tabelle 4G-20: Subgruppenanalysen der Teilpopulation FIGO 2014 Stadium III bis IVA mit nicht signifikantem Interaktionstest ($p \ge 0,05$) für die Symptomskala menopausale Symptome aus RCT mit dem zu bewertenden Arzneimittel

Study:KEYNOTEA18aEORTCQLQ-CX24SymptomScalesSymptoms							Pembrolizumab	+ CCRT ^b vs.	Placebo + CCRT ^b	
		Baseline		Week 60	Chanş	ge from Baseline to Week 60	Mean Difference at Week 60		Standardized Mean Difference at Week 60	p-Value for Interaction Test ^g
	N ^c	Mean (SD)	N ^c	Mean (SD)	$\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{d}}$	Mean [95 %-CI] ^e	[95 %-CI] ^e	p-Value	[95 %-CI] ^f	
Age										
< 65										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	224	16.96 (25.05)	154	18.61 (27.48)	235	2.39 [-2.00; 6.78]	-0.91	0.749	-	0.228
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	222	17.57 (25.10)	141	19.15 (25.58)	234	3.30 [-0.99; 7.59]	[-6.49; 4.67]			
\geq 65										
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^b$	35	16.19 (23.39)	23	14.49 (24.26)	37	-0.18 [-10.61; 10.25]	7.48	0.173	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	45	14.07 (23.02)	25	6.67 (13.61)	47	-7.66 [-14.90; -0.42]	[-3.28; 18.23]			
ECOG Performance Status										
0										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	175	12.57 (19.75)	124	16.67 (26.37)	185	3.12 [-1.70; 7.94]	-0.19	0.948	-	0.178
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	192	16.84 (25.06)	121	17.08 (22.82)	202	3.32 [-0.78; 7.41]	[-5.96; 5.57]			
1										
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^{b}$	84	25.79 (31.20)	53	21.38 (28.57)	87	0.36 [-7.14; 7.86]	2.87	0.582	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	75	17.33 (24.11)	45	17.78 (28.96)	79	-2.51 [-11.16; 6.14]	[-7.35; 13.09]			
Region			1							1
North America										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	12	25.00 (35.18)	5	0.00 (0.00)	13	- [-; -]	-	-	-	n.a.
Placebo + CCRT ^b	15	17.78 (35.34)	5	20.00 (18.26)	17	- [-; -]				
RoW										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	203	16.75 (23.54)	145	19.08 (27.14)	207	- [-; -]	-	-	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	205	17.23 (24.16)	133	16.54 (24.14)	212	- [-; -]				

Study:KEYNOTEA18aEORTCQLQ-CX24SymptomScalesSymptoms							Pembrolizumab	+ CCRT ^b vs.	Placebo + CCRT ^b	
		Baseline		Week 60	Chang	e from Baseline to Week 60	Mean Difference at Week 60		Standardized Mean Difference at Week 60	p-Value for Interaction Test ^g
	N ^c	Mean (SD)	$\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{c}}$	Mean (SD)	$\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{d}}$	Mean [95 %-CI] ^e	[95 %-CI] ^e	p-Value	[95 %-CI] ^f	
Western Europe										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	44	15.15 (27.33)	27	16.05 (28.30)	52	- [-; -]	-	-	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	47	15.60 (23.93)	28	20.24 (27.72)	52	- [-; -]				
Planned Type of EBRT	1								1	1
IMRT or VMAT										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	219	15.98 (24.59)	152	19.30 (28.07)	232	3.51 [-1.03; 8.04]	1.71	0.552	-	0.573
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	227	17.62 (25.91)	140	17.62 (25.11)	240	1.80 [-2.48; 6.08]	[-3.93; 7.35]			
non-IMRT and non-VMAT										
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^b$	40	21.67 (25.65)	25	10.67 (18.56)	40	-5.56 [-13.26; 2.14]	-5.35	0.292	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	40	13.33 (16.54)	26	15.38 (21.56)	41	-0.20 [-8.19; 7.79]	[-15.33; 4.62]			
Planned Total Radiotherapy I	Dose (EB	RT + brachyther	apy dos	e)					1	1
< 70 Gy										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	29	20.69 (25.84)	19	15.79 (28.04)	29	-1.74 [-15.15; 11.68]	6.50	0.336	-	0.831
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	28	14.28 (16.80)	19	7.02 (13.96)	28	-8.23 [-14.58; -1.88]	[-6.76; 19.76]			
$\geq 70 \text{ Gy}$										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	230	16.38 (24.67)	158	18.35 (27.01)	243	2.66 [-1.59; 6.91]	-0.14	0.960	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	239	17.29 (25.53)	147	18.59 (25.32)	253	2.80 [-1.40; 7.00]	[-5.54; 5.26]			

b: CCRT is Chemoradiotherapy [Treatment with cisplatin and radiotherapy (EBRT followed by brachytherapy)]

c: Number of participants in full-analysis-set population with FIGO III to IVA with data available at respective timepoint

d: Number of participants in full-analysis-set population with FIGO III to IVA with data available for analysis

e: Based on constrained longitudinal data analysis model with the PRO scores as the response variable, and treatment by study visit interaction as covariates

f: Standardized mean difference (Hedges's g) is only calculated if confidence interval for mean difference does not include zero

g: Based on constrained longitudinal data analysis model with change as the response variable, baseline, treatment, subgroup, study visit, treatment by subgroup interaction, treatment by study visit interaction, subgroup by study visit interaction and treatment by study visit and by subgroup interaction as covariates

CCRT: Concurrent Chemoradiotherapy; CI: Confidence Interval; EBRT: External Beam Radiotherapy; ECOG: Eastern Cooperative of Gynecology and Obstetrics; EORTC QLQ-CX24: European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer Quality of Life Questionnaire CX24; FIGO: International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics; Gy: Gray; IMRT: Intensity-Modulated Radiation Therapy; n.a.: not applicable (when estimation not possible); PRO: Patient Reported Outcome; RoW: Rest of the World; SD: Standard Deviation; VMAT: Volumetric Modulated Arc Therapy

PGI-C

Tabelle 4G-21: Subgruppenanalysen der Teilpopulation FIGO 2014 Stadium III bis IVA mit nicht signifikantem Interaktionstest ($p \ge 0,05$) für den Fragebogen PGI-C aus RCT mit dem zu bewertenden Arzneimittel

Study: KEYNOTE A18 ^a	Pem	brolizumab + CCRT ^b	Plac	ebo + CCRT ^b	Pembrolizumab + C Placebo + CC		
PGI-C Improvement at Week 54		Participants with Event		Participants with Event	Risk Ratio/ Peto-Odds Ratio ^d		p-Value for Interaction ^f
	N ^c	n (%)	N ^c	n (%)	[95 %-CI]	p-Value ^e	Test
Age							
< 65	146	135 (92.5)	132	123 (93.2)	0.99 [0.93; 1.06]	0.818	0.773
≥ 65	23	20 (87.0)	26	22 (84.6)	1.03 [0.82; 1.29]	0.817	
ECOG Performance Stat	tus						
0	116	108 (93.1)	113	104 (92.0)	1.01 [0.94; 1.09]	0.758	0.619
1	53	47 (88.7)	45	41 (91.1)	0.97 [0.85; 1.11]	0.693	
Region			l	I			
Western Europe	27	22 (81.5)	26	23 (88.5)	0.92 [0.73; 1.16]	0.482	0.455
North America	5	4 (80.0)	6	3 (50.0)	1.60 [0.64; 3.98]	0.326	
RoW	137	129 (94.2)	126	119 (94.4)	1.00 [0.94; 1.06]	0.921	
Planned Type of EBRT			l	I			
IMRT or VMAT	145	136 (93.8)	134	123 (91.8)	1.02 [0.96; 1.09]	0.518	0.170
Non-IMRT and Non- VMAT	24	19 (79.2)	24	22 (91.7)	0.86 [0.68; 1.10]	0.225	
Planned Total Radiother	apy Dose	(EBRT + brachyth	nerapy dos	se)			
< 70 Gy	18	14 (77.8)	14	10 (71.4)	1.09 [0.72; 1.65]	0.685	0.671
\geq 70 Gy	151	141 (93.4)	144	135 (93.8)	1.00 [0.94; 1.06]	0.897	

a: Database Cutoff Date: 08JAN2024

b: CCRT is Chemoradiotherapy [Treatment with cisplatin and radiotherapy (EBRT followed by brachytherapy)]

c: Number of participants: full-analysis-set population with FIGO III to IVA and non-missing PGI-C assessment at week 54

d: Based on Mantel Haenszel method with treatment as covariate

e: Two-sided p-value using Wald test

f: Based on generalized linear model with treatment and subgroup as covariates, and treatment-by-subgroup interaction (p-value of likelihood ratio test for interaction term)

CCRT: Concurrent Chemoradiotherapy; CI: Confidence Interval; EBRT: External Beam Radiotherapy; ECOG: Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; FIGO: International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics; Gy: Gray; IMRT: Intensity-Modulated Radiation Therapy; PGI-C: Patient Global Impression Change; RoW: Rest of the World; VMAT: Volumetric Modulated Arc Therapy

PGI-S

Tabelle 4G-22: Subgruppenanalysen der Teilpopulation FIGO 2014 Stadium III bis IVA mit nicht signifikantem Interaktionstest ($p \ge 0.05$) für den Fragebogen PGI-S aus RCT mit dem zu bewertenden Arzneimittel

Study: KEYNOTE A18 ^a PGI-Severity							Pembrolizumab +	CCRT ^b vs.	Placebo + CCRT ^b	
·		Baseline		Week 60	Chang	e from Baseline to Week 60	Mean Difference at Week 60		Standardized Mean Difference at Week 60	p-Value for Interaction Test ^g
	N ^c	Mean (SD)	N ^c	Mean (SD)	$\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{d}}$	Mean [95 %-CI] ^e	[95 %-CI] ^e	p-Value	[95 %-CI] ^f	
Age										
< 65										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	224	1.67 (0.95)	154	0.44 (0.60)	235	-1.19 [-1.31; -1.08]	0.01	0.909	-	0.631
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	222	1.63 (0.87)	140	0.37 (0.55)	234	-1.20 [-1.31; -1.08]	[-0.12; 0.13]			
≥ 65										
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^{b}$	35	1.46 (0.92)	23	0.43 (0.66)	37	-0.99 [-1.31; -0.67]	-0.03	0.863	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	45	1.44 (0.94)	25	0.44 (0.82)	47	-0.96 [-1.33; -0.59]	[-0.43; 0.36]			
ECOG Performance Status							1		I	1
0										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	175	1.52 (0.95)	124	0.35 (0.54)	185	-1.15 [-1.28; -1.02]	-0.07	0.370	-	0.939
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	192	1.53 (0.86)	120	0.37 (0.63)	202	-1.09 [-1.22; -0.95]	[-0.21; 0.08]			
1										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	84	1.90 (0.91)	53	0.64 (0.71)	87	-1.18 [-1.38; -0.99]	0.19	0.097	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	75	1.77 (0.92)	45	0.42 (0.50)	79	-1.37 [-1.58; -1.16]	[-0.03; 0.41]			
Region	1		1		1		1			
North America										
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^{b}$	12	2.00 (0.74)	5	0.60 (0.89)	13	-1.24 [-1.78; -0.69]	-0.37	0.286	-	0.545
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	15	1.60 (1.12)	5	0.60 (0.55)	17	-0.87 [-1.43; -0.30]	[-1.05; 0.31]			
RoW										
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^{b}$	203	1.67 (0.94)	145	0.38 (0.55)	207	-1.24 [-1.36; -1.13]	-0.04	0.579	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	205	1.62 (0.89)	133	0.38 (0.61)	212	-1.21 [-1.34; -1.08]	[-0.17; 0.09]			
Western Europe										
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^b$	44	1.43 (1.02)	27	0.70 (0.78)	52	-0.74 [-1.04; -0.44]	0.31	0.069	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	47	1.47 (0.80)	27	0.37 (0.56)	52	-1.05 [-1.32; -0.78]	[-0.02; 0.64]			
Planned Type of EBRT	1		1		1		1		<u> </u>	1

Study: KEYNOTE A18 ^a PGI-Severity							Pembrolizumab -	+ CCRT ^b vs.	Placebo + CCRT ^b	
rGi-Severity		Baseline		Week 60	Chang	e from Baseline to Week 60	Mean Difference at Week 60		Standardized Mean Difference at Week 60	p-Value for Interaction Test ^g
	N ^c	Mean (SD)	$\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{c}}$	Mean (SD)	$\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{d}}$	Mean [95 %-CI] ^e	[95 %-CI] ^e	p-Value	[95 %-CI] ^f	
IMRT or VMAT										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	219	1.65 (0.97)	152	0.44 (0.62)	232	-1.15 [-1.27; -1.04]	0.05	0.485	-	0.245
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	227	1.60 (0.87)	139	0.36 (0.54)	240	-1.20 [-1.32; -1.08]	[-0.08; 0.17]			
non-IMRT and non-VMAT										
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^{b}$	40	1.63 (0.87)	25	0.40 (0.58)	40	-1.21 [-1.44; -0.97]	-0.19	0.284	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	40	1.55 (0.96)	26	0.50 (0.86)	41	-1.02 [-1.36; -0.68]	[-0.54; 0.16]			
Planned Total Radiotherapy D	Dose (EB	RT + brachythe	rapy dos	e)						
< 70 Gy										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	29	1.72 (0.96)	19	0.32 (0.58)	29	-1.33 [-1.63; -1.02]	-0.08	0.706	-	0.385
Placebo + CCRT ^b	28	1.57 (1.00)	19	0.32 (0.75)	28	-1.25 [-1.63; -0.87]	[-0.47; 0.32]			
≥ 70 Gy										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	230	1.63 (0.95)	158	0.45 (0.61)	243	-1.14 [-1.26; -1.03]	0.02	0.762	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	239	1.60 (0.87)	146	0.39 (0.58)	253	-1.16 [-1.28; -1.04]	[-0.11; 0.15]			
a: Database Cutoff Date: 08JAN	12024									
b: CCRT is Chemoradiotherapy	[Treatm	ent with cisplatin	and radio	otherapy (EBRT f	ollowed b	y brachytherapy)]				
c: Number of participants in full	-analysis	s-set population w	ith FIGC	III to IVA with o	lata availa	ble at respective timepoint				
d: Number of participants in full	l-analysis	s-set population w	ith FIGC	III to IVA with o	data availa	ble for analysis				
e: Based on constrained longitud	dinal data	a analysis model v	vith the F	PRO scores as the	response	variable, and treatment and s	tudy visit as covariates, a	ind treatment	-by-study visit interaction	L
f: Standardized mean difference	(Hedges	's g) is only calcu	lated if c	onfidence interva	l for mean	difference does not include	zero			

f: Standardized mean difference (Hedges's g) is only calculated if confidence interval for mean difference does not include zero

g: Based on constrained longitudinal data analysis model with change as the response variable, baseline, treatment, subgroup, study visit, treatment by subgroup interaction, treatment by study visit interaction, subgroup by study visit interaction and treatment by study visit and by subgroup interaction as covariates

CCRT: Concurrent Chemoradiotherapy; CI: Confidence Interval; EBRT: External Beam Radiotherapy; ECOG: Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; FIGO: International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics; Gy: Gray; IMRT: Intensity-Modulated Radiation Therapy; PGI: Patient Global Impression; PRO: Patient Reported Outcome; RoW: Rest of the World; SD: Standard Deviation; VMAT: Volumetric Modulated Arc Therapy

EQ-5D VAS

Tabelle 4G-23: Subgruppenanalysen der Teilpopulation FIGO 2014 Stadium III bis IVA mit nicht signifikantem Interaktionstest ($p \ge 0.05$) für den Fragebogen EQ-5D VAS aus RCT mit dem zu bewertenden Arzneimittel

Study:KEYNOTEA18 ^a EQ-5DVASEQ-5DVASScore							Pembrolizumab -	+ CCRT ^b vs.	Placebo + CCRT ^b	
		Baseline		Week 60	Chang	e from Baseline to Week 60	Mean Difference at Week 60		Standardized Mean Difference at Week 60	p-Value for Interaction Test ^g
	N ^c	Mean (SD)	N ^c	Mean (SD)	$\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{d}}$	Mean [95 %-CI] ^e	[95 %-CI] ^e	p-Value	[95 %-CI] ^f	rest
Age										
< 65										
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^b$	223	71.72 (21.75)	154	83.77 (14.56)	235	10.82 [8.42; 13.22]	1.63	0.286	-	0.223
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	222	70.12 (20.69)	140	83.37 (14.57)	234	9.19 [6.57; 11.80]	[-1.37; 4.64]			
≥ 65										
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^{b}$	35	73.57 (18.34)	23	75.48 (18.30)	37	2.61 [-4.48; 9.70]	-2.66	0.560	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	44	70.45 (17.33)	25	77.08 (18.52)	47	5.27 [-0.99; 11.54]	[-11.62; 6.29]			
ECOG Performance Status										
0										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	175	75.32 (19.32)	124	83.98 (13.68)	185	8.44 [5.96; 10.93]	1.78	0.284	-	0.448
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	191	70.77 (19.47)	120	82.38 (14.90)	202	6.67 [3.87; 9.47]	[-1.47; 5.02]			
1										
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^b$	83	64.92 (23.55)	53	79.70 (18.32)	87	12.65 [7.67; 17.64]	-0.61	0.840	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	75	68.67 (21.83)	45	82.51 (16.63)	79	13.27 [8.56; 17.98]	[-6.57; 5.34]			
Region										
North America										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	12	73.42 (15.44)	5	80.80 (17.73)	13	2.59 [-7.63; 12.81]	-5.60	0.468	-	0.617
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	14	60.64 (30.27)	5	90.60 (9.32)	17	8.20 [-4.88; 21.27]	[-20.79; 9.59]			
RoW										
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^b$	202	73.25 (21.55)	145	84.54 (14.05)	207	10.21 [7.66; 12.76]	1.74	0.271	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	205	72.73 (19.23)	133	83.68 (14.48)	212	8.47 [5.79; 11.15]	[-1.36; 4.83]			
Western Europe										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	44	65.70 (20.72)	27	73.15 (18.09)	52	7.70 [2.03; 13.38]	-0.66	0.871	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	47	61.87 (17.65)	27	74.67 (17.96)	52	8.36 [1.95; 14.77]	[-8.61; 7.29]			

Study: KEYNOTE A18 ^a EQ-5D VAS EQ-5D VAS Score							Pembrolizumab -	- CCRT ^b vs.	Placebo + CCRT ^b	
		Baseline		Week 60	Chang	e from Baseline to Week 60	Mean Difference at Week 60		Standardized Mean Difference at Week 60	p-Value for Interaction Test ^g
	N ^c	Mean (SD)	N ^c	Mean (SD)	$\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{d}}$	Mean [95 %-CI] ^e	[95 %-CI] ^e	p-Value	[95 %-CI] ^f	
Planned Total Radiotherapy D	ose (EB	RT + brachyther	apy dos	e)						
< 70 Gy										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	29	70.55 (17.31)	19	82.63 (12.37)	29	10.03 [3.52; 16.55]	4.53	0.261	-	0.274
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	28	73.18 (18.92)	19	82.11 (13.71)	28	5.50 [-0.49; 11.49]	[-3.38; 12.45]			
\geq 70 Gy										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	229	72.15 (21.77)	158	82.70 (15.64)	243	9.88 [7.42; 12.33]	0.99	0.530	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	238	69.82 (20.29)	146	82.46 (15.58)	253	8.89 [6.28; 11.49]	[-2.10; 4.09]			

b: CCRT is Chemoradiotherapy [Treatment with cisplatin and radiotherapy (EBRT followed by brachytherapy)]

c: Number of participants in full-analysis-set population with FIGO III to IVA with data available at respective timepoint

d: Number of participants in full-analysis-set population with FIGO III to IVA with data available for analysis

e: Based on constrained longitudinal data analysis model with the PRO scores as the response variable, and treatment and study visit as covariates, and treatment-by-study visit interaction

f: Standardized mean difference (Hedges's g) is only calculated if confidence interval for mean difference does not include zero

g: Based on constrained longitudinal data analysis model with change as the response variable, baseline, treatment, subgroup, study visit, treatment by subgroup interaction, treatment by study visit interaction, subgroup by study visit interaction and treatment by study visit and by subgroup interaction as covariates

CCRT: Concurrent Chemoradiotherapy; CI: Confidence Interval; EBRT: External Beam Radiotherapy; ECOG: Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; EQ-5D: European Quality of Life 5 Dimensions; FIGO: International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics; Gy: Gray; PRO: Patient Reported Outcome; RoW: Rest of the World; SD: Standard Deviation; VAS: Visual Analog Scale

Anhang 4-G2.3: Gesundheitsbezogene Lebensqualität

EORTC QLQ-C30: Globaler Gesundheitsstatus

Tabelle 4G-24: Subgruppenanalysen der Teilpopulation FIGO 2014 Stadium III bis IVA mit nicht signifikantem Interaktionstest ($p \ge 0.05$) für den globalen Gesundheitsstatus aus RCT mit dem zu bewertenden Arzneimittel

Study:KEYNOTEA18aEORTCQLQ-C30GlobalHealthStatus/QoLGlobalHealth Status							Pembrolizumab -	+ CCRT ^b vs.	Placebo + CCRT ^b	
		Baseline		Week 60	Chang	e from Baseline to Week 60	Mean Difference at Week 60		Standardized Mean Difference at Week 60	p-Value for Interaction Test ^g
	N ^c	Mean (SD)	N ^c	Mean (SD)	$\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{d}}$	Mean [95 %-CI] ^e	[95 %-CI] ^e	p-Value	[95 %-CI] ^f	
Age										
< 65										
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^{b}$	226	64.27 (23.65)	154	76.35 (16.98)	235	10.99 [8.14; 13.85]	0.60	0.751	-	0.197
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	223	64.39 (22.65)	141	77.54 (17.90)	234	10.40 [7.16; 13.64]	[-3.08; 4.28]			
≥ 65										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	35	65.71 (24.15)	23	71.01 (20.24)	37	4.92 [-3.82; 13.66]	0.76	0.896	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	47	62.94 (18.29)	25	68.00 (23.28)	49	4.16 [-4.48; 12.80]	[-10.61; 12.13]			
ECOG Performance Status										
0										
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^{b}$	176	68.75 (22.01)	124	77.02 (15.15)	185	8.41 [5.39; 11.42]	1.31	0.523	-	0.526
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	194	65.08 (21.41)	121	75.69 (19.29)	203	7.10 [3.59; 10.61]	[-2.71; 5.33]			
1										
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^b$	85	55.59 (24.65)	53	72.48 (21.78)	87	14.02 [8.32; 19.73]	-1.59	0.665	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	76	61.73 (23.18)	45	77.22 (18.50)	80	15.62 [9.66; 21.58]	[-8.80; 5.62]			
Region										
North America										
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^{b}$	12	63.19 (22.03)	5	75.00 (16.67)	13	10.36 [-1.52; 22.23]	4.33	0.622	-	0.111
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	15	53.89 (24.57)	5	81.67 (12.36)	17	6.02 [-10.39; 22.43]	[-12.98; 21.65]			
RoW										
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^b$	204	66.30 (24.08)	145	77.13 (16.60)	207	9.96 [6.85; 13.07]	0.40	0.834	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	206	65.98 (21.98)	133	77.57 (17.65)	213	9.55 [6.29; 12.81]	[-3.38; 4.19]			
Western Europe										

Study:KEYNOTEA18°EORTCQLQ-C30GlobalHealthStatus/QoLGlobalHealthStatus							Pembrolizumab -	+ CCRT ^b vs.	Placebo + CCRT ^b	
		Baseline		Week 60	Chang	e from Baseline to Week 60	Mean Difference at Week 60		Standardized Mean Difference at Week 60	p-Value for Interaction Test ^g
	N ^c	Mean (SD)	N ^c	Mean (SD)	$\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{d}}$	Mean [95 %-CI] ^e	[95 %-CI] ^e	p-Value	[95 %-CI] ^f	
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	45	56.48 (20.86)	27	67.90 (20.50)	52	10.84 [4.49; 17.20]	3.23	0.532	-	
Placebo + CCRT ^b	49	59.52 (19.69)	28	68.15 (24.22)	53	7.62 [-1.13; 16.37]	[-6.90; 13.35]			
Planned Type of EBRT	1		1							1
IMRT or VMAT										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	221	65.35 (24.23)	152	75.82 (18.27)	232	9.74 [6.73; 12.76]	-0.59	0.765	-	0.869
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	230	63.91 (22.18)	140	77.20 (18.90)	242	10.33 [7.03; 13.64]	[-4.49; 3.30]			
non-IMRT and non-VMAT										
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^{b}$	40	59.58 (19.93)	25	74.67 (11.65)	40	12.18 [6.09; 18.27]	7.18	0.084	-	
Placebo + CCRT ^b	40	65.42 (20.63)	26	70.19 (19.03)	41	5.00 [-2.43; 12.42]	[-0.97; 15.32]			
Planned Total Radiotherapy	Dose (EB	RT + brachyther	apy dos	e)						
< 70 Gy										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	29	55.75 (19.43)	19	72.81 (17.09)	29	12.82 [3.18; 22.46]	3.55	0.532	-	0.986
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	28	63.10 (18.62)	19	71.93 (18.68)	28	9.27 [0.79; 17.75]	[-7.59; 14.68]			
$\geq 70 \text{ Gy}$										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	232	65.55 (23.97)	158	76.00 (17.53)	243	9.71 [6.89; 12.53]	0.11	0.953	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	242	64.26 (22.31)	147	76.64 (19.08)	255	9.60 [6.34; 12.86]	[-3.63; 3.85]			

b: CCRT is Chemoradiotherapy [Treatment with cisplatin and radiotherapy (EBRT followed by brachytherapy)]

c: Number of participants in full-analysis-set population with FIGO III to IVA with data available at respective timepoint

d: Number of participants in full-analysis-set population with FIGO III to IVA with data available for analysis

e: Based on constrained longitudinal data analysis model with the PRO scores as the response variable, and treatment by study visit interaction as covariates

f: Standardized mean difference (Hedges's g) is only calculated if confidence interval for mean difference does not include zero

g: Based on constrained longitudinal data analysis model with change as the response variable, baseline, treatment, subgroup, study visit, treatment by subgroup interaction, treatment by study visit interaction, subgroup by study visit interaction and treatment by study visit and by subgroup interaction as covariates

CCRT: Concurrent Chemoradiotherapy; CI: Confidence Interval; EBRT: External Beam Radiotherapy; ECOG: Eastern Cooperative of Gynecology and Obstetrics; EORTC QLQ-C30: European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer Quality of Life Questionnaire - Core 30 items; FIGO: International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics; Gy: Gray; IMRT: Intensity-Modulated Radiation Therapy; PRO: Patient Reported Outcome; QoL: Quality of Life; RoW: Rest of the World; SD: Standard Deviation; VMAT: Volumetric Modulated Arc Therapy

EORTC QLQ-C30: Funktionsskala Körperliche Funktion

Tabelle 4G-25: Subgruppenanalysen der Teilpopulation FIGO 2014 Stadium III bis IVA mit nicht signifikantem Interaktionstest ($p \ge 0.05$) für die Funktionsskala Körperliche Funktion aus RCT mit dem zu bewertenden Arzneimittel

Study: KEYNOTE A18 ^a EORTC QLQ-C30 Functional Scales Physical Functioning	QLQ-C30 al Scales Physical ing						Pembrolizumab -	+ CCRT ^b vs.	Placebo + CCRT ^b	
Functioning		Baseline		Week 60	Chang	e from Baseline to Week 60	Mean Difference at Week 60		Standardized Mean Difference at Week 60	p-Value for Interaction Test ^g
	N ^c	Mean (SD)	N ^c	Mean (SD)	$\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{d}}$	Mean [95 %-CI] ^e	[95 %-CI] ^e	p-Value	[95 %-CI] ^f	
Age										
< 65										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	226	83.57 (18.78)	154	91.95 (12.54)	235	6.48 [4.41; 8.55]	0.33	0.803	-	0.700
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	223	83.92 (18.01)	141	93.05 (11.38)	234	6.15 [3.92; 8.38]	[-2.29; 2.96]			
≥ 65										
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^{b}$	35	85.14 (14.38)	23	81.45 (16.32)	37	-4.06 [-10.05; 1.93]	-7.60	0.103	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	47	80.99 (18.12)	25	87.47 (17.88)	49	3.54 [-4.05; 11.13]	[-16.75; 1.54]			
ECOG Performance Status	1		1							1
0										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	176	87.31 (14.63)	124	91.34 (13.63)	185	3.62 [1.41; 5.82]	-0.71	0.638	-	0.858
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	194	85.64 (16.52)	121	92.73 (12.26)	203	4.33 [1.89; 6.77]	[-3.67; 2.25]			
1										
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^{b}$	85	76.47 (22.43)	53	88.81 (13.19)	87	8.56 [4.39; 12.73]	-1.17	0.675	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	76	77.72 (20.44)	45	90.81 (13.73)	80	9.73 [5.01; 14.45]	[-6.62; 4.29]			
Region	1		1							1
North America										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	12	80.00 (21.08)	5	92.00 (8.69)	13	- [-; -]	-	-	-	n.a.
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	15	72.89 (32.12)	5	92.00 (14.45)	17	- [-; -]				
RoW										
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^{b}$	204	84.22 (17.90)	145	90.80 (13.31)	207	- [-; -]	-	-	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	206	84.69 (16.78)	133	93.18 (11.59)	213	- [-; -]				
Western Europe										
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^{b}$	45	82.81 (19.25)	27	89.14 (15.49)	52	- [-; -]	-	-	-	

Study:KEYNOTEA18aEORTCQLQ-C30FunctionalScalesPhysicalFunctioning							Pembrolizumab +	- CCRT ^b vs.	Placebo + CCRT ^b	
		Baseline		Week 60	Chang	e from Baseline to Week 60	Mean Difference at Week 60		Standardized Mean Difference at Week 60	p-Value for Interaction Test ^g
	$\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{c}}$	Mean (SD)	N ^c	Mean (SD)	$\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{d}}$	Mean [95 %-CI] ^e	[95 %-CI] ^e	p-Value	[95 %-CI] ^f	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	49	81.22 (16.48)	28	87.62 (16.30)	53	- [-; -]				
Planned Type of EBRT										
IMRT or VMAT										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	221	84.16 (18.42)	152	90.53 (13.86)	232	4.97 [2.67; 7.28]	-1.19	0.432	-	0.063
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	230	83.13 (18.33)	140	92.10 (12.63)	242	6.16 [3.68; 8.65]	[-4.16; 1.78]			
non-IMRT and non-VMAT										
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^b$	40	81.67 (17.26)	25	90.93 (11.37)	40	5.16 [1.64; 8.68]	3.19	0.197	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	40	85.00 (16.31)	26	92.82 (13.05)	41	1.97 [-1.64; 5.58]	[-1.66; 8.05]			
Planned Total Radiotherapy I	Dose (EB	RT + brachyther	apy dos	e)						
< 70 Gy										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	29	85.29 (16.27)	19	90.53 (15.45)	29	- [-; -]	-	-	-	n.a.
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	28	87.14 (15.81)	19	96.84 (7.82)	28	- [-; -]				
$\geq 70 \text{ Gy}$										
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^b$	232	83.59 (18.49)	158	90.59 (13.31)	243	- [-; -]	-	-	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	242	82.97 (18.25)	147	91.61 (13.06)	255	- [-; -]				

b: CCRT is Chemoradiotherapy [Treatment with cisplatin and radiotherapy (EBRT followed by brachytherapy)]

c: Number of participants in full-analysis-set population with FIGO III to IVA with data available at respective timepoint

d: Number of participants in full-analysis-set population with FIGO III to IVA with data available for analysis

e: Based on constrained longitudinal data analysis model with the PRO scores as the response variable, and treatment by study visit interaction as covariates

f: Standardized mean difference (Hedges's g) is only calculated if confidence interval for mean difference does not include zero

g: Based on constrained longitudinal data analysis model with change as the response variable, baseline, treatment, subgroup, study visit, treatment by subgroup interaction, treatment by study visit interaction, subgroup by study visit interaction and treatment by study visit and by subgroup interaction as covariates

CCRT: Concurrent Chemoradiotherapy; CI: Confidence Interval; EBRT: External Beam Radiotherapy; ECOG: Eastern Cooperative of Gynecology and Obstetrics; EORTC QLQ-C30: European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer Quality of Life Questionnaire - Core 30 items; FIGO: International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics; Gy: Gray; IMRT: Intensity-Modulated Radiation Therapy; n.a.: not applicable (when estimation not possible); PRO: Patient Reported Outcome; RoW: Rest of the World; SD: Standard Deviation; VMAT: Volumetric Modulated Arc Therapy

EORTC QLQ-C30: Funktionsskala Rollenfunktion

Tabelle 4G-26: Subgruppenanalysen der Teilpopulation FIGO 2014 Stadium III bis IVA mit nicht signifikantem Interaktionstest ($p \ge 0,05$) für die Funktionsskala Rollenfunktion aus RCT mit dem zu bewertenden Arzneimittel

Study:KEYNOTEA18 ^a EORTCQLQ-C30FunctionalScalesRoleFunctioning	QLQ-C30 Scales Role						Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b vs. Placebo + CCRT ^b			
		Baseline		Week 60	Chang	ge from Baseline to Week 60	Mean Difference at Week 60		Standardized Mean Difference at Week 60	p-Value for Interaction Test ^g
	N ^c	Mean (SD)	N ^c	Mean (SD)	$\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{d}}$	Mean [95 %-CI] ^e	[95 %-CI] ^e	p-Value	[95 %-CI] ^f	
Age										
< 65										
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^b$	226	77.88 (27.97)	154	88.64 (20.85)	235	7.71 [4.54; 10.88]	0.17	0.933	-	0.617
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	223	79.22 (26.17)	141	90.54 (18.07)	234	7.54 [4.10; 10.98]	[-3.91; 4.26]			
≥ 65										
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^{b}$	35	84.29 (21.37)	23	78.99 (25.73)	37	-4.85 [-14.73; 5.03]	-8.76	0.175	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	47	78.72 (25.70)	25	86.00 (23.90)	49	3.91 [-5.23; 13.05]	[-21.44; 3.91]			
ECOG Performance Status	1						1			
0										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	176	81.82 (24.12)	124	87.37 (21.71)	185	4.12 [0.51; 7.74]	-1.91	0.420	-	0.482
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	194	81.62 (23.38)	121	90.91 (19.00)	203	6.04 [2.33; 9.74]	[-6.56; 2.74]			
1										
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^{b}$	85	72.35 (31.97)	53	87.42 (21.90)	87	11.05 [5.34; 16.76]	1.21	0.747	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	76	72.81 (31.13)	45	87.04 (19.10)	80	9.84 [3.43; 16.25]	[-6.15; 8.57]			
Region	1				1		1			1
North America										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	12	70.83 (22.61)	5	86.67 (13.94)	13	18.71 [1.07; 36.36]	-10.08	0.321	-	0.632
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	15	58.89 (33.85)	5	93.33 (14.91)	17	28.79 [9.84; 47.75]	[-30.06; 9.91]			
RoW										
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^{b}$	204	81.13 (26.09)	145	89.31 (19.90)	207	5.42 [2.16; 8.68]	-0.89	0.670	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	206	82.20 (24.39)	133	91.48 (17.36)	213	6.31 [2.91; 9.71]	[-5.01; 3.22]			
Western Europe										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	45	70.00 (31.50)	27	77.16 (28.92)	52	6.88 [-2.92; 16.68]	-2.00	0.743	-	

Study:KEYNOTEA18°EORTCQLQ-C30FunctionalScalesRoleFunctioning							Pembrolizumab +	- CCRT ^b vs.	Placebo + CCRT ^b	
		Baseline		Week 60	Chang	e from Baseline to Week 60	Mean Difference at Week 60		Standardized Mean Difference at Week 60	p-Value for Interaction Test ^g
	N ^c	Mean (SD)	N ^c	Mean (SD)	$\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{d}}$	Mean [95 %-CI] ^e	[95 %-CI] ^e	p-Value	[95 %-CI] ^f	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	49	72.45 (26.69)	28	81.55 (24.99)	53	8.88 [-0.16; 17.92]	[-13.98; 9.98]			
Planned Type of EBRT			1		1					1
IMRT or VMAT										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	221	78.96 (27.82)	152	87.17 (22.65)	232	6.03 [2.53; 9.52]	-1.73	0.442	-	0.163
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	230	78.48 (26.51)	140	89.76 (18.92)	242	7.75 [4.16; 11.34]	[-6.13; 2.68]			
non-IMRT and non-VMAT										
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^b$	40	77.50 (24.03)	25	88.67 (15.00)	40	7.23 [1.63; 12.83]	4.95	0.222	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	40	82.92 (23.11)	26	90.39 (20.09)	41	2.28 [-4.21; 8.77]	[-3.00; 12.89]			
Planned Total Radiotherapy I	Dose (EB	RT + brachyther	apy dos	e)			L			
< 70 Gy										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	29	75.86 (26.94)	19	85.09 (24.15)	29	4.71 [-7.30; 16.73]	-7.36	0.225	-	0.456
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	28	80.36 (24.87)	19	94.74 (12.49)	28	12.07 [3.65; 20.50]	[-19.25; 4.53]			
$\geq 70 \text{ Gy}$										
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^b$	232	79.09 (27.30)	158	87.66 (21.46)	243	6.13 [3.05; 9.21]	-0.39	0.855	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	242	78.99 (26.22)	147	89.23 (19.68)	255	6.52 [3.02; 10.02]	[-4.53; 3.76]			

b: CCRT is Chemoradiotherapy [Treatment with cisplatin and radiotherapy (EBRT followed by brachytherapy)]

c: Number of participants in full-analysis-set population with FIGO III to IVA with data available at respective timepoint

d: Number of participants in full-analysis-set population with FIGO III to IVA with data available for analysis

e: Based on constrained longitudinal data analysis model with the PRO scores as the response variable, and treatment by study visit interaction as covariates

f: Standardized mean difference (Hedges's g) is only calculated if confidence interval for mean difference does not include zero

g: Based on constrained longitudinal data analysis model with change as the response variable, baseline, treatment, subgroup, study visit, treatment by subgroup interaction, treatment by study visit interaction, subgroup by study visit interaction and treatment by study visit and by subgroup interaction as covariates

CCRT: Concurrent Chemoradiotherapy; CI: Confidence Interval; EBRT: External Beam Radiotherapy; ECOG: Eastern Cooperative of Gynecology and Obstetrics; EORTC QLQ-C30: European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer Quality of Life Questionnaire - Core 30 items; FIGO: International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics; Gy: Gray; IMRT: Intensity-Modulated Radiation Therapy; PRO: Patient Reported Outcome; RoW: Rest of the World; SD: Standard Deviation; VMAT: Volumetric Modulated Arc Therapy

EORTC QLQ-C30: Funktionsskala Emotionale Funktion

Tabelle 4G-27: Subgruppenanalysen der Teilpopulation FIGO 2014 Stadium III bis IVA mit nicht signifikantem Interaktionstest ($p \ge 0.05$) für die Funktionsskala Emotionale Funktion aus RCT mit dem zu bewertenden Arzneimittel

Study:KEYNOTEA18 ^a EORTCQLQ-C30FunctionalScalesEmotional	ORTC QLQ-C30 nctional Scales Emotional						Pembrolizumab -	- CCRT ^b vs.	Placebo + CCRT ^b	
Functioning		Baseline		Week 60	Chang	e from Baseline to Week 60	Mean Difference at Week 60		Standardized Mean Difference at Week 60	p-Value for Interaction Test ^g
	N ^c	Mean (SD)	N ^c	Mean (SD)	$\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{d}}$	Mean [95 %-CI] ^e	[95 %-CI] ^e	p-Value	[95 %-CI] ^f	
Age										
< 65										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	226	72.75 (22.28)	154	84.36 (19.67)	235	10.48 [7.74; 13.22]	4.33	0.027	0.23	0.459
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	223	72.68 (21.91)	141	82.09 (21.15)	234	6.15 [3.06; 9.24]	[0.48; 8.17]		[0.03; 0.44]	
≥ 65										
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^{b}$	35	77.62 (19.36)	23	86.59 (15.43)	37	10.31 [3.11; 17.50]	3.32	0.508	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	47	73.05 (21.99)	25	81.00 (21.32)	49	6.99 [-1.71; 15.70]	[-6.51; 13.14]			
ECOG Performance Status					L		1			1
0										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	176	75.76 (19.03)	124	85.62 (18.57)	185	9.66 [6.67; 12.65]	5.14	0.019	0.29	0.505
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	194	74.18 (20.46)	121	81.96 (21.17)	203	4.51 [1.08; 7.94]	[0.85; 9.43]		[0.05; 0.52]	
1										
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^{b}$	85	68.53 (26.46)	53	82.39 (20.46)	87	11.28 [6.29; 16.27]	0.34	0.921	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	76	69.08 (24.94)	45	81.85 (21.19)	80	10.94 [5.26; 16.63]	[-6.37; 7.04]			
Region										
North America										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	12	66.67 (19.46)	5	90.00 (10.87)	13	- [-; -]	-	-	-	n.a.
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	15	56.67 (26.20)	5	81.67 (16.03)	17	- [-; -]				
RoW										
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^{b}$	204	75.29 (22.02)	145	85.81 (18.35)	207	- [-; -]	-	-	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	206	74.47 (21.90)	133	83.77 (20.95)	213	- [-; -]				
Western Europe										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	45	66.67 (20.95)	27	77.47 (23.09)	52	- [-; -]	-	-	-	

Study:KEYNOTEA18°EORTCQLQ-C30FunctionalScalesFunctioning		Baseline Week					Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b vs. Plac		Placebo + CCRT ^b	
		Baseline		Week 60	Chang	ge from Baseline to Week 60	Mean Difference at Week 60		Standardized Mean Difference at Week 60	p-Value for Interaction Test ^g
	$\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{c}}$	Mean (SD)	$\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{c}}$	Mean (SD)	$\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{d}}$	Mean [95 %-CI] ^e	[95 %-CI] ^e	p-Value	[95 %-CI] ^f	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	49	70.41 (18.33)	28	73.21 (21.08)	53	- [-; -]				
Planned Type of EBRT										
IMRT or VMAT										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	221	73.08 (22.27)	152	84.27 (19.60)	232	10.79 [7.90; 13.69]	4.33	0.038	0.22	0.102
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	230	71.01 (22.46)	140	80.89 (21.75)	242	6.47 [3.13; 9.80]	[0.25; 8.41]		[0.01; 0.43]	
non-IMRT and non-VMAT										
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^b$	40	75.21 (20.19)	25	87.00 (16.33)	40	7.74 [3.15; 12.32]	3.39	0.350	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	40	82.71 (14.91)	26	87.50 (16.54)	41	4.34 [-1.68; 10.37]	[-3.73; 10.52]			
Planned Total Radiotherapy I	Dose (EB	RT + brachyther	apy dos	e)						1
< 70 Gy										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	29	71.55 (21.99)	19	83.33 (19.24)	29	8.12 [0.90; 15.34]	-6.87	0.113	-	0.069
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	28	76.19 (18.10)	19	91.23 (12.87)	28	14.99 [8.62; 21.35]	[-15.37; 1.63]			
$\geq 70 \text{ Gy}$										
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^b$	232	73.63 (21.97)	158	84.81 (19.20)	243	10.44 [7.69; 13.18]	5.32	0.008	0.28	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	242	72.35 (22.28)	147	80.73 (21.70)	255	5.12 [1.92; 8.31]	[1.41; 9.23]		[0.07; 0.48]	

b: CCRT is Chemoradiotherapy [Treatment with cisplatin and radiotherapy (EBRT followed by brachytherapy)]

c: Number of participants in full-analysis-set population with FIGO III to IVA with data available at respective timepoint

d: Number of participants in full-analysis-set population with FIGO III to IVA with data available for analysis

e: Based on constrained longitudinal data analysis model with the PRO scores as the response variable, and treatment by study visit interaction as covariates

f: Standardized mean difference (Hedges's g) is only calculated if confidence interval for mean difference does not include zero

g: Based on constrained longitudinal data analysis model with change as the response variable, baseline, treatment, subgroup, study visit, treatment by subgroup interaction, treatment by study visit interaction, subgroup by study visit interaction and treatment by study visit and by subgroup interaction as covariates

CCRT: Concurrent Chemoradiotherapy; CI: Confidence Interval; EBRT: External Beam Radiotherapy; ECOG: Eastern Cooperative of Gynecology and Obstetrics; EORTC QLQ-C30: European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer Quality of Life Questionnaire - Core 30 items; FIGO: International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics; Gy: Gray; IMRT: Intensity-Modulated Radiation Therapy; n.a.: not applicable (when estimation not possible); PRO: Patient Reported Outcome; RoW: Rest of the World; SD: Standard Deviation; VMAT: Volumetric Modulated Arc Therapy

EORTC QLQ-C30: Funktionsskala Kognitive Funktion

Tabelle 4G-28: Subgruppenanalysen der Teilpopulation FIGO 2014 Stadium III bis IVA mit nicht signifikantem Interaktionstest ($p \ge 0,05$) für die Funktionsskala Kognitive Funktion aus RCT mit dem zu bewertenden Arzneimittel

Study:KEYNOTEA18"EORTCQLQ-C30FunctionalScalesCognitiveFunctioningFunctioning	TC QLQ-C30 ctional Scales Cognitive ctioning						Pembrolizumab +	- CCRT ^b vs.	Placebo + CCRT ^b	
		Baseline		Week 60	Chang	e from Baseline to Week 60	Mean Difference at Week 60		Standardized Mean Difference at Week 60	p-Value for Interaction Test ^g
	N ^c	Mean (SD)	N ^c	Mean (SD)	$\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{d}}$	Mean [95 %-CI] ^e	[95 %-CI] ^e	p-Value	[95 %-CI] ^f	
Age										
< 65										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	226	84.59 (20.72)	154	86.15 (19.13)	235	-0.66 [-3.48; 2.16]	0.87	0.637	-	0.432
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	223	89.09 (17.34)	141	88.42 (17.01)	234	-1.53 [-4.38; 1.33]	[-2.74; 4.48]			
≥ 65										
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^{b}$	35	82.86 (21.95)	23	87.68 (14.40)	37	3.19 [-3.24; 9.63]	6.37	0.240	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	47	79.43 (22.84)	25	76.00 (27.25)	49	-3.18 [-12.86; 6.51]	[-4.27; 17.01]			
ECOG Performance Status										I
0										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	176	88.26 (16.46)	124	87.23 (17.92)	185	-1.89 [-4.84; 1.06]	2.09	0.332	-	0.486
Placebo + CCRT ^b	194	88.75 (18.29)	121	86.50 (20.33)	203	-3.98 [-7.39; -0.57]	[-2.14; 6.32]			
1										
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^{b}$	85	76.27 (26.15)	53	84.28 (19.99)	87	4.27 [-0.89; 9.43]	0.61	0.842	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	76	83.99 (19.52)	45	86.67 (16.51)	80	3.67 [-1.26; 8.60]	[-5.37; 6.58]			
Region			1							1
North America										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	12	77.78 (23.92)	5	90.00 (14.91)	13	- [-; -]	-	-	-	n.a.
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	15	77.78 (21.52)	5	83.33 (16.67)	17	- [-; -]				
RoW										
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^b$	204	84.56 (20.66)	145	87.47 (16.73)	207	- [-; -]	-	-	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	206	87.14 (19.07)	133	86.59 (19.62)	213	- [-; -]				
Western Europe										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	45	85.18 (21.09)	27	79.63 (26.28)	52	- [-; -]	-	-	-	

Study:KEYNOTEA18aEORTCQLQ-C30FunctionalScalesCognitiveFunctioning	RTC QLQ-C30 actional Scales Cognitive actioning						Pembrolizumab -	⊦ CCRT ^b vs.	Placebo + CCRT ^b	
		Baseline		Week 60		e from Baseline to Week 60	Mean Difference at Week 60		Standardized Mean Difference at Week 60	p-Value for Interaction Test ^g
	$\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{c}}$	Mean (SD)	$\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{c}}$	Mean (SD)	$\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{d}}$	Mean [95 %-CI] ^e	[95 %-CI] ^e	p-Value	[95 %-CI] ^f	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	49	91.50 (15.26)	28	86.91 (18.90)	53	- [-; -]				
Planned Type of EBRT	-1									
IMRT or VMAT										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	221	84.39 (21.29)	152	85.75 (19.32)	232	-0.58 [-3.49; 2.34]	1.39	0.482	-	0.302
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	230	87.68 (18.11)	140	86.31 (19.31)	242	-1.97 [-5.11; 1.18]	[-2.49; 5.27]			
non-IMRT and non-VMAT										
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^b$	40	84.17 (18.47)	25	90.00 (12.73)	40	3.85 [-1.28; 8.98]	4.23	0.269	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	40	85.83 (22.18)	26	87.82 (19.75)	41	-0.39 [-6.85; 6.08]	[-3.27; 11.74]			
Planned Total Radiotherapy I	Dose (EB	RT + brachyther	apy dos	e)						
< 70 Gy										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	29	85.06 (20.09)	19	85.09 (17.48)	29	-0.78 [-7.57; 6.02]	-4.19	0.360	-	0.135
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	28	83.93 (22.90)	19	89.47 (15.92)	28	3.41 [-4.01; 10.83]	[-13.16; 4.78]			
\geq 70 Gy										
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^b$	232	84.27 (20.99)	158	86.50 (18.73)	243	0.08 [-2.73; 2.89]	2.42	0.206	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	242	87.81 (18.20)	147	86.17 (19.74)	255	-2.34 [-5.40; 0.71]	[-1.34; 6.18]			
a: Database Cutoff Date: 08JAN	12024									

c: Number of participants in full-analysis-set population with FIGO III to IVA with data available at respective timepoint

d: Number of participants in full-analysis-set population with FIGO III to IVA with data available for analysis

e: Based on constrained longitudinal data analysis model with the PRO scores as the response variable, and treatment by study visit interaction as covariates

f: Standardized mean difference (Hedges's g) is only calculated if confidence interval for mean difference does not include zero

g: Based on constrained longitudinal data analysis model with change as the response variable, baseline, treatment, subgroup, study visit, treatment by subgroup interaction, treatment by study visit interaction, subgroup by study visit interaction and treatment by study visit and by subgroup interaction as covariates

CCRT: Concurrent Chemoradiotherapy; CI: Confidence Interval; EBRT: External Beam Radiotherapy; ECOG: Eastern Cooperative of Gynecology and Obstetrics; EORTC QLQ-C30: European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer Quality of Life Questionnaire - Core 30 items; FIGO: International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics; Gy: Gray; IMRT: Intensity-Modulated Radiation Therapy; n.a.: not applicable (when estimation not possible); PRO: Patient Reported Outcome; RoW: Rest of the World; SD: Standard Deviation; VMAT: Volumetric Modulated Arc Therapy

EORTC QLQ-C30: Funktionsskala Soziale Funktion

Tabelle 4G-29: Subgruppenanalysen der Teilpopulation FIGO 2014 Stadium III bis IVA mit nicht signifikantem Interaktionstest ($p \ge 0.05$) für die Funktionsskala Soziale Funktion aus RCT mit dem zu bewertenden Arzneimittel

Study:KEYNOTEA18aEORTCQLQ-C30FunctionalScalesSocialFunctioning						Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b vs. Placebo + CCRT ^b							
Functioning		Baseline		Week 60	Chang	e from Baseline to Week 60	Mean Difference at Week 60		Standardized Mean Difference at Week 60	p-Value for Interaction Test ^g			
	N ^c	Mean (SD)	N ^c	Mean (SD)	$\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{d}}$	Mean [95 %-CI] ^e	[95 %-CI] ^e	p-Value	[95 %-CI] ^f				
Age													
< 65													
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	226	79.57 (24.18)	154	87.01 (20.10)	235	6.68 [3.72; 9.64]	-0.57	0.772	-	0.397			
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	223	77.50 (24.47)	141	88.06 (19.45)	234	7.25 [4.09; 10.41]	[-4.41; 3.27]						
≥ 65													
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^{b}$	35	88.09 (19.20)	23	90.58 (14.93)	37	7.26 [-0.56; 15.09]	7.38	0.149	-				
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	47	80.14 (23.73)	25	85.33 (21.69)	49	-0.12 [-9.79; 9.56]	[-2.65; 17.41]						
ECOG Performance Status			1				1			1			
0													
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	176	84.28 (19.41)	124	88.84 (17.87)	185	4.75 [1.71; 7.78]	0.67	0.754	-	0.866			
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	194	81.10 (22.01)	121	88.15 (20.00)	203	4.08 [0.61; 7.55]	[-3.52; 4.86]						
1													
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^b$	85	73.33 (29.57)	53	84.28 (22.74)	87	9.59 [3.81; 15.38]	-1.87	0.620	-				
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	76	69.96 (28.02)	45	86.30 (19.23)	80	11.47 [4.96; 17.97]	[-9.27; 5.53]						
Region			1				1			1			
North America													
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	12	73.61 (24.06)	5	86.67 (13.94)	13	- [-; -]	-	-	-	n.a.			
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	15	64.44 (37.20)	5	86.67 (13.94)	17	- [-; -]							
RoW													
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^{b}$	204	82.27 (23.17)	145	88.97 (17.92)	207	- [-; -]	-	-	-				
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	206	79.45 (23.71)	133	88.85 (19.97)	213	- [-; -]							
Western Europe													
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^b$	45	75.56 (25.52)	27	79.63 (26.28)	52	- [-; -]	-	-	-				

Study:KEYNOTEA18°EORTCQLQ-C30FunctionalScalesSocialFunctioning							Pembrolizumab -	+ CCRT ^b vs.	Placebo + CCRT ^b	
		Baseline	Week 60		Change from Baseline to Week 60		Mean Difference at Week 60		Standardized Mean Difference at Week 60	p-Value for Interaction Test ^g
	$\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{c}}$	Mean (SD)	$\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{c}}$	Mean (SD)	$\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{d}}$	Mean [95 %-CI] ^e	[95 %-CI] ^e	p-Value	[95 %-CI] ^f	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	49	75.85 (20.99)	28	82.14 (19.21)	53	- [-; -]				
Planned Type of EBRT										1
IMRT or VMAT										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	221	80.77 (24.07)	152	86.95 (19.93)	232	6.26 [3.13; 9.39]	-0.49	0.813	-	0.636
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	230	76.81 (24.89)	140	87.02 (20.00)	242	6.76 [3.30; 10.21]	[-4.58; 3.59]			
non-IMRT and non-VMAT										
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^b$	40	80.42 (21.97)	25	90.67 (16.72)	40	7.80 [2.93; 12.68]	5.37	0.173	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	40	84.58 (19.75)	26	91.03 (18.40)	41	2.44 [-4.30; 9.17]	[-2.35; 13.08]			
Planned Total Radiotherapy	Dose (EB	BRT + brachyther	apy dos	e)						
< 70 Gy										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	29	79.31 (25.84)	19	89.47 (17.75)	29	10.22 [4.23; 16.21]	-0.76	0.846	-	0.612
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	28	82.74 (17.85)	19	94.74 (12.49)	28	10.98 [4.21; 17.75]	[-8.41; 6.89]			
\geq 70 Gy										
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^b$	232	80.89 (23.49)	158	87.24 (19.74)	243	6.19 [3.18; 9.19]	0.50	0.805	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	242	77.41 (24.93)	147	86.73 (20.36)	255	5.69 [2.31; 9.06]	[-3.49; 4.49]			
a: Database Cutoff Date: 08JA1	N2024									

c: Number of participants in full-analysis-set population with FIGO III to IVA with data available at respective timepoint

d: Number of participants in full-analysis-set population with FIGO III to IVA with data available for analysis

e: Based on constrained longitudinal data analysis model with the PRO scores as the response variable, and treatment by study visit interaction as covariates

f: Standardized mean difference (Hedges's g) is only calculated if confidence interval for mean difference does not include zero

g: Based on constrained longitudinal data analysis model with change as the response variable, baseline, treatment, subgroup, study visit, treatment by subgroup interaction, treatment by study visit interaction, subgroup by study visit interaction and treatment by study visit and by subgroup interaction as covariates

CCRT: Concurrent Chemoradiotherapy; CI: Confidence Interval; EBRT: External Beam Radiotherapy; ECOG: Eastern Cooperative of Gynecology and Obstetrics; EORTC QLQ-C30: European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer Quality of Life Questionnaire - Core 30 items; FIGO: International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics; Gy: Gray; IMRT: Intensity-Modulated Radiation Therapy; n.a.: not applicable (when estimation not possible); PRO: Patient Reported Outcome; RoW: Rest of the World; SD: Standard Deviation; VMAT: Volumetric Modulated Arc Therapy

EORTC QLQ-CX24: Funktionsskala sexuelle Aktivität

Tabelle 4G-30: Subgruppenanalysen der Teilpopulation FIGO 2014 Stadium III bis IVA mit nicht signifikantem Interaktionstest ($p \ge 0,05$) für ddie Funktionsskala Sexuelle Aktivität aus RCT mit dem zu bewertenden Arzneimittel

Study:KEYNOTEA18 ^a EORTCQLQ-CX24FunctionalScalesSexualActivity								+ CCRT ^b vs.	Placebo + CCRT ^b	
		Baseline		Week 60	Chang	ge from Baseline to Week 60	Mean Difference at Week 60		Standardized Mean Difference at Week 60	p-Value for Interaction Test ^g
	N ^c	Mean (SD)	N ^c	Mean (SD)	$\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{d}}$	Mean [95 %-CI] ^e	[95 %-CI] ^e	p-Value	[95 %-CI] ^f	
Age										
< 65										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	223	95.22 (14.04)	151	93.16 (14.05)	235	-2.28 [-4.77; 0.22]	1.61	0.377	-	0.281
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	214	93.15 (16.93)	139	89.69 (19.60)	231	-3.88 [-6.86; -0.91]	[-1.96; 5.18]			
≥ 65										
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^{b}$	32	96.88 (13.01)	23	100.00 (0.00)	36	1.75 [-0.30; 3.81]	0.35	0.393	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	44	99.24 (5.02)	25	100.00 (0.00)	47	1.40 [-0.85; 3.65]	[-0.45; 1.14]			
ECOG Performance Status							1			
0										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	172	95.35 (14.57)	121	93.39 (14.02)	185	-2.06 [-4.80; 0.68]	2.43	0.222	-	0.207
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	185	94.05 (14.56)	120	89.17 (20.34)	201	-4.49 [-7.71; -1.27]	[-1.47; 6.34]			
1										
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^{b}$	83	95.58 (12.51)	53	95.60 (11.39)	86	-1.26 [-4.86; 2.34]	-1.47	0.523	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	73	94.52 (18.44)	44	96.97 (9.69)	77	0.21 [-3.34; 3.76]	[-5.99; 3.04]			
Region										
North America										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	12	100.00 (0.00)	5	86.67 (18.26)	13	- [-; -]	-	-	-	n.a.
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	15	97.78 (8.61)	5	100.00 (0.00)	17	- [-; -]				
RoW										
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^{b}$	199	96.48 (12.71)	142	94.60 (12.95)	206	- [-; -]	-	-	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	198	94.11 (15.17)	131	92.11 (17.46)	209	- [-; -]				
Western Europe										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	44	89.39 (18.71)	27	92.59 (14.12)	52	- [-; -]	-	-	-	

Study: KEYNOTE A18 ^a EORTC QLQ-CX24 Functional Scales Sexual Activity							Pembrolizumab +	- CCRT ^b vs.	Placebo + CCRT ^b	
		Baseline		Week 60	Chang	e from Baseline to Week 60	Mean Difference at Week 60		Standardized Mean Difference at Week 60	p-Value for Interaction Test ^g
	$\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{c}}$	Mean (SD)	$\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{c}}$	Mean (SD)	$\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{d}}$	Mean [95 %-CI] ^e	[95 %-CI] ^e	p-Value	[95 %-CI] ^f	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	45	93.33 (19.59)	28	85.71 (23.00)	52	- [-; -]				
Planned Type of EBRT										
IMRT or VMAT										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	215	94.88 (14.76)	149	93.51 (13.80)	231	-1.54 [-4.02; 0.94]	1.78	0.321	-	0.953
Placebo + CCRT ^b	218	93.73 (15.89)	138	90.34 (19.40)	237	-3.32 [-6.25; -0.39]	[-1.73; 5.29]			
non-IMRT and non-VMAT										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	40	98.33 (7.36)	25	97.33 (9.23)	40	-3.08 [-7.48; 1.31]	-0.55	0.850	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	40	96.67 (14.72)	26	96.15 (10.86)	41	-2.53 [-6.64; 1.58]	[-6.32; 5.21]			
Planned Total Radiotherapy	Dose (EB	RT + brachyther	apy dos	e)						
< 70 Gy										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	29	97.70 (8.60)	19	98.25 (7.65)	29	-0.03 [-1.82; 1.75]	0.56	0.797	-	0.834
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	28	98.81 (6.30)	19	98.25 (7.65)	28	-0.59 [-4.70; 3.51]	[-3.70; 4.82]			
$\geq 70 \text{ Gy}$										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	226	95.13 (14.43)	155	93.55 (13.75)	242	-1.99 [-4.45; 0.48]	1.35	0.442	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	230	93.62 (16.42)	145	90.35 (19.21)	250	-3.34 [-6.19; -0.49]	[-2.09; 4.79]			

c: Number of participants in full-analysis-set population with FIGO III to IVA with data available at respective timepoint

d: Number of participants in full-analysis-set population with FIGO III to IVA with data available for analysis

e: Based on constrained longitudinal data analysis model with the PRO scores as the response variable, and treatment by study visit interaction as covariates

f: Standardized mean difference (Hedges's g) is only calculated if confidence interval for mean difference does not include zero

g: Based on constrained longitudinal data analysis model with change as the response variable, baseline, treatment, subgroup, study visit, treatment by subgroup interaction, treatment by study visit interaction, subgroup by study visit interaction and treatment by study visit and by subgroup interaction as covariates

CCRT: Concurrent Chemoradiotherapy; CI: Confidence Interval; EBRT: External Beam Radiotherapy; ECOG: Eastern Cooperative of Gynecology and Obstetrics; EORTC QLQ-CX24: European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer Quality of Life Questionnaire CX24; FIGO: International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics; Gy: Gray; IMRT: Intensity-Modulated Radiation Therapy; n.a.: not applicable (when estimation not possible); PRO: Patient Reported Outcome; RoW: Rest of the World; SD: Standard Deviation; VMAT: Volumetric Modulated Arc Therapy

EORTC QLQ-CX24: Funktionsskala Sorge vor schmerzhaftem Geschlechtsverkehr, sexuelle Aktivität und sexuellem Erleben

Tabelle 4G-31: Subgruppenanalysen der Teilpopulation FIGO 2014 Stadium III bis IVA mit nicht signifikantem Interaktionstest ($p \ge 0.05$) für die Funktionsskala Sorge vor schmerzhaftem Geschlechtsverkehr, sexuelle Aktivität und sexuellem Erleben aus RCT mit dem zu bewertenden Arzneimittel

Study:KEYNOTEA18ªEORTCQLQ-CX24SymptomScalesSexualWorryVorryScales								- CCRT ^b vs.	Placebo + CCRT ^b	
		Baseline		Week 60	Chanş	ge from Baseline to Week 60	Mean Difference at Week 60		Standardized Mean Difference at Week 60	p-Value for Interaction Test ^g
	N ^c	Mean (SD)	N ^c	Mean (SD)	$\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{d}}$	Mean [95 %-CI] ^e	[95 %-CI] ^e	p-Value	[95 %-CI] ^f	
Age										
< 65										
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^b$	221	21.57 (31.66)	150	21.11 (32.86)	235	-0.59 [-5.28; 4.10]	4.39	0.140	-	0.774
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	213	22.69 (34.44)	139	14.15 (25.69)	231	-4.97 [-9.47; -0.48]	[-1.44; 10.22]			
≥ 65										
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^b$	32	6.25 (21.48)	22	4.55 (11.71)	36	0.41 [-4.87; 5.69]	-2.98	0.567	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	44	1.52 (7.02)	25	8.00 (27.69)	47	3.39 [-5.92; 12.70]	[-13.19; 7.24]			
ECOG Performance Status	1				1		1			1
0										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	170	18.82 (28.99)	120	20.28 (30.65)	185	1.77 [-3.21; 6.75]	3.46	0.281	-	0.256
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	184	18.12 (30.54)	120	13.89 (26.84)	201	-1.69 [-6.41; 3.04]	[-2.83; 9.74]			
1										
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^{b}$	83	21.28 (34.76)	52	16.03 (33.33)	86	-5.54 [-13.16; 2.07]	4.20	0.395	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	73	21.46 (37.01)	44	11.36 (23.78)	77	-9.74 [-17.53; -1.95]	[-5.47; 13.86]			
Region	1				1		1			1
North America										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	12	30.56 (38.82)	5	20.00 (18.26)	13	-12.32 [-30.51; 5.87]	-24.36	0.113	-	0.311
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	15	33.33 (45.43)	5	20.00 (44.72)	17	12.04 [-14.61; 38.70]	[-54.58; 5.85]			
RoW										
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^{b}$	199	18.09 (30.09)	141	17.49 (31.26)	206	-0.08 [-4.67; 4.52]	3.86	0.172	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	196	17.01 (30.47)	131	11.96 (24.48)	209	-3.94 [-7.98; 0.10]	[-1.68; 9.41]			
Western Europe										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	42	23.81 (32.33)	26	26.92 (34.02)	52	0.47 [-10.44; 11.39]	4.18	0.577	-	

Pembrolizumab (KEYTRUDA[®])

Study:KEYNOTEA18°EORTCQLQ-CX24SymptomScalesSexualWorry	DRTC QLQ-CX24 mptom Scales Sexual						Pembrolizumab +	- CCRT ^b vs.	Placebo + CCRT ^b	
		Baseline		Week 60	Chanş	ge from Baseline to Week 60	Mean Difference at Week 60		Standardized Mean Difference at Week 60	p-Value for Interaction Test ^g
	$\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{c}}$	Mean (SD)	$\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{c}}$	Mean (SD)	$\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{d}}$	Mean [95 %-CI] ^e	[95 %-CI] ^e	p-Value	[95 %-CI] ^f	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	46	23.19 (35.04)	28	17.86 (29.37)	52	-3.71 [-16.05; 8.64]	[-10.51; 18.86]			
Planned Type of EBRT	1									1
IMRT or VMAT										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	213	20.50 (31.76)	147	21.09 (32.89)	231	0.44 [-4.27; 5.15]	3.79	0.218	-	0.260
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	217	20.89 (33.70)	138	14.73 (27.32)	237	-3.35 [-8.03; 1.32]	[-2.24; 9.83]			
non-IMRT and non-VMAT										
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^b$	40	15.00 (26.09)	25	6.67 (16.67)	40	-2.72 [-8.59; 3.14]	1.01	0.792	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	40	9.17 (22.63)	26	5.13 (15.47)	41	-3.73 [-9.91; 2.45]	[-6.49; 8.51]			
Planned Total Radiotherapy I	Dose (EB	RT + brachyther	apy dos	e)						
< 70 Gy										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	29	8.05 (21.18)	19	3.51 (10.51)	29	- [-; -]	-	-	-	n.a.
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	28	5.95 (15.85)	19	7.02 (23.78)	28	- [-; -]				
\geq 70 Gy										
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^b$	224	21.13 (31.72)	153	20.91 (32.64)	242	- [-; -]	-	-	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	229	20.67 (33.62)	145	14.02 (26.26)	250	- [-; -]				

c: Number of participants in full-analysis-set population with FIGO III to IVA with data available at respective timepoint

d: Number of participants in full-analysis-set population with FIGO III to IVA with data available for analysis

e: Based on constrained longitudinal data analysis model with the PRO scores as the response variable, and treatment by study visit interaction as covariates

f: Standardized mean difference (Hedges's g) is only calculated if confidence interval for mean difference does not include zero

g: Based on constrained longitudinal data analysis model with change as the response variable, baseline, treatment, subgroup, study visit, treatment by subgroup interaction, treatment by study visit interaction, subgroup by study visit interaction and treatment by study visit and by subgroup interaction as covariates

CCRT: Concurrent Chemoradiotherapy; CI: Confidence Interval; EBRT: External Beam Radiotherapy; ECOG: Eastern Cooperative of Gynecology and Obstetrics; EORTC QLQ-CX24: European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer Quality of Life Questionnaire CX24; FIGO: International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics; Gy: Gray; IMRT: Intensity-Modulated Radiation Therapy; n.a.: not applicable (when estimation not possible); PRO: Patient Reported Outcome; RoW: Rest of the World; SD: Standard Deviation; VMAT: Volumetric Modulated Arc Therapy

EORTC QLQ-CX24: Funktionsskala Körperbild

Tabelle 4G-32: Subgruppenanalysen der Teilpopulation FIGO 2014 Stadium III bis IVA mit nicht signifikantem Interaktionstest ($p \ge 0,05$) für die Funktionsskala Körperbild aus RCT mit dem zu bewertenden Arzneimittel

Study:KEYNOTEA18aEORTCQLQ-CX24Functional Scales Body Image							Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b vs. Placebo + CCRT ^b			
	Baseline			Week 60		e from Baseline to Week 60	Mean Difference at Week 60		Standardized Mean Difference at Week 60	p-Value for Interaction Test ^g
	N ^c	Mean (SD)	N ^c	Mean (SD)	$\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{d}}$	Mean [95 %-CI] ^e	[95 %-CI] ^e	p-Value	[95 %-CI] ^f	
Age										
< 65										
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^{b}$	224	82.29 (22.98)	154	87.81 (20.28)	235	4.68 [1.46; 7.90]	2.44	0.250	-	0.646
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	222	79.53 (23.93)	141	85.97 (20.77)	234	2.24 [-0.92; 5.40]	[-1.72; 6.59]			
\geq 65										
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^{b}$	35	85.72 (22.15)	23	90.34 (15.09)	37	5.43 [-0.54; 11.40]	2.71	0.543	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	45	81.98 (22.38)	25	84.45 (18.43)	47	2.72 [-5.75; 11.19]	[-6.03; 11.46]			
ECOG Performance Status							1		1	L
0										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	175	85.72 (20.70)	124	87.64 (19.74)	185	1.76 [-1.81; 5.33]	0.68	0.766	-	0.200
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	192	81.42 (22.69)	121	85.68 (20.96)	202	1.09 [-2.09; 4.26]	[-3.78; 5.13]			
1										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	84	76.59 (25.87)	53	89.31 (19.61)	87	11.42 [6.70; 16.15]	5.28	0.148	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	75	76.15 (25.75)	45	85.93 (19.01)	79	6.14 [-0.48; 12.77]	[-1.87; 12.43]			
Region										
North America										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	12	82.41 (25.72)	5	80.00 (29.82)	13	- [-; -]	-	-	-	n.a.
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	15	72.59 (26.18)	5	66.67 (23.57)	17	- [-; -]				
RoW										
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^{b}$	203	82.32 (22.56)	145	88.51 (20.05)	207	- [-; -]	-	-	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	205	80.60 (23.61)	133	87.64 (19.28)	212	- [-; -]				
Western Europe										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	44	84.85 (23.85)	27	87.66 (15.51)	52	- [-; -]	-	-	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	47	79.43 (23.17)	28	80.16 (22.90)	52	- [-; -]				

Study:KEYNOTEA18aEORTCQLQ-CX24							Pembrolizumab +	- CCRT ^b vs.	Placebo + CCRT ^b	
Functional Scales Body Image		Baseline		Week 60	Chang	e from Baseline to Week 60	Mean Difference at Week 60		Standardized Mean Difference at Week 60	p-Value for Interaction Test ^g
	N ^c	Mean (SD)	N ^c	Mean (SD)	$\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{d}}$	Mean [95 %-CI] ^e	[95 %-CI] ^e	p-Value	[95 %-CI] ^f	
Planned Type of EBRT										
IMRT or VMAT										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	219	83.16 (22.18)	152	88.09 (19.14)	232	5.66 [2.52; 8.80]	2.71	0.197	-	0.281
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	227	78.41 (24.61)	140	85.16 (21.01)	240	2.95 [-0.38; 6.28]	[-1.41; 6.83]			
non-IMRT and non-VMAT										
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^{b}$	40	80.56 (26.48)	25	88.45 (23.01)	40	0.58 [-6.62; 7.79]	1.65	0.718	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	40	88.61 (14.78)	26	88.89 (16.63)	41	-1.07 [-6.89; 4.76]	[-7.29; 10.59]			
Planned Total Radiotherapy D	ose (EB	RT + brachyther	apy dos	e)						
< 70 Gy										
Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	29	77.40 (26.31)	19	84.80 (25.45)	29	0.92 [-7.84; 9.69]	0.00	> 0.999	-	0.787
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	28	84.92 (17.69)	19	87.14 (15.83)	28	0.92 [-7.47; 9.31]	[-11.53; 11.53]			
\geq 70 Gy										
$Pembrolizumab + CCRT^b$	230	83.43 (22.36)	158	88.54 (18.91)	243	5.45 [2.37; 8.52]	3.15	0.123	-	
$Placebo + CCRT^{b}$	239	79.36 (24.22)	147	85.56 (20.95)	253	2.30 [-0.87; 5.47]	[-0.85; 7.14]			

a: Database Cutoff Date: 08JAN2024

b: CCRT is Chemoradiotherapy [Treatment with cisplatin and radiotherapy (EBRT followed by brachytherapy)]

c: Number of participants in full-analysis-set population with FIGO III to IVA with data available at respective timepoint

d: Number of participants in full-analysis-set population with FIGO III to IVA with data available for analysis

e: Based on constrained longitudinal data analysis model with the PRO scores as the response variable, and treatment by study visit interaction as covariates

f: Standardized mean difference (Hedges's g) is only calculated if confidence interval for mean difference does not include zero

g: Based on constrained longitudinal data analysis model with change as the response variable, baseline, treatment, subgroup, study visit, treatment by subgroup interaction, treatment by study visit interaction, subgroup by study visit interaction and treatment by study visit and by subgroup interaction as covariates

CCRT: Concurrent Chemoradiotherapy; CI: Confidence Interval; EBRT: External Beam Radiotherapy; ECOG: Eastern Cooperative of Gynecology and Obstetrics; EORTC QLQ-CX24: European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer Quality of Life Questionnaire CX24; FIGO: International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics; Gy: Gray; IMRT: Intensity-Modulated Radiation Therapy; n.a.: not applicable (when estimation not possible); PRO: Patient Reported Outcome; RoW: Rest of the World; SD: Standard Deviation; VMAT: Volumetric Modulated Arc Therapy

Anhang 4-G2.4: Nebenwirkungen

Unerwünschte Ereignisse Gesamtraten

Unerwünschte Ereignisse gesamt

Tabelle 4G-33: Subgruppenanalysen der Teilpopulation FIGO 2014 Stadium III bis IVA mit nicht signifikantem Interaktionstest ($p \ge 0.05$) für den Endpunkt Unerwünschte Ereignisse gesamt aus RCT mit dem zu bewertenden Arzneimittel

Study: KEYNOTE A18 ^a	Pem	brolizumab + CCRT ^b	Plac	ebo + CCRT ^b	Pembrolizumab + Placebo + CC		
Adverse Events	Nc	Participants with Event n (%)	N°	Participants with Event n (%)	Relative Risk [95 %-CI] ^d	p-Value ^e	p-Value for Interaction Test ^f
Age						F	
< 65	253	253 (100.0)	247	245 (99.2)	1.01 [1.00; 1.02]	0.152	n.a.
≥65	42	42 (100.0)	57	57 (100.0)	n.a. [n.a.; n.a.]	n.a.	
ECOG Performance Status					L	11	
0	194	194 (100.0)	211	209 (99.1)	1.01 [1.00; 1.02]	0.175	n.a.
1	101	101 (100.0)	93	93 (100.0)	n.a. [n.a.; n.a.]	n.a.	
Region	1		I		1	1	
Western Europe	52	52 (100.0)	55	54 (98.2)	1.02 [0.98; 1.06]	0.331	n.a.
North America	14	14 (100.0)	17	17 (100.0)	n.a. [n.a.; n.a.]	n.a.	
RoW	229	229 (100.0)	232	231 (99.6)	1.00 [1.00; 1.01]	0.320	
Planned Type of EBRT	1		I		1	1	
IMRT or VMAT	255	255 (100.0)	263	261 (99.2)	1.01 [1.00; 1.02]	0.163	n.a.
Non-IMRT and Non-VMAT	40	40 (100.0)	41	41 (100.0)	n.a. [n.a.; n.a.]	n.a.	
Planned Total Radiotherapy Dose	(EBRT	+ brachytherapy d	lose)				
< 70 Gy	31	31 (100.0)	29	29 (100.0)	n.a. [n.a.; n.a.]	n.a.	n.a.
≥ 70 Gy	264	264 (100.0)	275	273 (99.3)	1.01 [1.00; 1.02]	0.165	

a: Database Cutoff Date: 08JAN2024

b: CCRT is Chemoradiotherapy [Treatment with cisplatin and radiotherapy (EBRT followed by brachytherapy)]

c: Number of participants: all-participants-as-treated population with FIGO III to IVA

d: Based on 2x2 contingency table, using Wald confidence interval. In case of no participant with event in at least one treatment group or all participants with event in both treatment groups, report 'n.a.'

e: Based on Mantel-Haenszel Chi-Squared test. In case of no participant with event in at least one treatment group or all participants with event in both treatment groups, report 'n.a.'

f: Based on Breslow-Day test with subgroup as stratification factor. In case no participant or all participants with event in both treatment groups for a subgroup category, it is excluded from interaction test (if only one subgroup category remaining, report 'n.a.'). In case no participant or all participants with event in at least one treatment group across all subgroup categories, report 'n.a.'

Schwerwiegende unerwünschte Ereignisse

Tabelle 4G-34: Subgruppenanalysen der Teilpopulation FIGO 2014 Stadium III bis IVA mit nicht signifikantem Interaktionstest ($p \ge 0.05$) für den Endpunkt Schwerwiegende unerwünschte Ereignisse aus RCT mit dem zu bewertenden Arzneimittel

Study: KEYNOTE A18 ^a	Pem	brolizumab + CCRT ^b	Plac	ebo + CCRT ^b	Pembrolizumab + Placebo + CO			
Serious Adverse Events	N ^c	Participants with Event n (%)	N°	Participants with Event n (%)	Relative Risk [95 %-CI] ^d	p-Value ^e	p-Value for Interaction Test ^f	
Age								
< 65	253	87 (34.4)	247	76 (30.8)	1.12 [0.87; 1.44]	0.389	0.219	
≥ 65	42	13 (31.0)	57	23 (40.4)	0.77 [0.44; 1.33]	0.339		
ECOG Performance Status								
0	194	61 (31.4)	211	62 (29.4)	1.07 [0.80; 1.44]	0.653	0.688	
1	101	39 (38.6)	93	37 (39.8)	0.97 [0.68; 1.38]	0.868		
Region								
Western Europe	52	19 (36.5)	55	24 (43.6)	0.84 [0.52; 1.34]	0.456	0.174	
North America	14	5 (35.7)	17	10 (58.8)	0.61 [0.27; 1.36]	0.208		
RoW	229	76 (33.2)	232	65 (28.0)	1.18 [0.90; 1.56]	0.229		
Planned Type of EBRT								
IMRT or VMAT	255	86 (33.7)	263	92 (35.0)	0.96 [0.76; 1.22]	0.764	0.067	
Non-IMRT and Non-VMAT	40	14 (35.0)	41	7 (17.1)	2.05 [0.92; 4.54]	0.067		
Planned Total Radiotherapy Dos	e (EBRT	+ brachytherapy d	lose)			· ·		
< 70 Gy	31	12 (38.7)	29	7 (24.1)	1.60 [0.73; 3.51]	0.229	0.245	
\geq 70 Gy	264	88 (33.3)	275	92 (33.5)	1.00 [0.78; 1.26]	0.976		

a: Database Cutoff Date: 08JAN2024

b: CCRT is Chemoradiotherapy [Treatment with cisplatin and radiotherapy (EBRT followed by brachytherapy)]

c: Number of participants: all-participants-as-treated population with FIGO III to IVA

d: Based on 2x2 contingency table, using Wald confidence interval. In case of no participant with event in at least one treatment group or all participants with event in both treatment groups, report 'n.a.'

e: Based on Mantel-Haenszel Chi-Squared test. In case of no participant with event in at least one treatment group or all participants with event in both treatment groups, report 'n.a.'

f: Based on Breslow-Day test with subgroup as stratification factor. In case no participant or all participants with event in both treatment groups for a subgroup category, it is excluded from interaction test (if only one subgroup category remaining, report 'n.a.'). In case no participant or all participants with event in at least one treatment group across all subgroup categories, report 'n.a.'

Schwere unerwünschte Ereignisse (CTCAE-Grad 3-5)

Tabelle 4G-35: Subgruppenanalysen der Teilpopulation FIGO 2014 Stadium III bis IVA mit nicht signifikantem Interaktionstest ($p \ge 0.05$) für den Endpunkt Schwere unerwünschte Ereignisse (CTCAE-Grad 3-5) aus RCT mit dem zu bewertenden Arzneimittel

Study: KEYNOTE A18 ^a	Pem	brolizumab + CCRT ^b	Plac	ebo + CCRT ^b	Pembrolizumab + Placebo + CO			
Severe Adverse Events (CTCAE-Grade 3-5)	N ^c	Participants with Event n (%)	N ^c	Participants with Event n (%)	Relative Risk [95 %-CI] ^d	p-Value ^e	p-Value for Interaction Test ^f	
Age								
< 65	253	201 (79.4)	247	171 (69.2)	1.15 [1.03; 1.27]	0.009	0.290	
≥ 65	42	31 (73.8)	57	42 (73.7)	1.00 [0.79; 1.27]	0.989		
ECOG Performance Status								
0	194	146 (75.3)	211	143 (67.8)	1.11 [0.98; 1.26]	0.096	0.539	
1	101	86 (85.1)	93	70 (75.3)	1.13 [0.98; 1.30]	0.084		
Region						1		
Western Europe	52	39 (75.0)	55	30 (54.5)	1.38 [1.03; 1.83]	0.028	0.446	
North America	14	11 (78.6)	17	13 (76.5)	1.03 [0.70; 1.50]	0.891		
RoW	229	182 (79.5)	232	170 (73.3)	1.08 [0.98; 1.20]	0.118		
Planned Type of EBRT								
IMRT or VMAT	255	196 (76.9)	263	180 (68.4)	1.12 [1.01; 1.25]	0.032	0.606	
Non-IMRT and Non-VMAT	40	36 (90.0)	41	33 (80.5)	1.12 [0.93; 1.34]	0.231		
Planned Total Radiotherapy Dose	e (EBRT	+ brachytherapy d	lose)			• •		
< 70 Gy	31	27 (87.1)	29	20 (69.0)	1.26 [0.96; 1.67]	0.091	0.297	
\geq 70 Gy	264	205 (77.7)	275	193 (70.2)	1.11 [1.00; 1.22]	0.049		

a: Database Cutoff Date: 08JAN2024

b: CCRT is Chemoradiotherapy [Treatment with cisplatin and radiotherapy (EBRT followed by brachytherapy)]

c: Number of participants: all-participants-as-treated population with FIGO III to IVA

d: Based on 2x2 contingency table, using Wald confidence interval. In case of no participant with event in at least one treatment group or all participants with event in both treatment groups, report 'n.a.'

e: Based on Mantel-Haenszel Chi-Squared test. In case of no participant with event in at least one treatment group or all participants with event in both treatment groups, report 'n.a.'

f: Based on Breslow-Day test with subgroup as stratification factor. In case no participant or all participants with event in both treatment groups for a subgroup category, it is excluded from interaction test (if only one subgroup category remaining, report 'n.a.'). In case no participant or all participants with event in at least one treatment group across all subgroup categories, report 'n.a.'

Therapieabbruch wegen unerwünschter Ereignisse

Tabelle 4G-36: Subgruppenanalysen der Teilpopulation FIGO 2014 Stadium III bis IVA mit nicht signifikantem Interaktionstest ($p \ge 0,05$) für den Endpunkt Therapieabbruch wegen unerwünschter Ereignisse aus RCT mit dem zu bewertenden Arzneimittel

Study: KEYNOTE A18 ^a	Pem	brolizumab + CCRT ^b	Plac	ebo + CCRT ^b	Pembrolizumab + Placebo + CC		
Adverse Events Leading to Treatment Discontinuation	N°	Participants with Event n (%)	N°	Participants with Event n (%)	Relative Risk [95 %-CI] ^d	p-Value ^e	p-Value for Interaction Test ^f
Age							
< 65	253	52 (20.6)	247	34 (13.8)	1.49 [1.01; 2.22]	0.045	0.550
≥65	42	10 (23.8)	57	12 (21.1)	1.13 [0.54; 2.37]	0.746	
ECOG Performance Status							
0	194	37 (19.1)	211	27 (12.8)	1.49 [0.94; 2.35]	0.084	0.609
1	101	25 (24.8)	93	19 (20.4)	1.21 [0.72; 2.05]	0.474	
Region							
Western Europe	52	11 (21.2)	55	5 (9.1)	2.33 [0.87; 6.24]	0.082	0.456
North America	14	2 (14.3)	17	3 (17.6)	0.81 [0.16; 4.19]	0.803	
RoW	229	49 (21.4)	232	38 (16.4)	1.31 [0.89; 1.91]	0.169	
Planned Type of EBRT							
IMRT or VMAT	255	52 (20.4)	263	37 (14.1)	1.45 [0.99; 2.13]	0.057	0.629
Non-IMRT and Non-VMAT	40	10 (25.0)	41	9 (22.0)	1.14 [0.52; 2.50]	0.748	
Planned Total Radiotherapy Dose	(EBRT	+ brachytherapy d	lose)				
< 70 Gy	31	9 (29.0)	29	2 (6.9)	4.21 [0.99; 17.88]	0.028	0.083
\geq 70 Gy	264	53 (20.1)	275	44 (16.0)	1.25 [0.87; 1.80]	0.219	

a: Database Cutoff Date: 08JAN2024

b: CCRT is Chemoradiotherapy [Treatment with cisplatin and radiotherapy (EBRT followed by brachytherapy)]

c: Number of participants: all-participants-as-treated population with FIGO III to IVA

d: Based on 2x2 contingency table, using Wald confidence interval. In case of no participant with event in at least one treatment group or all participants with event in both treatment groups, report 'n.a.'

e: Based on Mantel-Haenszel Chi-Squared test. In case of no participant with event in at least one treatment group or all participants with event in both treatment groups, report 'n.a.'

f: Based on Breslow-Day test with subgroup as stratification factor. In case no participant or all participants with event in both treatment groups for a subgroup category, it is excluded from interaction test (if only one subgroup category remaining, report 'n.a.'). In case no participant or all participants with event in at least one treatment group across all subgroup categories, report 'n.a.'

Unerwünschte Ereignisse (gegliedert nach SOC und PT)

Unerwünschte Ereignisse gesamt (SOC und PT)

Tabelle 4G-37: Subgruppenanalysen der Teilpopulation FIGO 2014 Stadium III bis IVA mit nicht signifikantem Interaktionstest ($p \ge 0.05$) für den Endpunkt Unerwünschte Ereignisse gesamt (SOC und PT) für SOC aus RCT mit dem zu bewertenden Arzneimittel

Study: KEYNOTE A18a		brolizumab + CCRTb	Place	bo + CCRTb	Pembrolizumab + C Placebo + CC		
Adverse Events	Nc	Participants with Event n (%)	Nc	Participants with Event n (%)	Relative Risk [95 %-CI] ^d	p-Valuee	p-Value for Interaction Testf
SOC ^g : Endocrine disorders	ne	II (70)	ne	n (70)		p-valuee	i toti
Age							
< 65	253	81 (32.02)	247	28 (11.34)	2.82 [1.91; 4.18]	< 0.001	0.957
≥65	42	14 (33.33)	57	7 (12.28)	2.71 [1.20; 6.13]	0.012	
ECOG Performance Status		()		. (,	,,		
0	194	60 (30.93)	211	22 (10.43)	2.97 [1.90; 4.64]	< 0.001	0.718
1	101	35 (34.65)	93	13 (13.98)	2.48 [1.40; 4.39]	0.001	01/10
Region							
Western Europe	52	12 (23.08)	55	8 (14.55)	1.59 [0.71; 3.57]	0.260	0.263
North America	14	4 (28.57)	17	2 (11.76)	2.43 [0.52; 11.36]	0.246	0.203
RoW	229	79 (34.50)	232	25 (10.78)	3.20 [2.12; 4.83]	< 0.001	
Planned Type of EBRT							
IMRT or VMAT	255	86 (33.73)	263	34 (12.93)	2.61 [1.82; 3.73]	< 0.001	0.246
Non-IMRT and Non-VMAT	40	9 (22.50)	41	1 (2.44)	9.23 [1.22; 69.51]	0.006	0.210
Planned Total Radiotherapy Do	-	. ,			,		
<70 Gy	31	7 (22.58)	29	1 (3.45)	6.55 [0.86; 50.02]	0.031	0.449
≥ 70 Gy	264	88 (33.33)	275	34 (12.36)	2.70 [1.88; 3.86]	< 0.001	0.449
SOC ^g : Injury, poisoning and J				- (
	procedur	ai complications					
Age	2.52	5 4 (20, 25)	2.17	64 (25 04)		0.404	0.014
< 65	253	74 (29.25)	247	64 (25.91)	1.13 [0.85; 1.50]	0.404	0.914
≥65	42	12 (28.57)	57	15 (26.32)	1.09 [0.57; 2.07]	0.804	
ECOG Performance Status						- [r
0	194	56 (28.87)	211	49 (23.22)	1.24 [0.89; 1.73]	0.196	0.283
1	101	30 (29.70)	93	30 (32.26)	0.92 [0.60; 1.40]	0.701	
Region							
Western Europe	52	7 (13.46)	55	7 (12.73)	1.06 [0.40; 2.81]	0.911	0.208
North America	14	9 (64.29)	17	5 (29.41)	2.19 [0.95; 5.03]	0.056	
RoW	229	70 (30.57)	232	67 (28.88)	1.06 [0.80; 1.40]	0.692	
Planned Type of EBRT						-	1
IMRT or VMAT	255	74 (29.02)	263	72 (27.38)	1.06 [0.81; 1.40]	0.678	0.253
Non-IMRT and Non-VMAT	40	12 (30.00)	41	7 (17.07)	1.76 [0.77; 4.01]	0.172	
Planned Total Radiotherapy Do	se (EBR7	Γ + brachytherapy	dose)				
< 70 Gy	31	9 (29.03)	29	7 (24.14)	1.20 [0.52; 2.81]	0.671	0.869
\geq 70 Gy	264	77 (29.17)	275	72 (26.18)	1.11 [0.85; 1.46]	0.439	
SOC ^g : Investigations							
Age							
< 65	253	194 (76.68)	247	188 (76.11)	1.01 [0.91; 1.11]	0.882	0.639
≥65	42	30 (71.43)	57	43 (75.44)	0.95 [0.74; 1.21]	0.656	

Study: KEYNOTE A18a		orolizumab +	Place	ebo + CCRTb	Pembrolizumab + (
		CCRTb		Destitution	Placebo + CC	RTb	
Adverse Events	Nc	Participants with Event n (%)	Nc	Participants with Event n (%)	Relative Risk [95 %-CI] ^d	p-Valuee	p-Value for Interaction Testf
ECOG Performance Status							
0	194	137 (70.62)	211	148 (70.14)	1.01 [0.89; 1.14]	0.917	0.525
1	101	87 (86.14)	93	83 (89.25)	0.97 [0.87; 1.07]	0.512	
Region	I		I				
Western Europe	52	30 (57.69)	55	32 (58.18)	0.99 [0.72; 1.37]	0.959	0.759
North America	14	10 (71.43)	17	14 (82.35)	0.87 [0.58; 1.29]	0.476	
RoW	229	184 (80.35)	232	185 (79.74)	1.01 [0.92; 1.10]	0.870	
Planned Type of EBRT	I		I				
IMRT or VMAT	255	192 (75.29)	263	197 (74.90)	1.01 [0.91; 1.11]	0.919	0.724
Non-IMRT and Non-VMAT	40	32 (80.00)	41	34 (82.93)	0.96 [0.78; 1.19]	0.736	
Planned Total Radiotherapy Do	se (EBRT	Γ + brachytherapy	dose)			-1	
< 70 Gy	31	25 (80.65)	29	23 (79.31)	1.02 [0.79; 1.31]	0.898	0.886
\geq 70 Gy	264	199 (75.38)	275	208 (75.64)	1.00 [0.91; 1.10]	0.945	
SOC ^g : Skin and subcutaneous	s tissue di	isorders	1				1
Age							
< 65	253	66 (26.09)	247	40 (16.19)	1.61 [1.13; 2.29]	0.007	0.526
≥ 65	42	9 (21.43)	57	10 (17.54)	1.22 [0.54; 2.74]	0.629	
ECOG Performance Status	1		1			-1	
0	194	51 (26.29)	211	36 (17.06)	1.54 [1.05; 2.25]	0.024	0.974
1	101	24 (23.76)	93	14 (15.05)	1.58 [0.87; 2.86]	0.128	
Region							I
Western Europe	52	12 (23.08)	55	8 (14.55)	1.59 [0.71; 3.57]	0.260	0.377
North America	14	7 (50.00)	17	10 (58.82)	0.85 [0.44; 1.64]	0.629	
RoW	229	56 (24.45)	232	32 (13.79)	1.77 [1.20; 2.63]	0.004	
Planned Type of EBRT							
IMRT or VMAT	255	59 (23.14)	263	38 (14.45)	1.60 [1.11; 2.32]	0.011	0.847
Non-IMRT and Non-VMAT	40	16 (40.00)	41	12 (29.27)	1.37 [0.74; 2.51]	0.313	
Planned Total Radiotherapy Do	se (EBRT	Γ + brachytherapy	dose)				
< 70 Gy	31	14 (45.16)	29	11 (37.93)	1.19 [0.65; 2.18]	0.573	0.601
≥ 70 Gy	264	61 (23.11)	275	39 (14.18)	1.63 [1.13; 2.35]	0.008	

a: Database Cutoff Date: 08JAN2024

b: CCRT is Chemoradiotherapy [Treatment with cisplatin and radiotherapy (EBRT followed by brachytherapy)]

c: Number of participants: all-participants-as-treated population with FIGO III to IVA

d: Based on 2x2 contingency table, using Wald confidence interval. In case of no participant with event in at least one treatment group or all participants with event in both treatment groups, report 'n.a.'

e: Based on Mantel-Haenszel Chi-Squared test. In case of no participant with event in at least one treatment group or all participants with event in both treatment groups, report 'n.a.'

f: Based on Breslow-Day test with subgroup as stratification factor. In case no participant or all participants with event in both treatment groups for a subgroup category, it is excluded from interaction test (if only one subgroup category remaining, report 'n.a.'). In case no participant or all participants with event in at least one treatment group across all subgroup categories, report 'n.a.'

g: A system organ class appears on this report only if its incidence $\geq 10\%$ or (incidence $\geq 1\%$ and in at least 10 participants) in one or more treament groups and p-value of main treatment effect is smaller than 0.05, and the interaction p-value is greater than or equal to 0.05 or not calculated

Tabelle 4G-38: Subgruppenanalysen der Teilpopulation FIGO 2014 Stadium III bis IVA mit nicht signifikantem Interaktionstest ($p \ge 0.05$) für den Endpunkt Unerwünschte Ereignisse gesamt (SOC und PT) für PT aus RCT mit dem zu bewertenden Arzneimittel

Study: KEYNOTE A18 ^a	Pem	brolizumab + CCRT ^b	Place	ebo + CCRT ^b	Pembrolizumab + C Placebo + CC		
Adverse Events	N ^c	Participants with Event n (%)	N ^c	Participants with Event n (%)	Relative Risk [95 %-CI] ^d	p-Value ^e	p-Value for Interaction Test ^f
SOC: Endocrine disorders PT	Ր ^ց : Нуреւ	rthyroidism					
Age							
< 65	253	34 (13.44)	247	8 (3.24)	4.15 [1.96; 8.78]	< 0.001	0.434
≥65	42	3 (7.14)	57	2 (3.51)	2.04 [0.36; 11.65]	0.417	
ECOG Performance Status	1						
0	194	21 (10.82)	211	5 (2.37)	4.57 [1.76; 11.88]	0.001	0.576
1	101	16 (15.84)	93	5 (5.38)	2.95 [1.12; 7.73]	0.019	
Region	1	. ,					
Western Europe	52	6 (11.54)	55	2 (3.64)	3.17 [0.67; 15.02]	0.122	0.834
North America	14	1 (7.14)	17	0	n.a. [n.a.; n.a.]	n.a.	0.051
RoW	229	30 (13.10)	232	8 (3.45)	3.80 [1.78; 8.11]	< 0.001	
Planned Type of EBRT	1						
IMRT or VMAT	255	34 (13.33)	263	10 (3.80)	3.51 [1.77; 6.95]	< 0.001	0.361
Non-IMRT and Non-VMAT	40	3 (7.50)	41	0	n.a. [n.a.; n.a.]	n.a.	
Planned Total Radiotherapy Do	ose (EBR'	Γ + brachytherapy	dose)				
<70 Gy	31	2 (6.45)	29	0	n.a. [n.a.; n.a.]	n.a.	0.485
\geq 70 Gy	264	35 (13.26)	275	10 (3.64)	3.65 [1.84; 7.21]	< 0.001	0.105
SOC: Endocrine disorders PT			_/*				
		ing rotatism					
Age	252	55 (21 74)	247	20 (8 10)	2 69 [1 66, 4 24]	<0.001	0.666
< 65 ≥ 65	253 42	55 (21.74) 12 (28.57)	247 57	20 (8.10) 5 (8.77)	2.68 [1.66; 4.34] 3.26 [1.24; 8.54]	<0.001 0.010	0.666
	42	12 (28.57)	57	5 (8.77)	5.20 [1.24, 6.54]	0.010	
ECOG Performance Status	101	15 (22.20)	211			0.001	0.500
0	194	45 (23.20)	211	16 (7.58)	3.06 [1.79; 5.23]	<0.001	0.509
1	101	22 (21.78)	93	9 (9.68)	2.25 [1.09; 4.64]	0.022	
Region	1		1				1
Western Europe North America	52 14	8 (15.38) 2 (14.29)	55 17	6 (10.91) 2 (11.76)	1.41 [0.52; 3.79] 1.21 [0.20; 7.55]	0.495 0.838	0.175
RoW	229	2 (14.29) 57 (24.89)	232	2 (11.70) 17 (7.33)	3.40 [2.04; 5.66]	< 0.001	
	229	37 (24.89)	232	17 (7.55)	5.40 [2.04, 5.00]	<0.001	
Planned Type of EBRT IMRT or VMAT	255	(2 (24 21)	262	24 (0.12)	0.66 [1.70, 4.12]	-0.001	0.610
	255 40	62 (24.31)	263	24 (9.13)	2.66 [1.72; 4.13]	< 0.001	0.610
Non-IMRT and Non-VMAT		5 (12.50)	41	1 (2.44)	5.13 [0.63; 41.95]	0.086	
Planned Total Radiotherapy Do	,	5 15		1 (2.45)	2 74 50 44 21 553	0.100	0.041
< 70 Gy	31	4 (12.90)	29	1 (3.45)	3.74 [0.44; 31.55]	0.189	0.841
≥ 70 Gy	264	63 (23.86)	275	24 (8.73)	2.73 [1.76; 4.24]	< 0.001	
SOC: Injury, poisoning and p	rocedura	al complications l	PT ^g : Gas	troenteritis radia	tion		
Age			1				1
< 65	253	11 (4.35)	247	2 (0.81)	5.37 [1.20; 23.98]	0.013	0.363
≥ 65	42	1 (2.38)	57	1 (1.75)	1.36 [0.09; 21.08]	0.828	
ECOG Performance Status							
0	194	4 (2.06)	211	1 (0.47)	4.35 [0.49; 38.59]	0.149	0.930
1	101	8 (7.92)	93	2 (2.15)	3.68 [0.80; 16.90]	0.070	

Study: KEYNOTE A18 ^a		orolizumab + CCRT ^b	Place	ebo + CCRT ^b	Pembrolizumab + C Placebo + CC		
Adverse Events	N°	Participants with Event n (%)	Nc	Participants with Event n (%)	Relative Risk [95 %-CI] ^d	p-Value ^e	p-Value for Interaction Test ^f
Region							
Western Europe	52	2 (3.85)	55	1 (1.82)	2.12 [0.20; 22.64]	0.527	0.537
North America	14	0	17	0	n.a. [n.a.; n.a.]	n.a.	
RoW	229	10 (4.37)	232	2 (0.86)	5.07 [1.12; 22.87]	0.018	
Planned Type of EBRT							
IMRT or VMAT	255	10 (3.92)	263	3 (1.14)	3.44 [0.96; 12.35]	0.043	0.445
Non-IMRT and Non-VMAT	40	2 (5.00)	41	0	n.a. [n.a.; n.a.]	n.a.	
Planned Total Radiotherapy Do	se (EBR7	Γ + brachytherapy	dose)				
< 70 Gy	31	1 (3.23)	29	0	n.a. [n.a.; n.a.]	n.a.	0.623
\geq 70 Gy	264	11 (4.17)	275	3 (1.09)	3.82 [1.08; 13.54]	0.025	
SOC: Investigations PT ^g : Ala	nine ami	notransferase inc	reased			-	
Age							
< 65	253	57 (22.53)	247	38 (15.38)	1.46 [1.01; 2.12]	0.042	0.254
≥ 65	42	1 (2.38)	57	3 (5.26)	0.45 [0.05; 4.20]	0.474	
ECOG Performance Status						L.	
0	194	32 (16.49)	211	26 (12.32)	1.34 [0.83; 2.16]	0.232	0.589
1	101	26 (25.74)	93	15 (16.13)	1.60 [0.90; 2.82]	0.102	
Region				I			
Western Europe	52	3 (5.77)	55	2 (3.64)	1.59 [0.28; 9.12]	0.603	0.913
North America	14	2 (14.29)	17	1 (5.88)	2.43 [0.24; 24.07]	0.438	
RoW	229	53 (23.14)	232	38 (16.38)	1.41 [0.97; 2.05]	0.068	
Planned Type of EBRT							
IMRT or VMAT	255	51 (20.00)	263	31 (11.79)	1.70 [1.12; 2.56]	0.011	0.080
Non-IMRT and Non-VMAT	40	7 (17.50)	41	10 (24.39)	0.72 [0.30; 1.70]	0.449	
Planned Total Radiotherapy Do	se (EBR]	Γ + brachytherapy	dose)			L.	
< 70 Gy	31	7 (22.58)	29	4 (13.79)	1.64 [0.53; 5.01]	0.383	0.817
\geq 70 Gy	264	51 (19.32)	275	37 (13.45)	1.44 [0.97; 2.12]	0.066	
SOC: Skin and subcutaneous	tissue dis	sorders PT ^g : Ras	h			-	
Age							
< 65	253	18 (7.11)	247	6 (2.43)	2.93 [1.18; 7.26]	0.014	0.405
≥ 65	42	3 (7.14)	57	3 (5.26)	1.36 [0.29; 6.39]	0.700	
ECOG Performance Status						L.	
0	194	15 (7.73)	211	5 (2.37)	3.26 [1.21; 8.81]	0.013	0.283
1	101	6 (5.94)	93	4 (4.30)	1.38 [0.40; 4.74]	0.607	
Planned Type of EBRT			·			•	
IMRT or VMAT	255	16 (6.27)	263	8 (3.04)	2.06 [0.90; 4.74]	0.080	0.402
Non-IMRT and Non-VMAT	40	5 (12.50)	41	1 (2.44)	5.13 [0.63; 41.95]	0.086	
Planned Total Radiotherapy Do	se (EBR]	Γ + brachytherapy	dose)				<u>I</u>
< 70 Gy	31	4 (12.90)	29	1 (3.45)	3.74 [0.44; 31.55]	0.189	0.628
\geq 70 Gy	264	17 (6.44)	275	8 (2.91)	2.21 [0.97; 5.04]	0.052	

c: Number of participants: all-participants-as-treated population with FIGO III to IVA

d: Based on 2x2 contingency table, using Wald confidence interval. In case of no participant with event in at least one treatment group or all participants with event in both treatment groups, report 'n.a.'

e: Based on Mantel-Haenszel Chi-Squared test. In case of no participant with event in at least one treatment group or all participants with event

Study: KEYNOTE A18 ^a	Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	Placebo + CCRT ^b	Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b vs. Placebo + CCRT ^b	
Adverse Events	Participants with Event N ^c n (%)	Participants with Event N ^c n (%)	Relative Risk [95 %-CI] ^d p-Value ^e	p-Value for Interaction Test ^f

in both treatment groups, report 'n.a.'

f: Based on Breslow-Day test with subgroup as stratification factor. In case no participant or all participants with event in both treatment groups for a subgroup category, it is excluded from interaction test (if only one subgroup category remaining, report 'n.a.'). In case no participant or all participants with event in at least one treatment group across all subgroup categories, report 'n.a.'

g: A specific adverse event appears on this report only if its incidence $\geq 10\%$ or (incidence $\geq 1\%$ and in at least 10 participants) in one or more treament groups and p-value of main treatment effect is smaller than 0.05, and the interaction p-value is greater than or equal to 0.05 or not calculated

Schwerwiegende unerwünschte Ereignisse (SOC und PT)

Tabelle 4G-39: Subgruppenanalysen der Teilpopulation FIGO 2014 Stadium III bis IVA mit nicht signifikantem Interaktionstest ($p \ge 0,05$) für den Endpunkt schwerwiegende unerwünschte Ereignisse (SOC und PT) für SOC aus RCT mit dem zu bewertenden Arzneimittel

Study: KEYNOTE A18 ^a	Pem	brolizumab + CCRT ^b	Plac	ebo + CCRT ^b	Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b vs. Placebo + CCRT ^b		
Serious Adverse Events	N ^c	Participants with Event n (%)	N ^c	Participants with Event n (%)	Relative Risk [95 %-CI] ^d	p-Value ^e	p-Value for Interaction Test ^f
SOC ^g : Blood and lymphatic s	ystem dis	orders					
Age							
< 65	253	13 (5.14)	247	6 (2.43)	2.12 [0.82; 5.48]	0.114	0.850
≥ 65	42	2 (4.76)	57	1 (1.75)	2.71 [0.25; 28.95]	0.391	
ECOG Performance Status						÷	
0	194	10 (5.15)	211	6 (2.84)	1.81 [0.67; 4.89]	0.234	0.428
1	101	5 (4.95)	93	1 (1.08)	4.60 [0.55; 38.68]	0.120	
Region							
Western Europe	52	3 (5.77)	55	2 (3.64)	1.59 [0.28; 9.12]	0.603	0.312
North America	14	0	17	1 (5.88)	n.a. [n.a.; n.a.]	n.a.	
RoW	229	12 (5.24)	232	4 (1.72)	3.04 [0.99; 9.28]	0.039	
Planned Type of EBRT						L.	
IMRT or VMAT	255	15 (5.88)	263	7 (2.66)	2.21 [0.92; 5.33]	0.069	n.a.
Non-IMRT and Non-VMAT	40	0	41	0	n.a. [n.a.; n.a.]	n.a.	
Planned Total Radiotherapy Do	se (EBR	Γ + brachytherapy	dose)				
< 70 Gy	31	0	29	0	n.a. [n.a.; n.a.]	n.a.	n.a.
\geq 70 Gy	264	15 (5.68)	275	7 (2.55)	2.23 [0.92; 5.39]	0.066	

a: Database Cutoff Date: 08JAN2024

b: CCRT is Chemoradiotherapy [Treatment with cisplatin and radiotherapy (EBRT followed by brachytherapy)]

c: Number of participants: all-participants-as-treated population with FIGO III to IVA

d: Based on 2x2 contingency table, using Wald confidence interval. In case of no participant with event in at least one treatment group or all participants with event in both treatment groups, report 'n.a.'

e: Based on Mantel-Haenszel Chi-Squared test. In case of no participant with event in at least one treatment group or all participants with event in both treatment groups, report 'n.a.'

f: Based on Breslow-Day test with subgroup as stratification factor. In case no participant or all participants with event in both treatment groups for a subgroup category, it is excluded from interaction test (if only one subgroup category remaining, report 'n.a.'). In case no participant or all participants with event in at least one treatment group across all subgroup categories, report 'n.a.'

g: A system organ class appears on this report only if its incidence \geq 5% or (incidence \geq 1% and in at least 10 participants) in one or more treament groups and p-value of main treatment effect is smaller than 0.05, and the interaction p-value is greater than or equal to 0.05 or not calculated

Tabelle 4G-40: Subgruppenanalysen der Teilpopulation FIGO 2014 Stadium III bis IVA mit nicht signifikantem Interaktionstest ($p \ge 0.05$) für den Endpunkt schwerwiegende unerwünschte Ereignisse (SOC und PT) für PT aus RCT mit dem zu bewertenden Arzneimittel

Study: KEYNOTE A18 ^a	Pem	brolizumab + CCRT ^b	Plac	ebo + CCRT ^b	Pembrolizumab + C Placebo + CCl		_
Serious Adverse Events	N ^c	Participants with Event n (%)	Nc	Participants with Event n (%)	Relative Risk [95 %-CI] ^d	p-Value ^e	p-Value for Interaction Test ^f
SOC: Blood and lymphatic sy	stem dise	· /	mia			L	
Age							
< 65	253	12 (4.74)	247	3 (1.21)	3.91 [1.12; 13.67]	0.021	0.564
≥65	42	1 (2.38)	57	0	n.a. [n.a.; n.a.]	n.a.	
ECOG Performance Status			1			1	1
0	194	10 (5.15)	211	2 (0.95)	5.44 [1.21; 24.51]	0.013	0.613
1	101	3 (2.97)	93	1 (1.08)	2.76 [0.29; 26.09]	0.355	
Region			1				1
Western Europe	52	2 (3.85)	55	1 (1.82)	2.12 [0.20; 22.64]	0.527	0.083
North America	14	0	17	1 (5.88)	n.a. [n.a.; n.a.]	n.a.	
RoW	229	11 (4.80)	232	1 (0.43)	11.14 [1.45; 85.62]	0.003	
Planned Type of EBRT							1
IMRT or VMAT	255	13 (5.10)	263	3 (1.14)	4.47 [1.29; 15.50]	0.009	n.a.
Non-IMRT and Non-VMAT	40	0	41	0	n.a. [n.a.; n.a.]	n.a.	
Planned Total Radiotherapy Do	se (EBR]	Γ + brachytherapy	dose)				
< 70 Gy	31	0	29	0	n.a. [n.a.; n.a.]	n.a.	n.a.
≥ 70 Gy	264	13 (4.92)	275	3 (1.09)	4.51 [1.30; 15.66]	0.009	

a: Database Cutoff Date: 08JAN2024

b: CCRT is Chemoradiotherapy [Treatment with cisplatin and radiotherapy (EBRT followed by brachytherapy)]

c: Number of participants: all-participants-as-treated population with FIGO III to IVA

d: Based on 2x2 contingency table, using Wald confidence interval. In case of no participant with event in at least one treatment group or all participants with event in both treatment groups, report 'n.a.'

e: Based on Mantel-Haenszel Chi-Squared test. In case of no participant with event in at least one treatment group or all participants with event in both treatment groups, report 'n.a.'

f: Based on Breslow-Day test with subgroup as stratification factor. In case no participant or all participants with event in both treatment groups for a subgroup category, it is excluded from interaction test (if only one subgroup category remaining, report 'n.a.'). In case no participant or all participants with event in at least one treatment group across all subgroup categories, report 'n.a.'

g: A specific adverse event appears on this report only if its incidence \geq 5% or (incidence \geq 1% and in at least 10 participants) in one or more treament groups and p-value of main treatment effect is smaller than 0.05, and the interaction p-value is greater than or equal to 0.05 or not calculated

Schwere unerwünschte Ereignisse (CTCAE-Grad 3-5) (SOC und PT)

Tabelle 4G-41: Subgruppenanalysen der Teilpopulation FIGO 2014 Stadium III bis IVA mit nicht signifikantem Interaktionstest ($p \ge 0.05$) für den Endpunkt Schwere unerwünschte Ereignisse (CTCAE-Grad 3-5) (SOC und PT) für SOC aus RCT mit dem zu bewertenden Arzneimittel

Study: KEYNOTE A18 ^a		brolizumab + CCRT ^b	Place	ebo + CCRT ^b	Pembrolizumab + (Placebo + CC		
Severe Adverse Events (CTCAE-Grade 3-5)	Participants with Event N ^c n (%)		Participants with Event N ^c n (%)		Relative Risk [95 %-CI] ^d	p-Value ^e	p-Value for Interaction Test ^f
SOC ^g : Blood and lymphatic sy	stem dis	. ,				1	
Age							
< 65	253	107 (42.29)	247	82 (33.20)	1.27 [1.01; 1.60]	0.036	0.894
≥65	42	18 (42.86)	57	20 (35.09)	1.22 [0.74; 2.01]	0.434	
ECOG Performance Status							
0	194	79 (40.72)	211	68 (32.23)	1.26 [0.98; 1.64]	0.076	0.990
1	101	46 (45.54)	93	34 (36.56)	1.25 [0.88; 1.75]	0.205	
Region				1			I
Western Europe	52	19 (36.54)	55	16 (29.09)	1.26 [0.73; 2.17]	0.414	0.725
North America	14	6 (42.86)	17	8 (47.06)	0.91 [0.41; 2.00]	0.818	
RoW	229	100 (43.67)	232	78 (33.62)	1.30 [1.03; 1.64]	0.027	
Planned Type of EBRT						·	
IMRT or VMAT	255	111 (43.53)	263	91 (34.60)	1.26 [1.01; 1.56]	0.037	0.988
Non-IMRT and Non-VMAT	40	14 (35.00)	41	11 (26.83)	1.30 [0.68; 2.52]	0.429	
Planned Total Radiotherapy Do	se (EBR]	Γ + brachytherapy	dose)			ł	1
< 70 Gy	31	14 (45.16)	29	8 (27.59)	1.64 [0.81; 3.32]	0.162	0.449
\geq 70 Gy	264	111 (42.05)	275	94 (34.18)	1.23 [0.99; 1.53]	0.060	
SOC ^g : Metabolism and nutrit	ion disor	ders		Ľ		<u>u</u>	
Age							
< 65	253	27 (10.67)	247	20 (8.10)	1.32 [0.76; 2.29]	0.324	0.252
≥65	42	5 (11.90)	57	10 (17.54)	0.68 [0.25; 1.84]	0.442	
ECOG Performance Status			I	4		1	1
0	194	19 (9.79)	211	17 (8.06)	1.22 [0.65; 2.27]	0.540	0.572
1	101	13 (12.87)	93	13 (13.98)	0.92 [0.45; 1.88]	0.822	
Region			I	4		1	1
Western Europe	52	5 (9.62)	55	1 (1.82)	5.29 [0.64; 43.76]	0.081	0.233
North America	14	3 (21.43)	17	4 (23.53)	0.91 [0.24; 3.41]	0.891	
RoW	229	24 (10.48)	232	25 (10.78)	0.97 [0.57; 1.65]	0.918	
Planned Type of EBRT							
IMRT or VMAT	255	26 (10.20)	263	26 (9.89)	1.03 [0.62; 1.73]	0.907	0.541
Non-IMRT and Non-VMAT	40	6 (15.00)	41	4 (9.76)	1.54 [0.47; 5.04]	0.476	
Planned Total Radiotherapy Do	se (EBR]	Γ + brachytherapy	dose)				
< 70 Gy	31	3 (9.68)	29	3 (10.34)	0.94 [0.20; 4.27]	0.932	0.826
≥ 70 Gy a: Database Cutoff Date: 08JAN	264	29 (10.98)	275	27 (9.82)	1.12 [0.68; 1.84]	0.658	

a: Database Cutoff Date: 08JAN2024

b: CCRT is Chemoradiotherapy [Treatment with cisplatin and radiotherapy (EBRT followed by brachytherapy)]

c: Number of participants: all-participants-as-treated population with FIGO III to IVA

d: Based on 2x2 contingency table, using Wald confidence interval. In case of no participant with event in at least one treatment group or all participants with event in both treatment groups, report 'n.a.'

e: Based on Mantel-Haenszel Chi-Squared test. In case of no participant with event in at least one treatment group or all participants with event

Study: KEYNOTE A18 ^a	Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b	Placeb	o + CCRT ^b	Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b vs. Placebo + CCRT ^b		
Severe Adverse Events (CTCAE-Grade 3-5)	Participants with Event N ^c n (%)	N°	Participants with Event n (%)	Relative Risk [95 %-CI] ^d	p-Value ^e	p-Value for Interaction Test ^f
in both treatment groups, repo	ort 'n.a.'				-	
 f: Based on Breslow-Day test wi for a subgroup category, it is or all participants with event i g: A system organ class appear treament groups and p-value of calculated 	excluded from interaction n at least one treatment gr s on this report only if its	test (if only oup across a incidence ≥	one subgroup c Il subgroup cate 5% or (inciden	ategory remaining, report ' gories, report 'n.a.' ce $\geq 1\%$ and in at least 10 p	n.a.'). In case participants) i	no participant in one or more
CCRT: Concurrent Chemoradi External Beam Radiotherapy; Gy: Gray; IMRT: Intensity-M	ECOG: Eastern Cooperati	ive Oncology	y Group; FIGO:	International Federation of	Gynecology a	and Obstetrics;

Volumetric Modulated Arc Therapy

Tabelle 4G-42: Subgruppenanalysen der Teilpopulation FIGO 2014 Stadium III bis IVA mit nicht signifikantem Interaktionstest ($p \ge 0.05$) für den Endpunkt Schwere unerwünschte Ereignisse (CTCAE-Grad 3-5) (SOC und PT) für PT aus RCT mit dem zu bewertenden Arzneimittel

Study: KEYNOTE A18 ^a		orolizumab + CCRT ^b	Place	ebo + CCRT ^b	Pembrolizumab + O Placebo + CC		
Severe Adverse Events (CTCAE-Grade 3-5)	N ^c	Participants with Event n (%)	N ^c	Participants with Event n (%)	Relative Risk [95 %-CI] ^d	p-Value ^e	p-Value for Interaction Test ^f
SOC: Blood and lymphatic sy	stem disc	orders PT ^g : Anae	mia				
Age							
< 65	253	75 (29.64)	247	55 (22.27)	1.33 [0.99; 1.80]	0.060	0.815
≥ 65	42	11 (26.19)	57	10 (17.54)	1.49 [0.70; 3.19]	0.301	
ECOG Performance Status			I				
0	194	53 (27.32)	211	42 (19.91)	1.37 [0.96; 1.96]	0.079	0.952
1	101	33 (32.67)	93	23 (24.73)	1.32 [0.84; 2.08]	0.224	
Region			1			- I	L
Western Europe	52	12 (23.08)	55	9 (16.36)	1.41 [0.65; 3.07]	0.384	0.409
North America	14	4 (28.57)	17	7 (41.18)	0.69 [0.25; 1.89]	0.473	
RoW	229	70 (30.57)	232	49 (21.12)	1.45 [1.06; 1.99]	0.021	
Planned Type of EBRT							
IMRT or VMAT	255	74 (29.02)	263	58 (22.05)	1.32 [0.98; 1.77]	0.069	0.526
Non-IMRT and Non-VMAT	40	12 (30.00)	41	7 (17.07)	1.76 [0.77; 4.01]	0.172	
Planned Total Radiotherapy Do	se (EBR]	+ brachytherapy	dose)				
< 70 Gy	31	11 (35.48)	29	4 (13.79)	2.57 [0.92; 7.18]	0.055	0.182
$\geq 70 \text{ Gy}$	264	75 (28.41)	275	61 (22.18)	1.28 [0.96; 1.72]	0.096	
SOC: Metabolism and nutritie	on disord	lers PT ^g : Hypoka	laemia				
Age							
< 65	253	20 (7.91)	247	8 (3.24)	2.44 [1.10; 5.44]	0.023	0.570
≥ 65	42	2 (4.76)	57	2 (3.51)	1.36 [0.20; 9.25]	0.756	
ECOG Performance Status			1				
0	194	15 (7.73)	211	7 (3.32)	2.33 [0.97; 5.59]	0.051	0.916
1	101	7 (6.93)	93	3 (3.23)	2.15 [0.57; 8.07]	0.245	
Region			1				
Western Europe	52	3 (5.77)	55	0	n.a. [n.a.; n.a.]	n.a.	0.408
North America	14	2 (14.29)	17	2 (11.76)	1.21 [0.20; 7.55]	0.838	

Study: KEYNOTE A18 ^a	Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b		Placebo + CCRT ^b		Pembrolizumab + CCRT ^b vs. Placebo + CCRT ^b			
Severe Adverse Events (CTCAE-Grade 3-5)	N°	Participants with Event n (%)	N°	Participants with Event n (%)	Relative Risk [95 %-CI] ^d	p-Value ^e	p-Value for Interaction Test ^f	
RoW	229	17 (7.42)	232	8 (3.45)	2.15 [0.95; 4.89]	0.060		
Planned Type of EBRT								
IMRT or VMAT	255	18 (7.06)	263	8 (3.04)	2.32 [1.03; 5.24]	0.036	0.911	
Non-IMRT and Non-VMAT	40	4 (10.00)	41	2 (4.88)	2.05 [0.40; 10.57]	0.382		
Planned Total Radiotherapy Do	se (EBR'	Γ + brachytherapy	dose)					
< 70 Gy	31	3 (9.68)	29	1 (3.45)	2.81 [0.31; 25.48]	0.338	0.830	
\geq 70 Gy	264	19 (7.20)	275	9 (3.27)	2.20 [1.01; 4.77]	0.040		

a: Database Cutoff Date: 08JAN2024

b: CCRT is Chemoradiotherapy [Treatment with cisplatin and radiotherapy (EBRT followed by brachytherapy)]

c: Number of participants: all-participants-as-treated population with FIGO III to IVA

d: Based on 2x2 contingency table, using Wald confidence interval. In case of no participant with event in at least one treatment group or all participants with event in both treatment groups, report 'n.a.'

e: Based on Mantel-Haenszel Chi-Squared test. In case of no participant with event in at least one treatment group or all participants with event in both treatment groups, report 'n.a.'

f: Based on Breslow-Day test with subgroup as stratification factor. In case no participant or all participants with event in both treatment groups for a subgroup category, it is excluded from interaction test (if only one subgroup category remaining, report 'n.a.'). In case no participant or all participants with event in at least one treatment group across all subgroup categories, report 'n.a.'

g: A specific adverse event appears on this report only if its incidence $\geq 5\%$ or (incidence $\geq 1\%$ and in at least 10 participants) in one or more treament groups and p-value of main treatment effect is smaller than 0.05, and the interaction p-value is greater than or equal to 0.05 or not calculated

Anhang 4-G3: Definition der Immunvermittelten unerwünschten Ereignisse (AEOSI) anhand der zugeordneten PT

Tabelle 4G-43: Definition der Immunvermittelten unerwünschten Ereignisse (AEOSI) anhand der zugeordneten PT in der Studie KEYNOTE A18

AEOSI	Preferred Terms	Immune-mediated
		(Yes/No)
Pneumonitis	Acute interstitial pneumonitis, Autoimmune lung disease, Interstitial lung disease, Pneumonitis, Idiopathic pneumonia syndrome, Organising pneumonia, Immune-mediated lung disease	Yes
Colitis	Colitis, Colitis microscopic, Enterocolitis, Enterocolitis haemorrhagic, Necrotising colitis, Colitis erosive, Autoimmune colitis, Immune- mediated enterocolitis	Yes
Hepatitis	Hepatitis, Immune-mediated hepatitis, Autoimmune hepatitis, Hepatitis acute, Hepatitis fulminant, Drug-induced liver injury	Yes
Nephritis	Nephritis, Autoimmune nephritis, Chronic autoimmune glomerulonephritis, Fibrillary glomerulonephritis, Focal segmental glomerulosclerosis, Glomerulonephritis, Glomerulonephritis acute, Glomerulonephritis membranoproliferative, Glomerulonephritis membranous, Glomerulonephritis minimal lesion, Glomerulonephritis proliferative, Glomerulonephritis rapidly progressive, Mesangioproliferative glomerulonephritis, Nephritis haemorrhagic, Tubulointerstitial nephritis, Nephrotic syndrome, Immune- mediated nephritis	Yes

AEOSI	Preferred Terms	Immune-mediated
		(Yes/No)
Adrenal Insufficiency	Adrenal insufficiency, Adrenocortical insufficiency acute, Secondary adrenocortical insufficiency, Primary adrenal insufficiency, Addison's disease, Immune-mediated adrenal insufficiency	Yes
Hypophysitis	Hypophysitis, Hypopituitarism, Lymphocytic hypophysitis, Immune-mediated hypophysitis	Yes
Hyperthyroidism	Hyperthyroidism, Basedow's disease, Thyrotoxic crisis, Immune-mediated hyperthyroidism	Yes
Hypothyroidism	Hypothyroidism, Hypothyroidic goitre, Myxoedema, Myxoedema coma, Primary hypothyroidism, Autoimmune hypothyroidism, Immune-mediated hypothyroidism	Yes
Thyroiditis	Thyroid disorder, Thyroiditis, Autoimmune thyroiditis, Thyroiditis acute, Silent thyroiditis, Autoimmune thyroid disorder, Immune- mediated thyroiditis	Yes
Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus	Diabetic ketoacidosis, Diabetic ketoacidotic hyperglycaemic coma, Fulminant type 1 diabetes mellitus, Latent autoimmune diabetes in adults, Type 1 diabetes mellitus, Euglycaemic diabetic ketoacidosis, Diabetic ketosis, Ketosis-prone diabetes mellitus	Yes

AEOSI	Preferred Terms	Immune-mediated
		(Yes/No)
Severe Skin Reactions Including Stevens-Johnson Syndrome (SJS) and Toxic Epidermal Necrolysis (TEN): or	Dermatitis bullous, Dermatitis exfoliative, Dermatitis exfoliative generalised, Epidermal necrosis, Erythema multiforme, Exfoliative rash, Pemphigoid, Mucous membrane pemphigoid, Pemphigus, Skin necrosis, Stevens-Johnson syndrome, Toxic epidermal necrolysis, Toxic skin eruption, SJS-TEN overlap, Lichen planus pemphigoides	Yes
Severe Skin (continued): If grade 3 or higher:	Rash, Rash erythematous, Rash maculo- papular, Rash pruritic, Rash pustular, Pruritus, Pruritus genital, Lichen planus, Oral lichen planus, Cutaneous vasculitis, Vasculitic rash	Yes
Uveitis	Iritis, Uveitis, Cyclitis, Autoimmune uveitis, Iridocyclitis, Vogt-Koyanagi-Harada disease, Chorioretinitis, Choroiditis, Immune-mediated uveitis, Choroidal effusion, Choroidal detachment, Serous retinal detachment	Yes
Pancreatitis	Pancreatitis, Autoimmune pancreatitis, Pancreatitis acute, Pancreatitis haemorrhagic, Pancreatitis necrotising, Immune-mediated pancreatitis	Yes
Myositis	Myositis, Necrotising myositis, Polymyositis, Immune-mediated myositis, Rhabdomyolysis, Myopathy, Dermatomyositis, Autoimmune myositis	Yes

AEOSI	Preferred Terms	Immune-mediated
		(Yes/No)
Guillain-Barre Syndrome	Demyelinating polyneuropathy, Guillain-Barre syndrome, Axonal neuropathy, Multifocal motor neuropathy, Polyneuropathy idiopathic progressive, Miller Fisher syndrome, Subacute inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy	Yes
Myocarditis	Myocarditis, Autoimmune myocarditis, Hypersensitivity myocarditis, Immune- mediated myocarditis	Yes
Encephalitis	Encephalitis, Encephalitis autoimmune, Limbic encephalitis, Noninfective encephalitis, Immune-mediated encephalitis	Yes
Sarcoidosis	Sarcoidosis, Cutaneous sarcoidosis, Ocular sarcoidosis, Pulmonary sarcoidosis, Sarcoidosis of lymph node	Yes
Infusion Reactions	Hypersensitivity, Drug hypersensitivity, Anaphylactic reaction, Anaphylactoid reaction, Cytokine release syndrome, Serum sickness, Serum sickness-like reaction, Infusion related reaction, Infusion related hypersensitivity reaction	No
Myasthenic Syndrome	Myasthenic syndrome, Myasthenia gravis, Myasthenia gravis crisis, Ocular myasthenia, Immune-mediated myasthenia gravis	Yes

AEOSI	Preferred Terms	Immune-mediated
		(Yes/No)
Myelitis	Myelitis, Myelitis transverse, Acute necrotising myelitis	Yes
Vasculitis	Anti-neutrophil cytoplasmic antibody positive vasculitis, Aortitis, Arteritis, Arteritis coronary, Behcet's syndrome, Central nervous system vasculitis, Cerebral arteritis, Diffuse vasculitis, Eosinophilic granulomatosis with polyangiitis, Granulomatosis with polyangiitis, Haemorrhagic vasculitis, Hypersensitivity vasculitis, Microscopic polyangiitis, Ocular vasculitis, Polyarteritis nodosa, Pulmonary vasculitis, Renal arteritis, Renal vasculitis, Retinal vasculitis, Takayasu's arteritis, Giant cell arteritis, Vasculitis, Vasculitis gastrointestinal, Vasculitis necrotising	Yes
Cholangitis Sclerosing	Cholangitis sclerosing, Autoimmune cholangitis, Immune-mediated cholangitis	Yes
Hypoparathyroidism	Hypoparathyroidism, Primary hypoparathyroidism	Yes
Arthritis	Autoimmune arthritis, Immune-mediated arthritis	Yes
HLH	Haemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis	Yes
Optic Neuritis	Optic neuritis	Yes