

Iptacopan (paroxysmal nocturnal haemoglobinuria)

Resolution of: 19 December 2024 Entry into force on: 19 December 2024 Federal Gazette, BAnz AT 13 02 2025 B1 Valid until: unlimited

New therapeutic indication (according to the marketing authorisation of 17 May 2024):

Fabhalta is indicated as monotherapy in the treatment of adult patients with paroxysmal nocturnal haemoglobinuria (PNH) who have haemolytic anaemia.

Therapeutic indication of the resolution (resolution of 19 December 2024):

See therapeutic indication according to marketing authorisation.

1. Extent of the additional benefit and significance of the evidence

Iptacopan is approved as a medicinal product for the treatment of rare diseases in accordance with Regulation (EC) No. 141/2000 of the European Parliament and the Council of 16 December 1999 on orphan drugs. In accordance with Section 35a, paragraph 1, sentence 11, 1st half of the sentence SGB V, the additional medical benefit is considered to be proven through the grant of the marketing authorisation.

The Federal Joint Committee (G-BA) determines the extent of the additional benefit for the patient numbers and patient groups for which there is a therapeutically significant additional benefit in accordance with Chapter 5 Section 12, paragraph 1, number 1, sentence 2 of its Rules of Procedure (VerfO) in conjunction with Section 5, paragraph 8 Ordinance on the Benefit Assessment of Pharmaceuticals (AM-NutzenV), indicating the significance of the evidence. This quantification of the additional benefit is based on the criteria laid out in Chapter 5 Section 5, paragraph 7, numbers 1 to 4 of the Rules of Procedure (VerfO).

a) Therapy-naive adults with PNH who have haemolytic anaemia

Extent of the additional benefit and significance of the evidence of iptacopan as monotherapy:

Hint for a non-quantifiable additional benefit since the scientific data does not allow quantification.

b) Pretreated adults with PNH who have haemolytic anaemia

Extent of the additional benefit and significance of the evidence of iptacopan as monotherapy:

Hint for a non-quantifiable additional benefit since the scientific data does not allow quantification.

Study results according to endpoints:¹

a) Therapy-naive adults with PNH who have haemolytic anaemia

Summary of results for relevant clinical endpoints

Endpoint category	Direction of effect/ risk of bias	Summary
Mortality	n. a.	The data are not assessable.
Morbidity	n. a.	The data are not assessable.
Health-related quality of life	n. a.	The data are not assessable.
Side effects	n. a.	The data are not assessable.
	-	t with low/unclear reliability of data

 \downarrow : statistically significant and relevant negative effect with low/unclear reliability of data

 $\uparrow\uparrow$: statistically significant and relevant positive effect with high reliability of data

- $\downarrow \downarrow$: statistically significant and relevant negative effect with high reliability of data
- \leftrightarrow : no statistically significant or relevant difference

 \varnothing : No data available.

n. a.: not assessable

APPOINT-PNH:

- single-arm phase III study
- 24-week main treatment phase and 24-week extension phase •

Mortality

Endpoint	Iptacopan						
	N Patients with event n (%)						
Overall survival							
No deaths occurred							

no deaths occurred.

Morbidity

Endpoint	Iptacopan					
	N	Patients with event n (%)				
Haemoglobin level-associated endpoint	up to	week 24 (primary endpoint; presented additionally)				
Hb increase by ≥ 2 g/dl with 40 31 (77.5) simultaneous transfusion independence						
Transfusion independence for 48 weeks						

¹ Data from the dossier assessments of the G-BA (published on 1. Oktober 2024), and from the amendment to the dossier assessment from 28 November 2024, unless otherwise indicated.

Endpoint		Iptacopan				
	N	Patients with event n (%)				
Subjects with transfusion independence for the entire study duration (day 1 to week 48)		34 (85)				
Breakthrough haemolysis with the presence of symptoms (presented additionally)						
	40	2 (5.0%)				
Fatigue (FACIT-Fatigue) ^a						
	40	22 (55)				
Fatigue (PGIS) [♭]						
	40	24 (60)				
Health status (EQ-5D VAS) ^c						
	40	20 (50)				
Major adverse vascular events (MAVE)						
No events occurred.						

Quality of life

Endpoint	Iptacopan						
	N	Patients with event n (%)					
EORTC QLQ-C30 functional scales ^d							
General health status	40	30 (75)					
Physical functioning	40	24 (60)					
Role functioning	40	27 (67.5)					
Emotional functioning	40	22 (55)					
Cognitive functioning	40	13 (32.5)					
Social functioning	40	20 (50)					

Side effects

Endpoint MedDRA system organ classes/ AEs of special interest		Iptacopan					
	N	Patients with event n (%)					
Total adverse events (presented additionally)	40	37 (92.5)					
Serious adverse events (SAE)	40	8 (20)					
Severe adverse events (CTCAE grade \geq 3)	40	4 (10)					
Therapy discontinuation due to adverse events	40	0 (0)					
Severe adverse events according to Med	ORA sys	tem organ class (with an incidence ≥ 10%)					
Infections	40	5 (12.5)					
SAEs according to MedDRA system organ	class (w	vith an incidence ≥ 10%)					
Infections	40	5 (12.5)					
AEs of special interest (with an incidence	≥ 10%)						
Hypersensitivity	40	4 (10)					
Severe or serious infections	40	5 (12.5)					
 ^a Improvement by ≥ 8 points. Scale from 0 to 52. Higher values indicate fewer conditions. ^b Improvement by ≥ 1 category. A change to ≥ 1 lower category is defined as an improvement. ^c Improvement by ≥ 15 points. Values from 0 to 100; higher values correspond to better health status. ^d Improvement by ≥ 10 points. Values from 0 to 100; higher values correspond to better functioning or health/ quality of life. 							
Abbreviations used: CTCAE = Common Terminology Criteria for		e Events; EORTC QLQ-C30 = European					

Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer – Quality of Life Questionnaire Core 30; EQ-5D VAS = visual analogue scale of the European Quality of Life - 5 Dimensions; FACIT-Fatigue = Functional Assessment of Chronic Illness Therapy – Fatigue Scale; N = number of patients evaluated; n = number of patients with (at least one) event; PGIS = Patient Global Impression of Severity

b) Pretreated adults with PNH who have haemolytic anaemia

Summary of results for relevant clinical endpoints

Endpoint category	Direction of effect/ risk of bias	Summary		
Mortality	\leftrightarrow	No deaths occurred.		
Morbidity	1	Advantages in the transfusion independence endpoint as well as in the symptom scales for fatigue and in the health status scale.		
Health-related quality of life	1	Advantages in the scales for physical, emotional and role functioning as well as in the general health scale.		
Side effects	\leftrightarrow	No relevant differences for the benefit assessment.		
\downarrow : statistically significant a $\uparrow\uparrow$: statistically significan	nd relevant negative effect t and relevant positive effe t and relevant negative effe	with low/unclear reliability of data t with low/unclear reliability of data ct with high reliability of data ect with high reliability of data		

APPLY-PNH study:

- open-label, randomised controlled phase III study
- Iptacopan vs eculizumab or ravulizumab
- 24-week randomised controlled phase and 24-week extension phase

Mortality

Endpoint	Iptacopan			Eculizumab or ravulizumab	Intervention vs control	
	N	Patients with event n (%)	N	Patients with event n (%)	RR [95% CI] p value Absolute difference (AD)ª	
Overall survival						
No deaths occurred.						

Morbidity

Endpoint	Iptacopan			Eculizumab or ravulizumab	Intervention vs control		
	N	Patients with event n (%) Model-estimated response rate (%) [95% Cl]	Ν	Patients with event n (%) Model-estimated response rate (%) [95% CI]	RR [95% CI] p value Absolute difference (AD)ª		
Haemoglobin leve additionally)	Haemoglobin level-associated endpoints up to week 24 (co-primary endpoints; presented additionally)						
Hb increase by ≥ 2 g/dl with simultaneous transfusion avoidance	60	51 (85.0) 82.3 [73.4; 90.2]	35	0 (0) 2.0 [1.1; 4.1]	40.20 [20.73; 74.82] < 0.0001 AD = 80.3%		
Hb increase to ≥ 12 g/dl with simultaneous transfusion avoidance	60	42 (70.0) 68.8 [58.4; 78.9]	35	0 (0) 1.8 [0.9; 4.0]	38.22 [16.87; 78.63] < 0.0001 AD = 67.0%		

Endpoint		Iptacopan		Eculizumab or ravulizumab	Intervention vs control		
	N	Patients with event n (%)	Ν	Patients with event n (%)	RR [95% CI] p value Absolute difference (AD) ^a		
Transfusion indep	enden	ce for 24 weeks ^b					
Day 1 to week 24	62	57 (91.9)	35	17 (48.6)	1.89 [1.34; 2.68] < 0.001 AD = 43.3%		
Breakthrough hae	molys	is with the presence of	sympt	oms (presented additio	onally)		
	62	1 (1.6)	35	6 (17.1)	0.09 [0.01; 0.75] 0.004 AD = 15.5%		
Fatigue (FACIT-Fat	Fatigue (FACIT-Fatigue) ^c						
	62	32 (51.6)	31	3 (9.7)	5.33 [1.77; 16.06] 0.003 AD = 41.9%		

Endpoint		Iptacopan		Eculizumab or ravulizumab	Intervention vs control		
	N	Patients with event n (%)	Ν	Patients with event n (%)	RR [95% CI] p value Absolute difference (AD) ^a		
Fatigue (PGIS) ^d							
	62	27 (43.6)	31	5 (16.1)	2.70 [1.15; 6.33] 0.02 AD = 27.5%		
Health status (EQ-	5D VA	S) ^e					
	62	26 (41.9)	31	3 (9.7)	4.33 [1.42; 13.21] 0.01 AD = 32.2%		
Major adverse vas	Major adverse vascular events (MAVE)						
	62	1 (1.6)	35	0 (0)	n. d.		
					0.32		

Health-related quality of life

Endpoint		Iptacopan	Eculizumab or ravulizumab		Intervention vs control
	N	Patients with event n (%)	Ν	Patients with event n (%)	RR [95% CI] p value Absolute difference (AD) ^a
EORTC QLQ-C30 fu	inction	nal scales ^f			
General health status	62	37 (59.7)	31	7 (22.6)	2.64 [1.33; 5.23] 0.01 AD = 37.1%
Physical functioning	62	38 (61.3)	31	7 (22.6)	2.71 [1.37; 5.36] 0.004 AD = 38.7%
Role functioning	62	31 (50.0)	31	8 (25.8)	1.94 [1.01; 3.70] 0.045 AD = 24.2%

Endpoint	Iptacopan		ł	Eculizumab or ravulizumab	Intervention vs control
	N	Patients with event n (%)	Ν	Patients with event n (%)	RR [95% CI] p value Absolute difference (AD) ^a
Emotional functioning	62	25 (40.3)	31	4 (12.9)	3.13 [1.19; 8.19] 0.02 AD = 27.4%
Cognitive functioning	62	22 (35.5)	31	9 (29.0)	1.22 [0.64; 2.33] 0.54
Social functioning	62	27 (43.5)	31	8 (25.8)	1.69 [0.87; 3.27] 0.12

Side effects

Endpoint MedDRA system organ classes/	Iptacopan		Eculizumab or ravulizumab		Intervention vs control
preferred terms/ AEs of special interest	Ν	Patients with event n (%)	N	Patients with event n (%)	Effect estimator [95% CI] p value
Total adverse events (presented additionally)	62	52 (83.9)	35	28 (80.0)	-
Serious adverse events (SAE)	62	6 (9.7)	35	5 (14.3)	0.68 [0.22; 2.06] 0.49
Severe adverse events (CTCAE grade 3 or 4)	62	3 (4.8)	35	3 (8.6)	0.56 [0.12; 2.65] 0.47
Therapy discontinuation due to adverse events	62	0 (0)	35	0 (0)	-
Severe adverse events according statistically significant difference b					y arm and
No significant differences.					
SAEs according to MedDRA (with difference between the treatment			e study	arm and statistic	ally significant
	No	significant differe	nces.		
Adverse events of special interest between the treatment arms)	: (with	statistically signif	icant d	ifference	
No significant differences.					

Endpoint	Iptacopan		Eculizumab or		Intervention vs
MedDRA system organ classes/			ravulizumab		control
preferred terms/ AEs of special interest	N	Patients with event n (%)	N	Patients with event n (%)	Effect estimator [95% Cl] p value

^a Indication of absolute difference (AD) only in case of statistically significant difference; own calculation

^b Includes the transfusions actually received with concurrent symptomatology.

^c Improvement by \geq 8 points from week 18 to week 24 compared to baseline. The mean values of the observed values of the 4 visits between week 18 and week 24 were shown. A value had to be available for at least one of the 4 visits. Scale from 0 to 52. Higher values indicate fewer conditions. ^d Improvement by \geq 1 category from week 18 to week 24 compared to baseline. The mean values of the observed values of the 4 visits between week 18 and week 24 were shown. A value had to be available for at least one of the 4 visits between week 18 and week 24 were shown. A value had to be available for at least one of the 4 visits. 5-point Likert scale from 0 ("no symptoms") to 4 ("very severe symptoms").

^e Improvement by \geq 15 points. Values from 0 to 100; higher values correspond to better health status.

^f Improvement by \ge 10 points. Values from 0 to 100; higher values correspond to better functioning or health/ quality of life.

Abbreviations used:

AD = absolute difference; CTCAE = Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events; EORTC QLQ-C30 = European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer – Quality of Life Questionnaire Core 30; EQ-5D VAS = visual analogue scale of the European Quality of Life - 5 Dimensions; FACIT-Fatigue = Functional Assessment of Chronic Illness Therapy – Fatigue Scale; n.d.: no data available; CI = confidence interval; N = number of patients evaluated; n = number of patients with (at least one) event; n.c. = not calculable; n.r. = not reached; PGIS = Patient Global Impression of Severity; RR = relative risk; vs = versus

2. Number of patients or demarcation of patient groups eligible for treatment

a) Therapy-naive adults with PNH who have haemolytic anaemia

Approx. 100 to 425 patients

b) Pretreated adults with PNH who have haemolytic anaemia

Approx. 190 to 520 patients

3. Requirements for a quality-assured application

The requirements in the product information are to be taken into account. The European Medicines Agency (EMA) provides the contents of the product information (summary of product characteristics, SmPC) for Fabhalta (active ingredient: iptacopan) agreed upon in the context of the marketing authorisation at the following publicly accessible link (last access: 20 November 2024):

https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/product-information/fabhalta-epar-productinformation_en.pdf

Treatment with iptacopan should only be initiated and monitored by specialists who are experienced in the treatment of patients with haematological diseases.

In accordance with the European Medicines Agency (EMA) requirements regarding additional risk minimisation measures, the pharmaceutical company must provide training material that contains information for medical professionals and patients as well as a patient card. The training material as well as the patient card contain instructions in particular regarding the increased risk of infection with encapsulated bacteria under iptacopan. The training material also contains instructions regarding the risk of severe haemolysis after discontinuation of iptacopan. The patient card should be made available to the patients.

4. Treatment costs

Annual treatment costs:

Designation of the therapy	Annual treatment costs/ patient		
Medicinal product to be assessed:			
Iptacopan	€ 460,509.81		

Costs after deduction of statutory rebates (LAUER-TAXE®) as last revised: 1 December 2024)

Costs for additionally required SHI services: not applicable

5. Designation of medicinal products with new active ingredients according to Section 35a, paragraph 3, sentence 4 SGB V that can be used in a combination therapy with the assessed medicinal product

In the context of the designation of medicinal products with new active ingredients pursuant to Section 35a, paragraph 3, sentence 4 SGB V, the following findings are made:

a) Therapy-naive adults with PNH who have haemolytic anaemia

 No designation of medicinal products with new active ingredients that can be used in combination therapy pursuant to Section 35a, paragraph 3, sentence 4 SGB V, as the active ingredient to be assessed is an active ingredient authorised in monotherapy.

b) Pretreated adults with PNH who have haemolytic anaemia

 No designation of medicinal products with new active ingredients that can be used in combination therapy pursuant to Section 35a, paragraph 3, sentence 4 SGB V, as the active ingredient to be assessed is an active ingredient authorised in monotherapy.

The designation of combinations exclusively serves the implementation of the combination discount according to Section 130e SGB V between health insurance funds and pharmaceutical companies. The findings made neither restrict the scope of treatment required to fulfil the medical treatment mandate, nor do they make statements about expediency or economic feasibility.