

Justification

of the Resolution of the Federal Joint Committee (G-BA) on
an Amendment of the Pharmaceuticals Directive:
Annex XII – Benefit Assessment of Medicinal Products with
New Active Ingredients according to Section 35a SGB V
Durvalumab (new therapeutic indication: primary advanced or
recurrent endometrial cancer, first-line therapy, combination
with carboplatin and paclitaxel; maintenance treatment)

of 20 February 2025

Contents

1.	Legal basis.....	2
2.	Key points of the resolution.....	2
2.1	Additional benefit of the medicinal product in relation to the appropriate comparator therapy.....	3
2.1.1	Approved therapeutic indication of Durvalumab (Imfinzi) in accordance with the product information.....	3
2.1.2	Appropriate comparator therapy.....	3
2.1.3	Extent and probability of the additional benefit.....	7
2.1.4	Summary of the assessment	8
2.2	Number of patients or demarcation of patient groups eligible for treatment	9
2.3	Requirements for a quality-assured application	9
2.4	Treatment costs	9
2.5	Designation of medicinal products with new active ingredients according to Section 35a, paragraph 3, sentence 4 SGB V that can be used in a combination therapy with the assessed medicinal product	14
3.	Bureaucratic costs calculation.....	17
4.	Process sequence	17

1. Legal basis

According to Section 35a paragraph 1 German Social Code, Book Five (SGB V), the Federal Joint Committee (G-BA) assesses the benefit of all reimbursable medicinal products with new active ingredients. This includes in particular the assessment of the additional benefit and its therapeutic significance. The benefit assessment is carried out on the basis of evidence provided by the pharmaceutical company, which must be submitted to the G-BA electronically, including all clinical trials the pharmaceutical company has conducted or commissioned, at the latest at the time of the first placing on the market as well as the marketing authorisation of new therapeutic indications of the medicinal product, and which must contain the following information in particular:

1. approved therapeutic indications,
2. medical benefit,
3. additional medical benefit in relation to the appropriate comparator therapy,
4. number of patients and patient groups for whom there is a therapeutically significant additional benefit,
5. treatment costs for the statutory health insurance funds,
6. requirements for a quality-assured application.

The G-BA may commission the Institute for Quality and Efficiency in Health Care (IQWiG) to carry out the benefit assessment. According to Section 35a, paragraph 2 SGB V, the assessment must be completed within three months of the relevant date for submission of the evidence and published on the internet.

According to Section 35a paragraph 3 SGB V, the G-BA decides on the benefit assessment within three months of its publication. The resolution is to be published on the internet and is part of the Pharmaceuticals Directive.

2. Key points of the resolution

The active ingredient durvalumab (Imfinzi) was listed for the first time on 15 October 2018 in the "LAUER-TAXE®", the extensive German registry of available drugs and their prices.

On 26 July 2024, durvalumab received marketing authorisation for a new therapeutic indication to be classified as a major type 2 variation as defined according to Annex 2, number 2, letter a to Regulation (EC) No. 1234/2008 of the Commission of 24 November 2008 concerning the examination of variations to the terms of marketing authorisations for medicinal products for human use and veterinary medicinal products (OJ L 334, 12.12.2008, sentence 7).

On 21 August 2024, i.e. at the latest within four weeks after informing the pharmaceutical company about the approval for a new therapeutic indication, the pharmaceutical company has submitted a dossier in due time in accordance with Section 4, paragraph 3, number 2 Ordinance on the Benefit Assessment of Pharmaceuticals (AM-NutzenV) in conjunction with

Chapter 5 Section 8, paragraph 1, number 2 of the Rules of Procedure (VerfO) of the G-BA on the active ingredient durvalumab with the new therapeutic indication

"In combination with carboplatin and paclitaxel is indicated for the first-line treatment of adults with primary advanced or recurrent endometrial cancer who are candidates for systemic therapy, followed by maintenance treatment with IMFINZI as monotherapy in endometrial cancer that is mismatch repair deficient (dMMR)."

The G-BA commissioned the IQWiG to carry out the assessment of the dossier. The benefit assessment was published on 2 December 2024 on the G-BA website (www.g-ba.de), therefore initiating the written statement procedure. In addition, an oral hearing was held.

Based on the dossier of the pharmaceutical company, the dossier assessment prepared by the IQWiG, and the statements submitted in the written statement and oral hearing procedure, the G-BA decided on the question on whether an additional benefit of durvalumab compared with the appropriate comparator therapy could be determined – Annex XII - Resolutions on the benefit assessment of medicinal products with new active ingredients according to Section 35a SGB V. In order to determine the extent of the additional benefit, the G-BA has evaluated the data justifying the finding of an additional benefit on the basis of their therapeutic relevance (qualitative), in accordance with the criteria laid down in Chapter 5 Section 5, paragraph 7 VerfO. The methodology proposed by IQWiG according to the General Methods was not used in the benefit assessment of durvalumab – Annex XII - Resolutions on the benefit assessment of medicinal products with new active ingredients according to Section 35a SGB V.

In the light of the above, and taking into account the statements received and the oral hearing, the G-BA has come to the following assessment:

2.1 Additional benefit of the medicinal product in relation to the appropriate comparator therapy

2.1.1 Approved therapeutic indication of Durvalumab (Imfinzi) in accordance with the product information

IMFINZI in combination with carboplatin and paclitaxel is indicated for the first-line treatment of adults with primary advanced or recurrent endometrial cancer who are candidates for systemic therapy, followed by maintenance treatment with IMFINZI as monotherapy in endometrial cancer that is mismatch repair deficient (dMMR).

Therapeutic indication of the resolution (resolution of 20.02.2025):

see the approved therapeutic indication

2.1.2 Appropriate comparator therapy

The appropriate comparator therapy was determined as follows:

Adult patients with primary advanced endometrial carcinoma (Stage III or IV) or recurrent endometrial carcinoma with mismatch repair deficiency (dMMR) who:

- have not yet received systemic therapy as postoperative or adjuvant therapy for treatment of the primary advanced disease,
- have not yet received chemotherapy for treatment of the recurrence.

Appropriate comparator therapy for durvalumab in combination with carboplatin and paclitaxel followed by maintenance treatment with durvalumab as monotherapy:

Dostarlimab in combination with carboplatin and paclitaxel followed by Dostarlimab as monotherapy

Criteria according to Chapter 5 Section 6 of the Rules of Procedure of the G-BA and Section 6 paragraph 2 Ordinance on the Benefit Assessment of Pharmaceuticals (AM-NutzenV):

The appropriate comparator therapy must be an appropriate therapy in the therapeutic indication in accordance with the generally recognised state of medical knowledge (Section 12 SGB V), preferably a therapy for which endpoint studies are available and which has proven its worth in practical application, unless contradicted by the guidelines under Section 92, paragraph 1 SGB V or the principle of economic efficiency.

In determining the appropriate comparator therapy, the following criteria, in particular, must be taken into account as specified in Chapter 5 Section 6, paragraph 3 VerfO:

1. To be considered as a comparator therapy, the medicinal product must, principally, have a marketing authorisation for the therapeutic indication.
2. If a non-medicinal treatment is considered as a comparator therapy, this must be available within the framework of the SHI system.
3. As comparator therapy, medicinal products or non-medicinal treatments for which the patient-relevant benefit has already been determined by the G-BA shall be preferred.
4. According to the generally recognised state of medical knowledge, the comparator therapy should be part of the appropriate therapy in the therapeutic indication.

According to Section 6, paragraph 2, sentence 2 Ordinance on the Benefit Assessment of Pharmaceuticals (AM-NutzenV), the determination of the appropriate comparator therapy must be based on the actual medical treatment situation as it would be without the medicinal product to be assessed. According to Section 6, paragraph 2, sentence 3 Ordinance on the Benefit Assessment of Pharmaceuticals (AM-NutzenV), the G-BA may exceptionally determine the off-label use of medicinal products as an appropriate comparator therapy or as part of the appropriate comparator therapy if it determines by resolution on the benefit assessment according to Section 7, paragraph 4 that, according to the generally recognised state of medical knowledge, this is considered a therapy standard in the therapeutic indication to be assessed or as part of the therapy standard in the medical treatment situation to be taken into account according to sentence 2, and

1. for the first time, a medicinal product approved in the therapeutic indication is available with the medicinal product to be assessed,

2. according to the generally recognised state of medical knowledge, the off-label use is generally preferable to the medicinal products previously approved in the therapeutic indication, or
3. according to the generally recognised state of medical knowledge, the off-label use for relevant patient groups or indication areas is generally preferable to the medicinal products previously approved in the therapeutic indication.

An appropriate comparator therapy may also be non-medicinal therapy, the best possible add-on therapy including symptomatic or palliative treatment, or monitoring wait-and-see approach.

Justification based on the criteria set out in Chapter 5 Section 6, paragraph 3 VerfO and Section 6, paragraph 2 AM-NutzenV:

- on 1. In addition to durvalumab, medicinal products with the active ingredients cisplatin, doxorubicin, medroxyprogesterone acetate, megestrol acetate as well as dostarlimab and pembrolizumab are approved in this therapeutic indication.
- on 2. Non-medicinal treatment is not considered.
- on 3. Resolutions on the benefit assessment of medicinal products with new active ingredients according to Section 35a SGB V:
 - Dostarlimab (in combination with carboplatin and paclitaxel): Resolution of 20.06.2024
- on 4. The generally recognised state of medical knowledge was illustrated by a systematic search for guidelines as well as systematic reviews of clinical studies in the present indication and is presented in the "Research and synopsis of the evidence to determine the appropriate comparator therapy according to Section 35a SGB V".

The scientific-medical societies and the Drugs Commission of the German Medical Association (AkdÄ) were also involved in writing on questions relating to the comparator therapy in the present indication according to Section 35a paragraph 7 SGB V (see "Information on Appropriate Comparator Therapy").

Among the approved active ingredients listed under 1., only certain active ingredients will be included in the appropriate comparator therapy, taking into account the evidence on therapeutic benefit, the guideline recommendations and the reality of care.

In view of the fact that the approved therapeutic indication clearly covers different treatment settings, this is specified as follows when determining the appropriate comparator therapy:

Adult patients with primary advanced endometrial carcinoma (Stage III or IV) or recurrent endometrial carcinoma with mismatch repair deficiency (dMMR) who:

- have not yet received systemic therapy as postoperative or adjuvant therapy for treatment of the primary advanced disease,
- have not yet received chemotherapy for treatment of the recurrence.

For determination of the appropriate comparator therapy, it is assumed that local therapy options for treating the recurrence (resection, radiotherapy) are not an option for patients in the therapeutic indication in the recurrence situation.

The current S3 guideline¹ does not include a recommendation that takes the dMMR status into account for the specified therapeutic indication. There are recommendations that are independent of the MSI/dMMR status and are therefore suitable for an unselected patient population in this regard. Systemic chemotherapy can be carried out in accordance with the recommendations of this treatment setting. The S3 guideline recommends chemotherapy with carboplatin in combination with paclitaxel as the evidence-based treatment of choice.

The S3 guideline currently includes no recommendations for maintenance treatment of patients with dMMR endometrial cancer after first-line therapy.

The active ingredients carboplatin and paclitaxel are not approved for the present treatment setting, neither as individual active ingredients nor in the combination of carboplatin and paclitaxel. In contrast, dostarlimab (in combination with carboplatin and paclitaxel) and pembrolizumab (in combination with carboplatin and paclitaxel) are still relatively new, approved treatment options (marketing authorisation of dostarlimab on 07.12.2023 and pembrolizumab on 21.10.2024).

In the benefit assessment of dostarlimab in combination with carboplatin and paclitaxel, there was an indication of a major additional benefit compared with carboplatin in combination with paclitaxel for patients with recurrent disease. For patients with primary advanced setting, it was determined that an additional benefit is not proven. (Resolution of 20.06.2024).

As part of the written statement procedure, clinical experts stated that dostarlimab in combination with carboplatin and paclitaxel corresponds to the current treatment standard. With the marketing authorisation of dostarlimab, immune checkpoint inhibitors have become the standard in this therapeutic indication.

The active ingredient pembrolizumab is a new treatment option in the present therapeutic indication. The active ingredient was only recently approved (marketing authorisation on 21.10.2024). Based on the generally accepted state of medical knowledge, pembrolizumab is not determined to be an appropriate comparator therapy for the present resolution.

For these reasons, only the combination therapy with dostarlimab is included in the appropriate comparator therapy in the comparison of the above-mentioned treatment options - carboplatin in combination with paclitaxel versus dostarlimab in combination with carboplatin and paclitaxel.

According to the product information for dostarlimab (4.2 Dosage and route of administration), dostarlimab is administered in combination with carboplatin and paclitaxel every 3 weeks for 6 cycles followed by dostarlimab monotherapy every 6 weeks until disease progression, unacceptable toxicity or a duration of 3 years. Dostarlimab in combination with carboplatin and paclitaxel followed by dostarlimab as monotherapy is therefore determined as the appropriate comparator therapy.

The findings in Annex XII do not restrict the scope of treatment required to fulfil the medical treatment mandate.

¹ Guideline program in oncology (German Cancer Society, German Cancer Aid, Association of the Scientific-Medical Societies). Endometrial carcinoma; S3 guideline, long version 3.0. AWMF registry number 032-034OL. Berlin (GER): Oncology guideline programme; 2024.

A change in the appropriate comparator therapy requires a resolution by the G-BA linked to the prior review of the criteria according to Chapter 5 Section 6, paragraph 3 Rules of Procedure.

2.1.3 Extent and probability of the additional benefit

In summary, the additional benefit of durvalumab is assessed as follows:

Adult patients with primary advanced endometrial carcinoma (Stage III or IV) or recurrent endometrial carcinoma with mismatch repair deficiency (dMMR) who:

- have not yet received systemic therapy as postoperative or adjuvant therapy for treatment of the primary advanced disease,
- have not yet received chemotherapy for treatment of the recurrence.

An additional benefit is not proven.

Justification:

For the benefit assessment, the pharmaceutical company presented the results of the ongoing, three-arm, randomised, double-blind phase III DUO-E study. The study is being conducted in 202 study sites across Australia, Asia, Europe and America.

The DUO-E study comprises 3 study arms:

- Placebo + carboplatin + paclitaxel, followed by placebo (arm A),
- Durvalumab + carboplatin + paclitaxel, followed by maintenance treatment with durvalumab + placebo (arm B),
- Durvalumab + carboplatin + paclitaxel, followed by maintenance treatment with durvalumab + olaparib (arm C).

Adult patients with histologically confirmed diagnosis of epithelial endometrial cancer of any histology (including carcinosarcoma) and regardless of their mismatch repair (MMR) status were enrolled in the study. In addition to patients with newly diagnosed International Federation of Gynaecology and Obstetrics (FIGO) stage III or FIGO stage IV disease, patients with recurrence whose chances of recovery through surgery alone or in combination with radiotherapy or systemic therapy are low were also enrolled. The patients must not have received any systemic therapy for the current stage of the disease. Only for patients with recurrent disease was prior systemic treatment permitted, provided it was administered as part of adjuvant treatment (as part of preparatory or adjuvant cancer treatment, which could be administered concomitantly or following chemoradiotherapy) and at least 12 months had elapsed between the last dose of systemic treatment and the time of the subsequent recurrence.

A total of 718 patients with endometrial cancer were enrolled in the study and randomised in a ratio of 1:1:1 to one of the 3 treatment arms (arm A, N = 241; arm B, N = 238; arm C, N = 239). Stratification was based on (deficient vs proficient) MMR status, disease status (newly diagnosed vs recurrent) and geographical region (Asia vs rest of the world).

The DUO-E study is divided into 2 phases. In the 1st phase (initial therapy), all patients received carboplatin and paclitaxel in combination with durvalumab or placebo for a minimum of 4 to a maximum of 6 cycles. Patients who showed no signs of radiological disease progression subsequently received maintenance treatment with durvalumab and placebo (arm B),

durvalumab and olaparib (arm C) or placebo (arm A), depending on the treatment arm. Patients with dMMR status from arm A and arm B are used for the assessment.

The primary endpoint of the DUO-E study was progression-free survival (PFS). Secondary endpoints were overall survival and endpoints in the categories morbidity, health-related quality of life and SIDE effects.

3 data cut-offs are available for the ongoing DUO-E study:

- Data cut-off from 30.06.2022: Futility analysis on the PFS for the global population
- Data cut-off from 12.04.2023: Primary analysis of the PFS for the global population
- Data cut-off from 18.10.2023: 120-day safety update for the Food and Drug Administration (FDA).

On the implementation of the appropriate comparator therapy

The G-BA determined dostarlimab in combination with carboplatin and paclitaxel followed by dostarlimab as monotherapy as the appropriate comparator therapy. In the DUO-E study, the corresponding treatment regimen was not investigated in any of the study arms. Accordingly, the appropriate comparator therapy was not implemented in the DUO-E study. The DUO-E study is therefore not suitable for the assessment of the additional benefit.

Conclusion

The presented results of the DUO-E study are not suitable for the assessment of the additional benefit, as the appropriate comparator therapy determined by the G-BA (dostarlimab in combination with carboplatin and paclitaxel followed by dostarlimab as monotherapy) is not implemented by the comparator therapy in the DUO-E study. Thus, an additional benefit of durvalumab in combination with carboplatin and paclitaxel followed by maintenance treatment with durvalumab as monotherapy compared to the appropriate comparator therapy in the treatment of adult patients with primary advanced endometrial cancer (stage III or IV) or mismatch repair deficient (dMMR) recurrent endometrial cancer, who have not yet received systemic therapy as postoperative or adjuvant therapy for treatment of the primary advanced disease or have not yet received chemotherapy for treatment of the recurrence, is not proven.

2.1.4 Summary of the assessment

The present assessment is the benefit assessment of a new therapeutic indication for the active ingredient durvalumab. The therapeutic indication assessed here is as follows:

"Adult patients with primary advanced endometrial cancer (stage III or IV) or mismatch repair deficient (dMMR) recurrent endometrial cancer who:

- have not yet received systemic therapy as postoperative or adjuvant therapy for treatment of the primary advanced disease,
- have not yet received chemotherapy for treatment of the recurrence".

Dostarlimab in combination with carboplatin and paclitaxel followed by dostarlimab as monotherapy was determined as the appropriate comparator therapy.

The presented results of the DUO-E study are not suitable for the assessment of the additional benefit, as the appropriate comparator therapy determined by the G-BA is not implemented by the comparator therapy in the DUO-E study. Thus, an additional benefit of durvalumab in combination with carboplatin and paclitaxel followed by maintenance treatment with

durvalumab as monotherapy compared with the appropriate comparator therapy is not proven.

2.2 Number of patients or demarcation of patient groups eligible for treatment

The information on the number of patients is based on the target population in statutory health insurance (SHI).

The resolution is based on the IQWiG information from the benefit assessment. In the benefit assessment, an overall range from the information provided by the pharmaceutical company in the dossier for the benefit assessment as well as the information from the benefit assessment on dostarlimab (resolution of 20.06.2024) is described as the current best estimate.

The information provided by the pharmaceutical company is subject to uncertainties, which result primarily from the following aspects:

The information provided by the pharmaceutical company was based on an incidence that does not only include endometrial cancer. In addition, the distribution of FIGO stages was not adequately determined and it is unclear to what extent the populations, on which the percentages for recurrence are based, are representative. It is also questionable whether the percentage of doctors who favour a chemotherapy regimen is the same as the percentage of patients for whom this treatment is an option.

The information on patient numbers in the pharmaceutical company's dossier on the active ingredient dostarlimab was also subject to uncertainties, which were addressed in the associated dossier assessment.

2.3 Requirements for a quality-assured application

The requirements in the product information are to be taken into account. The European Medicines Agency (EMA) provides the contents of the product information (summary of product characteristics, SmPC) for Imfinzi (active ingredient: durvalumab) at the following publicly accessible link (last access: 28 November 2024):

https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/product-information/imfinzi-epar-product-information_en.pdf

Treatment with durvalumab should only be initiated and monitored by specialists in internal medicine, haematology, and oncology, specialists in obstetrics and gynaecology, and other specialists participating in the Oncology Agreement, all of whom are experienced in the treatment of patients with endometrial cancer.

2.4 Treatment costs

The treatment costs are based on the requirements in the product information and the information listed in the LAUER-TAXE® (last revised: 1 February 2025).

If no maximum treatment duration is specified in the product information, the treatment duration is assumed to be one year (365 days), even if the actual treatment duration varies

from patient to patient and/or is shorter on average. The time unit "days" is used to calculate the "number of treatments/ patient/ year", time intervals between individual treatments and for the maximum treatment duration, if specified in the product information.

For the cost representation, only the dosages of the general case are considered. Patient-individual dose adjustments (e.g. because of side effects or co-morbidities) are not taken into account when calculating the annual treatment costs.

For dosages depending on body weight (BW) or body surface area (BSA), the average body measurements of the official representative statistics "Microcensus 2021 – body measurements of the population" were applied (average body height of women: 1.66 m, average body weight of women: 69.2 kg). This results in a body surface area of 1.77 m² (calculated according to Du Bois 1916)².

The dosage according to the target AUC of carboplatin is calculated using the Calvert formula and the estimation of renal function with the Cockcroft-Gault equation using the average height (average body height of women: 1.66 m)², the average weight (average body weight of women: 69.2 kg)², the average age of women in Germany in 2021 (46 years)³ and the average standard serum creatinine concentration (women: 0.75 mg/dl)⁴.

The annual treatment costs shown refer to the first year of treatment.

² Federal Health Reporting. Average body measurements of the population (2021, both sexes, 15 years and older), www.gbe-bund.de

³ Federal Institute for Population Research, Average age of the population in Germany (1871-2021) <https://www.bib.bund.de/DE/Fakten/Fakt/B19-Durchschnittsalter-Bevoelkerung-ab-1871.html>

⁴ DocCheck Flexikon – Serum creatinine, URL: <https://flexikon.doccheck.com/de/Serumkreatinin> [last access: 25.04.2024]

Treatment period:

Adult patients with primary advanced endometrial carcinoma (Stage III or IV) or recurrent endometrial carcinoma with mismatch repair deficiency (dMMR) who:

- have not yet received systemic therapy as postoperative or adjuvant therapy for treatment of the primary advanced disease,
- have not yet received chemotherapy for treatment of the recurrence.

Designation of the therapy	Treatment mode	Number of treatments/ patient/ year	Treatment duration/ treatment (days)	Treatment days/ patient/ year
Medicinal product to be assessed				
<i>Durvalumab in combination with carboplatin and paclitaxel</i>				
Durvalumab	1 x every 21 days	4 – 6	1	4 – 6
Carboplatin	1 x every 21 days	4 – 6	1	4 – 6
Paclitaxel	1 x every 21 days	4 – 6	1	4 – 6
<i>Maintenance treatment with durvalumab as monotherapy</i>				
Durvalumab	1 x every 28 days	8.5 – 10.0	1	8.5 – 10.0
Appropriate comparator therapy				
<i>Dostarlimab in combination with carboplatin and paclitaxel</i>				
Dostarlimab	1 x every 21 days	6	1	6
Carboplatin	1 x every 21 days	6	1	6
Paclitaxel	1 x every 21 days	6	1	6
<i>Maintenance treatment with dostarlimab as monotherapy</i>				
Dostarlimab	1 x every 42 days	5.7	1	5.7

Consumption:

Adult patients with primary advanced endometrial carcinoma (Stage III or IV) or recurrent endometrial carcinoma with mismatch repair deficiency (dMMR) who:

- have not yet received systemic therapy as postoperative or adjuvant therapy for treatment of the primary advanced disease,
- have not yet received chemotherapy for treatment of the recurrence.

Designation of the therapy	Dosage/ application	Dose/ patient/ treatment days	Consumption by potency/ treatment day	Treatment days/ patient/ year	Average annual consumption by potency
Medicinal product to be assessed					
<i>Durvalumab in combination with carboplatin and paclitaxel</i>					
Durvalumab	1,120 mg	1,120 mg	2 x 500 mg + 1 x 120 mg	4 – 6	8 x 500 mg + 4 x 120 mg – 12 x 500 mg + 6 x 120 mg
Carboplatin	AUC 5 = 637 mg or AUC 6 = 764.3 mg	637 mg – 764.3 mg	1 x 600 mg + 1 x 50 mg – 1 x 600 mg + 1 x 150 mg + 1 x 50 mg	4 – 6	4 x 600 mg + 4 x 50 mg – 6 x 600 mg + 6 x 150 mg + 6 x 50 mg
Paclitaxel	175 mg/m ² BSA = 309.8 mg	309.8 mg	1 x 300 mg + 1 x 30 mg	4 – 6	4 x 300 mg + 4 x 30 mg – 6 x 300 mg + 6 x 30 mg
<i>Maintenance treatment with durvalumab as monotherapy</i>					
Durvalumab	1,500 mg	1,500 mg	3 x 500 mg	8.5 – 10.0	25.5 x 500 mg – 30 x 500 mg
Appropriate comparator therapy					
<i>Dostarlimab in combination with carboplatin and paclitaxel</i>					
Dostarlimab	500 mg	500 mg	1 x 500 mg	6	6 x 500 mg
Carboplatin	AUC 5 = 637 mg	637 mg	1 x 600 mg + 1 x 50 mg	6	6 x 600 mg + 6 x 50 mg
Paclitaxel	175 mg/m ² BSA = 309.8 mg	309.8 mg	1 x 300 mg + 1 x 30 mg	6	6 x 300 mg + 6 x 30 mg
<i>Maintenance treatment with dostarlimab as monotherapy</i>					
Dostarlimab	1,000 mg	1,000 mg	2 x 500 mg	5.7	11.4 x 500 mg

Costs:

In order to improve comparability, the costs of the medicinal products were approximated both on the basis of the pharmacy sales price level and also deducting the statutory rebates in accordance with Sections 130 and 130 a SGB V. To calculate the annual treatment costs, the

required number of packs of a particular potency was first determined on the basis of consumption. Having determined the number of packs of a particular potency, the costs of the medicinal products were then calculated on the basis of the costs per pack after deduction of the statutory rebates. Any reference prices shown in the cost representation may not represent the cheapest available alternative.

Costs of the medicinal products:

Adult patients with primary advanced endometrial carcinoma (Stage III or IV) or recurrent endometrial carcinoma with mismatch repair deficiency (dMMR) who:

- have not yet received systemic therapy as postoperative or adjuvant therapy for treatment of the primary advanced disease,
- have not yet received chemotherapy for treatment of the recurrence.

Designation of the therapy	Packaging size	Costs (pharmacy sales price)	Rebate Section 130 SGB V	Rebate Section 130a SGB V	Costs after deduction of statutory rebates
Medicinal product to be assessed					
Durvalumab 120 mg	1 CIS	€ 518.21	€ 1.77	€ 28.06	€ 488.38
Durvalumab 500 mg	1 CIS	€ 2,105.19	€ 1.77	€ 116.94	€ 1,986.48
Carboplatin 600 mg	1 CIS	€ 300.84	€ 1.77	€ 13.74	€ 285.33
Carboplatin 150 mg	1 CIS	€ 83.06	€ 1.77	€ 3.40	€ 77.89
Carboplatin 50 mg	1 CIS	€ 34.66	€ 1.77	€ 1.11	€ 31.78
Paclitaxel 300 mg	1 CIS	€ 845.77	€ 1.77	€ 39.60	€ 804.40
Paclitaxel 30 mg	1 CIS	€ 94.76	€ 1.77	€ 3.96	-€ 89.03
Appropriate comparator therapy					
Dostarlimab 500 mg	1 CIS	€ 4,557.80	€ 1.77	€ 257.00	€ 4,299.03
Carboplatin 600 mg	1 CIS	€ 300.84	€ 1.77	€ 13.74	€ 285.33
Carboplatin 50 mg	1 CIS	€ 34.66	€ 1.77	€ 1.11	€ 31.78
Paclitaxel 300 mg	1 CIS	€ 845.77	€ 1.77	€ 39.60	€ 804.40
Paclitaxel 30 mg	1 CIS	€ 94.76	€ 1.77	€ 3.96	€ 89.03
Abbreviations: CIS = concentrate for the preparation of an infusion solution					

LAUER-TAXE® last revised: 1 February 2025

Costs for additionally required SHI services:

Only costs directly related to the use of the medicinal product are taken into account. If there are regular differences in the necessary use of medical treatment or in the prescription of other services in the use of the medicinal product to be evaluated and the appropriate comparator therapy in accordance with the product information, the costs incurred for this must be taken into account as costs for additionally required SHI services.

Medical treatment costs, medical fee services, and costs incurred for routine examinations (e.g. regular laboratory services such as blood count tests) that do not exceed the standard expenditure in the course of the treatment are not shown.

As the appropriate comparator therapy in the present case was exceptionally determined as the off-label use of medicinal products, no statement can be made as to whether there are regular differences in the necessary use of medical treatment or in the prescription of other services when using the medicinal product to be assessed compared with the appropriate comparator therapy according to the product information. Therefore, no costs for additionally required SHI services are taken into account here.

Other SHI services:

The special agreement on contractual unit costs of retail pharmacist services (Hilfstaxe) (Sections 4 and 5 of the Pharmaceutical Price Ordinance) from 1 October 2009 is not fully used to calculate costs. Alternatively, the pharmacy sales price publicly accessible in the directory services according to Section 131 paragraph 4 SGB V is a suitable basis for a standardised calculation.

According to the currently valid version of the special agreement on contractual unit costs of retail pharmacist services (Hilfstaxe), surcharges for the production of parenteral preparations containing cytostatic agents a maximum amount of € 100 per ready-to-use preparation, and for the production of parenteral solutions containing monoclonal antibodies a maximum of € 100 per ready-to-use unit are to be payable. These additional other costs are not added to the pharmacy sales price but rather follow the rules for calculating in the Hilfstaxe. The cost representation is based on the pharmacy retail price and the maximum surcharge for the preparation and is only an approximation of the treatment costs. This presentation does not take into account, for example, the rebates on the pharmacy purchase price of the active ingredient, the invoicing of discards, the calculation of application containers, and carrier solutions in accordance with the regulations in Annex 3 of the Hilfstaxe.

2.5 Designation of medicinal products with new active ingredients according to Section 35a, paragraph 3, sentence 4 SGB V that can be used in a combination therapy with the assessed medicinal product

According to Section 35a, paragraph 3, sentence 4, the G-BA designates all medicinal products with new active ingredients that can be used in a combination therapy with the assessed medicinal product for the therapeutic indication to be assessed on the basis of the marketing authorisation under Medicinal Products Act.

Basic principles of the assessed medicinal product

A designation in accordance with Section 35a, paragraph 3, sentence 4 SGB V requires that it is examined based on the product information for the assessed medicinal product whether it can be used in a combination therapy with other medicinal products in the assessed therapeutic indication. In the first step, the examination is carried out on the basis of all sections of the currently valid product information for the assessed medicinal product.

If the assessed medicinal product contains an active ingredient or a fixed combination of active ingredients in the therapeutic indication of the resolution (assessed therapeutic indication) and is approved exclusively for use in monotherapy, a combination therapy is not considered due to the marketing authorisation under Medicinal Products Act, which is why no designation is made.

A designation is also not considered if the G-BA has decided on an exemption as a reserve

antibiotic for the assessed medicinal product in accordance with Section 35a, paragraph 1c, sentence 1 SGB V. The additional benefit is deemed to be proven if the G-BA has decided on an exemption for a reserve antibiotic in accordance with Section 35a, paragraph 1c, sentence 1 SGB V; the extent of the additional benefit and its therapeutic significance are not to be assessed by the G-BA. Due to the lack of an assessment mandate by the G-BA following the resolution on an exemption according to Section 35a, paragraph 1c, sentence 1 SGB V with regard to the extent of the additional benefit and the therapeutic significance of the reserve antibiotic to be assessed, there is a limitation due to the procedural privileging of the pharmaceutical companies to the effect that neither the proof of an existing nor an expected at least considerable additional benefit is possible for exempted reserve antibiotics in the procedures according to Section 35a paragraph 1 or 6 SGB V and Section 35a paragraph 1d SGB V. The procedural privileging of the reserve antibiotics exempted according to Section 35a, paragraph 1c, sentence 1 SGB V must therefore also be taken into account at the level of designation according to Section 35a, paragraph 3, sentence 4 SGB V in order to avoid valuation contradictions.

With regard to the further examination steps, a differentiation is made between a "determined" or "undetermined" combination, which may also be the basis for a designation.

A "determined combination" exists if one or more individual active ingredients which can be used in combination with the assessed medicinal product in the assessed therapeutic indication are specifically named.

An "undetermined combination" exists if there is information on a combination therapy, but no specific active ingredients are named. An undetermined combination may be present if the information on a combination therapy:

- names a product class or group from which some active ingredients not specified in detail can be used in combination therapy with the assessed medicinal product, or
- does not name any active ingredients, product classes or groups, but the assessed medicinal product is used in addition to a therapeutic indication described in more detail in the relevant product information, which, however, does not include information on active ingredients within the scope of this therapeutic indication.

Concomitant active ingredient

The concomitant active ingredient is a medicinal product with new active ingredients that can be used in combination therapy with the assessed medicinal product for the therapeutic indication to be assessed.

For a medicinal product to be considered as a concomitant active ingredient, it must be classified as a medicinal product with new active ingredients according to Section 2 paragraph 1 Ordinance on the Benefit Assessment of Pharmaceuticals (AM-NutzenV) in conjunction with the corresponding regulations in Chapter 5 of the Rules of Procedure of the G-BA as of the date of the present resolution. In addition, the medicinal product must be approved in the assessed therapeutic indication, whereby a marketing authorisation is sufficient only for a sub-area of the assessed therapeutic indication.

Based on an "undetermined combination", the concomitant active ingredient must be attributable to the information on the product class or group or the therapeutic indication according to the product information of the assessed medicinal product in the assessed therapeutic indication, whereby the definition of a product class or group is based on the corresponding requirements in the product information of the assessed medicinal product.

In addition, there must be no reasons for exclusion of the concomitant active ingredient from a combination therapy with the assessed medicinal product, in particular no exclusive marketing authorisation as monotherapy.

In addition, all sections of the currently valid product information of the eligible concomitant active ingredient are checked to see whether there is any information that excludes its use in combination therapy with the assessed medicinal product in the assessed therapeutic indication under marketing authorisation regulations. Corresponding information can be, for example, dosage information or warnings. In the event that the medicinal product is used as part of a determined or undetermined combination which does not include the assessed medicinal product, a combination with the assessed medicinal product shall be excluded.

Furthermore, the product information of the assessed medicinal product must not contain any specific information that excludes its use in combination therapy with the eligible concomitant active ingredient in the assessed therapeutic indication under marketing authorisation regulations.

Medicinal products with new active ingredients for which the G-BA has decided on an exemption as a reserve antibiotic in accordance with Section 35a, paragraph 1c, sentence 1 SGB V are ineligible as concomitant active ingredients. The procedural privileging of the reserve antibiotics exempted according to Section 35a, paragraph 1c, sentence 1 SGB V also applies accordingly to the medicinal product eligible as a concomitant active ingredient.

Designation

The medicinal products which have been determined as concomitant active ingredients in accordance with the above points of examination are named by indicating the relevant active ingredient and the invented name. The designation may include several active ingredients, provided that several medicinal products with new active ingredients may be used in the same combination therapy with the assessed medicinal product or different combinations with different medicinal products with new active ingredients form the basis of the designation.

If the present resolution on the assessed medicinal product in the assessed therapeutic indication contains several patient groups, the designation of concomitant active ingredients shall be made separately for each of the patient groups.

Exception to the designation

The designation excludes combination therapies for which - patient group-related - a considerable or major additional benefit has been determined by resolution according to Section 35a, paragraph 3, sentence 1 SGB V or it has been determined according to Section 35a, paragraph 1d, sentence 1 SGB V that at least considerable additional benefit of the combination can be expected. In this context, the combination therapy that is excluded from the designation must, as a rule, be identical to the combination therapy on which the preceding findings were based.

In the case of designations based on undetermined combinations, only those concomitant active ingredients - based on a resolution according to Section 35a, paragraph 3, sentence 1 SGB V on the assessed medicinal product in which a considerable or major additional benefit had been determined - which were approved at the time of this resolution are excluded from the designation.

Legal effects of the designation

The designation of combinations is carried out in accordance with the legal requirements according to Section 35a, paragraph 3, sentence 4 and is used exclusively to implement the combination discount according to Section 130e SGB V between health insurance funds and pharmaceutical companies. The designation is not associated with a statement as to the extent to which a therapy with the assessed medicinal products in combination with the designated medicinal products corresponds to the generally recognised state of medical knowledge. The examination was carried out exclusively on the basis of the possibility under Medicinal Products Act to use the medicinal products in combination therapy in the assessed therapeutic indication based on the product information; the generally recognised state of medical knowledge or the use of the medicinal products in the reality of care were not the subject of the examination due to the lack of an assessment mandate of the G-BA within the framework of Section 35a, paragraph 3, sentence 4 SGB V.

The findings made neither restrict the scope of treatment required to fulfil the medical treatment mandate, nor do they make statements about expediency or economic feasibility.

Justification for the findings on designation in the present resolution:

Adult patients with primary advanced endometrial carcinoma (Stage III or IV) or recurrent endometrial carcinoma with mismatch repair deficiency (dMMR) who:

- have not yet received systemic therapy as postoperative or adjuvant therapy for treatment of the primary advanced disease,
- have not yet received chemotherapy for treatment of the recurrence.

No medicinal product with new active ingredients that can be used in a combination therapy and fulfils the requirements of Section 35a, paragraph 3, sentence 4 SGB V.

References:

Product information for durvalumab (Imfinzi); Imfinzi 50 mg/ml concentrate for the preparation of an infusion solution; last revised: 02.12.2024

3. Bureaucratic costs calculation

The proposed resolution does not create any new or amended information obligations for care providers within the meaning of Annex II to Chapter 1 VerfO and, accordingly, no bureaucratic costs.

4. Process sequence

At its session on 10 October 2023, the Subcommittee on Medicinal Products determined the appropriate comparator therapy.

A review of the appropriate comparator therapy took place. The Subcommittee on Medicinal Products determined the appropriate comparator therapy at its session on 6 August 2024.

On 21 August 2024, the pharmaceutical company submitted a dossier for the benefit assessment of durvalumab to the G-BA in due time in accordance with Chapter 5 Section 8, paragraph 1, number 2 VerfO.

By letter dated 22 August 2024 in conjunction with the resolution of the G-BA of 1 August 2011 concerning the commissioning of the IQWiG to assess the benefit of medicinal products with new active ingredients in accordance with Section 35a SGB V, the G-BA commissioned the IQWiG to assess the dossier concerning the active ingredient durvalumab.

The dossier assessment by the IQWiG was submitted to the G-BA on 28 November 2024, and the written statement procedure was initiated with publication on the G-BA website on 2 December 2024. The deadline for submitting statements was 23 December 2024.

The oral hearing was held on 6 January 2025.

In order to prepare a recommendation for a resolution, the Subcommittee on Medicinal Products commissioned a working group (Section 35a) consisting of the members nominated by the leading organisations of the care providers, the members nominated by the SHI umbrella organisation, and representatives of the patient organisations. Representatives of the IQWiG also participate in the sessions.

The evaluation of the written statements received and the oral hearing was discussed at the session of the subcommittee on 11 February 2025, and the proposed draft resolution was approved.

At its session on 20 February 2025, the plenum adopted a resolution to amend the Pharmaceuticals Directive.

Chronological course of consultation

Session	Date	Subject of consultation
Subcommittee on Medicinal Products	10 October 2023	Determination of the appropriate comparator therapy
Subcommittee on Medicinal Products	24 September 2024	New determination of the appropriate comparator therapy
Subcommittee on Medicinal Products	6 January 2025	Information on statements received, conduct of the oral hearing
Working group Section 35a	14 January 2025 4 February 2025	Consultation on the dossier evaluation by the IQWiG and evaluation of the written statement procedure
Subcommittee on Medicinal Products	11 February 2025	Concluding discussion of the draft resolution
Plenum	20 February 2025	Adoption of the resolution on the amendment of the Pharmaceuticals Directive

Berlin, 20 February 2025

Federal Joint Committee (G-BA)
in accordance with Section 91 SGB V
The Chair

Prof. Hecken