

Resolution

of the Federal Joint Committee on an Amendment of the Pharmaceuticals Directive:

Annex XII – Benefit Assessment of Medicinal Products with Durvalumab (new therapeutic indication: hepatocellular, net carcinoma, first-line, monotherapy) eral resolutivel BAXES BAXES carcinoma, first-line, monotherapy)

of 6 June 2024

At its session on 6 June 2024, the Federal Joint Committee G-BAN resolved to amend the Pharmaceuticals Directive (AM-RL) in the version dated 18 December 2008 / 22 January 2009 (Federal Gazette, BAnz. No. 49a of 31 March 2009), as last amended by the publication of the resolution of D Month YYYY (Federal Gazette, BAnz AT DD.MM.YYYY BX), as follows:

I. In Annex XII, the following information shall be added after No. 5 to the information on the benefit assessment of Durvalumat in accordance with the resolution of 5 October 2023 on the therapeutic indication "for the first-line treatment of advanced or unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma":

.u. dicati . caronon . caronon prease note the current version prease note the current version

Durvalumab

Resolution of: 6 June 2024 Entry into force on: 6 June 2024 Federal Gazette, BAnz AT DD. MM YYYY Bx

New therapeutic indication (according to the marketing authorisation of 15 November 2023):

IMFINZI as monotherapy is indicated for the first line treatment of adults with advanced or unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC).

Therapeutic indication of the resolution (resolution of 6 June 2024):

See new therapeutic indication according to marketing authorisation.

- 1. Additional benefit of the medicinal product in relation to the appropriate comparator therapy
- a) <u>Adults with advanced or unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) with Child-Pugh A</u> or no liver cirrhosis; first-line therapy

Appropriate comparator therapy:

- atezolizumab in combination with bevacizumab
 - or
- durvalumab in combination with tremelimumab

Extent and probability of the additional benefit of durvalumab compared to atezolizumab in combination with bevacizumab:

An additional benefit is not proven.

b) Adults with advanced or unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) with Child-Pugh B; first-line therapy

Appropriate comparator therapy:

Best supportive care

Extent and probability of the additional benefit of durvalumab compared to the appropriate comparator therapy:

An additional benefit is not proven.

Study results according to endpoints:¹

a) Adults with advanced or unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) with Child-Pugh A or no liver cirrhosis; first-line therapy

An additional benefit is not proven.

Indpoint category	Direction of effect/ risk of bias	Summary
Mortality	\leftrightarrow	No relevant difference for the benefit assessment.
Morbidity	n.a.	There are no assessable data
Health-related quality of life	n.a.	There are no assessable data.
Side effects	\leftrightarrow	No relevant difference for the benefit assessment.
		t with low/unctear reliability of data
	•	ct with low/unclear reliability of data ect with high reliability of data
$\downarrow \downarrow$: statistically significar	nt and relevant negative ef	fect with high reliability of data

 \leftrightarrow : no statistically significant or relevant difference

 \varnothing : No data available.

n.a.: not assessable

r relevant negative effect with high reliability of data r relevant difference + bevacizumab via the bridge comparator sorafenib: Lunab via the bridge comparator s Luna study; our valumab vs sorafenib; RCT IMbrave150 study: atezolizumab + bevacizumab vs sorafenib; RCT Beneficiente

×

¹ Data from the dossier assessment of the Institute for Quality and Efficiency in Health Care (IQWiG) (A23-138) unless otherwise indicated.

Mortality

Endpoint	a	Durvalumab or atezolizumab + bevacizumab		Sorafenib	Group difference	
	N	Median survival time in months [95% Cl]	N	Median survival time in months [95% CI]	HR [95% CI] p value Absolute	
		Patients with event n (%)		Patients with event n (%)	difference (AD) ^a	
Overall survival				(O.		
Durvalumab vs s	orafeni	b		and i	10	
HIMALAYA (data cut-off from 27.08.2021)	389	16.6 [14.1; 19.1] <i>280 (72.0)</i>	389	13 8 [123; 164] 293 (75.3)	0.86 [0.73; 1.01] 0.068 ^b	
Atezolizumab + k	bevaciz	umab vs sorafenib	20			
IMbrave150 (data cut-off from	375	19.4 [17.1; 23.7] 196 (52.3)	9183 0	0 13.4 [11.4; 16.9] <i>110 (60.1)</i>	0.66 [0.52; 0.83] < 0.001 ^c AD: 6 months	
31.08.2020) AD Indirect comparison via bridge comparators ^d :						
Durvalumab vs atezolizumab + b Iorbidity		X Y ion			1.30 [0.98; 1.72] 0.064	
lovbidit.	0 1 1 1 1 1	er				
lorbidity		*				
Endpoint	a a	Durvalumab or atezolizumab + bevacizumab		Sorafenib	Group difference	
	a a	Durvalumab or atezolizumab +	N	Sorafenib Median survival time in months [95% CI]	Group difference HR [95% CI] p value	
	[a	Durvalumab or atezolizumab + bevacizumab Median survival time in months	N	Median survival time in months	HR [95% CI]	
Endpoint	N	Durvalumab or atezolizumab + bevacizumab Median survival time in months [95% CI] Patients with		Median survival time in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%)	HR [95% CI]	
Endpoint	N	Durvalumab or atezolizumab + bevacizumab Median survival time in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%)	QLQ-H	Median survival time in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%) CC 18)	HR [95% CI]	
Endpoint	y (EOR	Durvalumab or atezolizumab + bevacizumab Median survival time in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%) TC QLQ-C30, EORTC (No suita	QLQ-H	Median survival time in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%) CC 18)		

Health-related quality of life

Endpoint		Durvalumab or atezolizumab + bevacizumab		Sorafenib	Group difference	
	N	Median survival time in months [95% Cl]	N	Median survival time in months [95% CI]	HR [95% CI] p value	
		Patients with event n (%)		Patients with event n (%)		
(EORTC QLQ-C30	, EOR	rc QlQ-HCC18)			A VIII	
		No suita	ble da	ata ^e	ONT NO.	
de effects ^f				ata ^e	(eC	
Endpoint		Durvalumab or atezolizumab + bevacizumab		Sorafenib	Group difference	
	N	Median survival time in months [95% Cl]	N	Median survival time in months [95% Cl]	HR [95% Cl] p value	
		Patients with event n (%)		Patients with event n (%)	Absolute difference (AD) ^a	
Total adverse eve	ents (p	resented additionally	y)			
Durvalumab vs so	rafeni	b t Q ior				
HIMALAYA	388 S	0.9; 1.1] 345 (88.9)	374	0.3 [0.3; 0.4] <i>357 (95.5)</i>	-	
Atezolizumab	evacizi	umab vs sorafenib				
IMbrave150	368	n.d.	174	n.d.	-	
So, XO		361 (98.1)		171 (98.3)		
Serious adverse e	vents	(SAE)				
Durvalumab vs so	rafeni	b				
HIMALAYA	388	n.r. [n.c.; n.c.] 115 (29.6)	374	31.2 [23.8; n.c.] <i>111 (29.7)</i>	0.91 [0.70; 1.18] 0.463 ^g	
		·····				
Atezolizumab + be	evaciz	umab vs soratenib	174 n.d.			

Endpoint		Durvalumab or atezolizumab + bevacizumab		Sorafenib	Group difference
	Ν	Median survival time in months [95% CI]	N	Median survival time in months [95% CI]	HR [95% CI] p value Absolute
		Patients with event n (%)		Patients with event n (%)	difference (AD) ^a
Indirect comparis	on via	bridge comparators:			i nsine'
Durvalumab vs atezolizumab + bo	evacizi	umab		50 (0)	0.83 [0.55; 1.25] 0.364
Severe adverse e	vents	(CTCAE grade ≥ 3)		a stal O	(0-
Durvalumab vs so	orafeni	b		evelst	
HIMALAYA	388	16.3 [11.1; n.c.] <i>158 (40.7)</i>	374	4,5 [2,8, 6.1] 210 (56.1)	0.54 [0.44; 0.67] < 0.001 ^g AD: 11.8 months
Atezolizumab + b	evaciz	umab vs sorafenib	0.	01.	
IMbrave150	368	n.d. 236 (64.1)	174	n.d. 104 (59.8)	0.80 [0.63; 1.01] 0.065 ^g
Indirect comparis	on via	bridge comparators:		L	L
Durvalumab vs atezolizumab + bo	evaciz	mabyer			0.68 [0.49; 0.93] 0.015
Discontinuation	lue to	AEs			
Durvalumativs sc	rafeni	b			
HIMALAYA	388	n.r. [n.c.; n.c.]	374	n.r.	0.45 [0.29; 0.68]
		32 (8.2)		63 (16.8)	< 0.001 ^g
		umab vs sorafenib			
Mbrave150	368	n.d. <i>62 (16.8)</i>	174	n.d. <i>19 (10.9)</i>	1.06 [0.63; 1.79] 0.815 ^g
Indirect comparis		bridge comparators:		10.9/	0.010
Durvalumab + tre atezolizumab + bo	melim	iumab vs			h
	cvacizi				

Endpoint		Durvalumab or atezolizumab + bevacizumab		Sorafenib	Group difference
	N	Median survival time in months [95% CI]	N	Median survival time in months [95% CI]	HR [95% Cl] p value
		Patients with event n (%)		Patients with event n (%)	Absolute difference (AD) ^a
PRO-CTCAE				No suitab	ole data
Immune-mediate	d AEs			No suitab	ole data ^j
Bleeding (AEs, SA	Es, sev	vere AEs)		No suitat	le data ^j
 own calculation HR and 95% (Comparing the second seco	on CI fror Ititis B Value CI fron g Japa at scre arisor f first- in the A stud fied Io ompation diusted lin the data r ference d; n = d; PGI 30 = C estion	ison is calculated as d indirect comparison e HIMALAYA study Module 4 A ce; AFP = alpha-fetopi RTC = European Organ arcinoma; HR = hazarc number of patients v IC = Patient Global In Quality of Life Questic inaire; SAE = serious a	hazar er], E nk tes nazarc c spre ≥ 400 the d from the re is not the re is not rotein nisatio l ratio vith (a opress onnair	ds model, stratified b COG-PS (0 vs 1), mac it smodel stratified by ad and/or macrovasc ng/ml); p value from able for the HIMALAY ata cut-off from 27.08 29.11.2019 was used Cox proportional haze equirement for the re- met. ; CTCAE = Common Tr n for Research and Tr ; CI = confidence inter at least one) event; n. sion of Change; PRO e Cancer-30; QLQ-HC	erminology Criteria eatment of Cancer; rval; N = number of color in calculable; Participation (2000) Participation

b) Adults with advanced or unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) with Child-Pugh B; <u>first-line therapy</u>

An additional benefit is not proven.

Endpoint category	Direction of effect/	Summary	
	risk of bias		
Mortality	Ø	No data available.	
Morbidity	Ø	No data available.	
Health-related quality	Ø	No data available.	
of life			
Side effects	Ø	No data available.	
Explanations:		-1	$\langle \rangle$
个: statistically significant a	and relevant positive effect	with low/unclear reliability of data	
\downarrow : statistically significant i	and relevant negative effec	t with low/unclear reliability of data	
个个: statistically significar	t and relevant positive effe	t with low/unclear reliability of data	
$\downarrow \downarrow$: statistically significar	it and relevant negative eff	ect with high reliability of data	

 \leftrightarrow : no statistically significant or relevant difference

 \varnothing : No data available.

n.a.: not assessable

2. Number of patients or demarcation of patient groups eligible for treatment

a) Adults with advanced or unresectable hepatocellu coinoma (HCC) with Child-Pugh A or no liver cirrhosis; first-line therapy

Approx. 1,440 to 4,150 patients

dure com ple patocellular carcinoma (HCC) with Child-Pugh B; b) Adults with advanced or unresed first-line therapy

Approx. 460 to 1,32

3. Requirements for a quality-assured application

The requirements in the product information are to be taken into account. The European Medicines Agency (EMA) provides the contents of the product information (summary of product characteristics, SmPC) for Imfinzi (active ingredient: durvalumab) at the following publicly accessible link (last access: 28 May 2024):

www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/product-information/imfinzi-epar-productmation en.pdf

Treatment with durvalumab should only be initiated and monitored by specialists in internal medicine, haematology and oncology as well as specialists in gastroenterology and other specialists participating in the Oncology Agreement, all of whom are experienced in the treatment of patients with hepatocellular carcinoma.

4. Treatment costs

Annual treatment costs:

a) <u>Adults with advanced or unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) with Child-Pugh A</u> <u>or no liver cirrhosis; first-line therapy</u>

				_		
Designation of the therapy	Annual treatment costs/ patient					
Medicinal product to be assessed:						
Durvalumab	€ 79 <i>,</i> 750	.71		UI GIA		
Appropriate comparator therapy:						
atezolizumab + bevacizumab				2°		
Atezolizumab	€ 67,767	7.78 - € 71	,591.78			
Bevacizumab	€ 76,520).50 5	Call			
Total	€1	44,288.28	€ 148,112.	28		
Durvalumab + tremelimumab	~	100 C	, 			
Durvalumab	€ 79,750,71					
Tremelimumab	@ 25,761.88					
Total	€ 105,512.59					
costs after deduction of statutory rebates LAUER	TAXE [®]) as las	t revised: 1	May 2024)			
Costs for additionally required SH services	: not appli	cable				
	Casts/	Number/	Number	Castal		
		Number/ cycle	Number/ patient year	Costs/ patient year		
Medicinal product to be assessed:				-		

<	Durvalumab	Surcharge for the preparation of a parenteral solution containing monoclonal antibodies	€ 100	1	13.0	€ 1,300
	Appropriate comparat	or therapy:				

Designation of the therapy	Type of service	Costs/ unit	Number/ cycle	Number/ patient year	Costs/ patient year
Atezolizumab	Surcharge for the preparation of a parenteral solution containing monoclonal antibodies	€ 100	1	13.0 – 26.1	€ 1,300 - € 2,610
Bevacizumab	Surcharge for the preparation of a parenteral solution containing monoclonal antibodies	€ 100	1	17.4 Neral resolution	₹1,740 Či
Durvalumab	Surcharge for the preparation of a parenteral solution containing monoclonal antibodies	€ 100	pilses natinace	13:0	€ 1,300 € 100
Tremelimumab	parenteral solution	₹ 100	1	1.0	€ 100
o) <u>Adults with adv</u>	containing monoclonal antibodies anced or unresectable	e hepatoce	llular carci	noma (HCC) w	ith Child-Pugh B;
Designation of the	therapy	Annual	treatment	costs/ patient	
Medicinal product	to be assessed:				
Durvalumab		€ 79,75	0.71		
Best supportive ca	re ²	Differe	nt from pat	tient to patien	t

Appropriate comparator therapy:

² When comparing durvalumab with best supportive care, the costs of best supportive care must also be additionally considered for the medicinal product to be assessed.

Designation of the therapy	Annual treatment costs/ patient
Best supportive care ²	Different from patient to patient

Costs after deduction of statutory rebates (LAUER-TAXE®) as last revised: 1 May 2024)

Costs for additionally required SHI services: not applicable

Other SHI benefits:

Designation of the therapy	Type of service	Costs/ unit	Number/ cycle	Number/ patient year	Costs/ patient year
Medicinal product to	be assessed:				
Durvalumab	Surcharge for the preparation of a parenteral solution containing monoclonal antibodies	€ 100	1	13.021 jire	€ 1,300

5. Designation of medicinal products with new active ingredients according to Section 35a, paragraph 3, sentence 4 SGB V that can be used in a combination therapy with the assessed medicinal product

In the context of the designation of medicinal products with new active ingredients pursuant to Section 35a, paragraph 3, sentence 4 SGB V, the following findings are made:

- a) <u>Adults with advanced or unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) with Child-Pugh A</u> or no liver circhosis, first-line therapy
- No designation of medicinal products with new active ingredients that can be used in combination therapy pursuant to Section 35a, paragraph 3, sentence 4 SGB V, as the active ingredient to be assessed is an active ingredient authorised in monotherapy.

b) Adults with advanced or unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) with Child-Pugh B; <u>first-line therapy</u>

 No designation of medicinal products with new active ingredients that can be used in combination therapy pursuant to Section 35a, paragraph 3, sentence 4 SGB V, as the active ingredient to be assessed is an active ingredient authorised in monotherapy.

The designation of combinations exclusively serves the implementation of the combination discount according to Section 130e SGB V between health insurance funds and pharmaceutical

companies. The findings made neither restrict the scope of treatment required to fulfil the medical treatment mandate, nor do they make statements about expediency or economic feasibility.

II. **Entry into force**

- 1. The resolution will enter into force on the day of its publication on the website of the G-BA on 6 June 2024.

....c period of validity of the resolution is limited to 1 January 2025. The justification to this resolution will be published on the website of the G-BA at the website of the G-BA

Pros Reckender Pros R