

Resolution

of the Federal Joint Committee on an Amendment of the Pharmaceuticals Directive:

Annex XII – Benefit Assessment of Medicinal Products with New Active Ingredients according to Section 35a (SGB V) Patisiran (reassessment of an orphan drug after exceeding the EUR 30 million turnover limit: hereditary transthyretinmediated amyloidosis with polyneuropathy (stage 1 or 2))

of 16 May 2024

At its session on 16 May 2024, the Federal Joint Committee (G-BA) resolved to amend the Pharmaceuticals Directive (AM-RL) in the version dated 18 December 2008 / 22 January 2009 (Federal Gazette, BAnz. No. 49a of 31 March 2009), as last amended by the publication of the resolution of D Month YYYY (Federal Gazette, BAnz AT DD.MM.YYYY BX), as follows:

I. Annex XII is amended as follows:

- 1. The information on patisiran in the version of the resolution of 22 March 2019 (BAnz AT 17.04.2019 B3) is repealed.
- 2. Annex XII shall be amended in alphabetical order to include the active ingredient Patisiran as follows:

Patisiran

Resolution of: 16 May 2024 Entry into force on: 16 May 2024

Federal Gazette, BAnz AT DD. MM YYYY Bx

Therapeutic indication (according to the marketing authorisation of 27 August 2018):

Onpattro is indicated for the treatment of hereditary transthyretin-mediated amyloidosis (hATTR amyloidosis) in adult patients with stage 1 or stage 2 polyneuropathy.

Therapeutic indication of the resolution (resolution of 16 May 2024):

See therapeutic indication according to marketing authorisation.

1. Additional benefit of the medicinal product in relation to the appropriate comparator therapy

Adults with hereditary transthyretin mediated amyloidosis (hATTR amyloidosis) with stage 1 or stage 2 polyneuropathy

Appropriate comparator therapy:

Tafamidis (only for hATTR-PN stage 1) or vutrisiran

Extent and probability of the additional benefit of patisiran compared to vutrisiran:

Indication of a lesser benefit

Study results according to endpoints:1

Adults with hereditary transthyretin mediated amyloidosis (hATTR amyloidosis) with stage 1 or stage 2 polyneuropathy

¹ Data from the dossier assessment of the Institute for Quality and Efficiency in Health Care (IQWiG) (A23-118) unless otherwise indicated.

Summary of results for relevant clinical endpoints

Endpoint category	Direction of effect/ risk of bias	Summary
Mortality	\leftrightarrow	No relevant differences for the benefit assessment.
Morbidity	\leftrightarrow	No relevant differences for the benefit assessment.
Health-related quality of life	Ø	No data available.
Side effects	$\downarrow\downarrow$	Disadvantage for the endpoints of SAEs, severe AEs and in detail specific AEs.

Explanations:

↑: statistically significant and relevant positive effect with low/unclear reliability of data

↓: statistically significant and relevant negative effect with low/unclear reliability of data

个个: statistically significant and relevant positive effect with high reliability of data

 $\downarrow \downarrow$: statistically significant and relevant negative effect with high reliability of data

 \leftrightarrow : no statistically significant or relevant difference

 \varnothing : No data available.

n.a.: not assessable

HELIOS-A study: open-label RCT; patisiran vs vutrisiran over a period of 18 months

Mortality^a

Endpoint	Patisiran			Vutrisiran	Patisiran vs Vutrisiran		
	N	Patients with event n (%)	N	Patients with event n (%)	RR [95% CI] p value ^b		
Overall mortality	42	3 (7.1)	122	2 (1.6)	4.36 [0.75; 25.19] ^c ; 0.078		

Morbidity

Endpoint	Patisiran				Vutrisir	Patisiran vs Vutrisiran	
	N ^d	Values at start of study MV (SD)	Change at month 18 LS MV ^e (SE)	N ^d	Values at start of study MV (SD)	Change at month 18 LS MV ^e (SE)	LS MD [95% CI]; p value ^f
Norfolk QoL-DN total value ^g	38	47.3 (29.9)	3.6 (2.9)	113	47.1 (26.3)	0.9 (1.7)	2.7 [-3.7; 9.2]; 0.401

Physic large r		ctions/ fibres	38	2	23.0 (14.9)	2.1 (1.6	5) 113		23.1 (1	23.1 (13.8)		-0.3 (0.9)		2.4 [-1.1; 5.9]	
Everyd	lay ac	tivities	38	5.0 (5.6)		0.5 (0.6)		113	5.7 (5	5.7 (5.7) 1.2 (` '		-0.7 .0; 0.7]	
Sympt	oms		38	38 11.2		0.4 (0.8)	112	11.0 (6.1)	-0.4	(0.5)	[-1	0.7 .0; 2.5]	
Small	nerve	fibres	38		5.1 (4.5)	0.8 (0.5)		113	4.6 (4	1.2)	0.9 (0.3)		[-1	0.0 [-1.1; 1.1]	
Autono functio		S	38		3.0 (2.8)	-0.2 (0.3)		113	2.7 (2	2.9)	-0.5 (0.2)		[-0	0.3 .4; 0.9]	
10-MW	VT [m,	/s]	38		01 (0.40)	-0.07 (0.04)		113	1.01 (0).39)	-0.03 (0.03)		[-0.04 -0.14;).06];).441	
Health 5D-5L		s (EQ-	37		53.0 (16.1)	-5.3 (2.3)		112	64.5 (1	18.5)	-0.5 (1.3)		-4.8 [-9.9; 0.3]; 0.067		
R-ODS ^t additio			38		34.0 (10.4)	-2.1 (0.9)		114	34.1 (1	1.0)	-1.8 (0.5)			-0.2 [-2.2; 1.7]; 0.809	
mNIS + (preser additio	nted	al value ^g	36 57.7 (33.7)		57.7 (33.7)	1.4 (2.8)	115	60.6 (3	86.0)	0.7	(1.6)	[·	0.77 -5.44; 5.98]; 0.808	
Endpoi	Endpoint Vutrisiran			Patisiran Vutrisir Patisi											
			N Patients with event n (%)		N					RR [95% CI] p value ^b					
	Hospitalisations due to any cause ^a 42 17 (40.5)		122		31 (25.4) 1.59 [0.99; 2.5 0.067			2.57];							
Endpoi	·					Vutrisiran									
nt	N	Improve ment ^p n (%)	0	ilisati n ^q %)	Deteriora tion ^r n (%)	Missing values n (%)	N		mprove ment ^p n (%)	ment ^p tion ^q		Deteriorati on ^r n (%)		Missing values n (%)	
FAP	42	1 (2.4)	36 (8	35.7)	1 (2.4)	4 (9.5)	12	22	5 (4.1)		101 (82.8)		9 (7.4)		
PND	42	1 (2.4)	30 (7	71.4)	7 (16.7)	4 (9.5)	12	22 1	3 (10.7)	82 (6	57.2)	20 (16.	4)	7 (5.7)	

Health-related quality of life

Not collectedⁱ

Side effectsa,j

Endpoint		Patisiran		Vutrisiran	Patisiran vs Vutrisiran	
	N	Patients with event n (%)	N	Patients with event n (%)	RR [95% CI] p value ^a	
AEsk (presented additionally)	42	119 (97.5)	122	119 (97.5)	not applicable	
SAEs ^k	42	41 (97.6)	122	32 (26.2)	1.63 [1.03; 2.59] 0.045	
Severe AEs ^{k,I}	42	18 (42.9)	122	19 (15.6)	2.45 [1.39; 4.30] 0.002	
Discontinuation due to AEs	42	16 (38.1)	122	3 (2.5)	2.91 [0.61; 13.84] 0.174	
Reaction in connection with an infusion	Evaluation unsuitable ^m					
Injury, poisoning and procedural complications (SOC, severe AEs ¹) ⁿ	42	3 (7.1)	122	1 (0.8)	8.71 [0.93; 81.52] 0.031	
Infections and infestations (SOC, SAE)	42	8 (19.0)	122	9 (7.4)	2.58 [1.07; 6.26] 0.034	
Heart failure (SMQ narrow scope, SAE) 42 5 (11.9) 122 1		1 (0.8)	3.63 [1.02; 12.89] 0.036			
Gastrointestinal disorders (SOC, SAE) ^s	42	3 (7.1)	122	1 (0.8)	8.71 [0.93; 81.52] 0.031	
General disorders and administration site conditions (SOC, SAE) ^t	42	4 (9.5)	122	1 (0.8)	11.62 [1.34; 101.06] 0.008	

a. During the 18-month randomised treatment phase patisiran vs vutrisiran (up to week 84)

b. p value: IQWiG calculation, unconditional exact test (CSZ method)

c. Effect and CI: IQWiG calculation

d. Number of patients considered in the evaluation to calculate the effect estimate; values at the start of study are based on 120 to 122 subjects in the intervention arm and 41 to 42 subjects in the control arm

e. from the MMRM evaluation

- f. Effect, CI and p value: MMRM with unstructured variance matrix, value at the start of the study as continuous covariate, treatment, visit, genotype, age at disease onset and the NIS at baseline (< 50 vs ≥ 50) as categorical factors, interaction term treatment × visit. Effect refers to the change from the start of study at 18 months.
- g. Lower values mean low symptomatology (Norfolk-QoL-DN: Scale range -4 to 136; mNIS+7: Scale range 0 to 304; NIS: Scale range 0 to 244). Negative effects (vutrisiran vs patisiran) mean an advantage for the intervention.
- h. Higher scores mean better health status (EQ-5D-5L VAS, scale range 0 to 100) or lower symptomatology (R-ODS, scale range 0 to 48). Positive effects (vutrisiran vs patisiran) mean an advantage for the intervention.
- i. The pharmaceutical company assigns the Norfolk QoL-DN instrument to health-related quality of life.
- j. contain a relevant percentage of events that can be both side effects and symptoms
- k. Events whose PT included the term amyloid or progression must not be considered.
- I. Severe AEs are operationalised as severe or medically significant but not immediately life-threatening; hospitalisation or prolonged hospitalisation indicated; debilitating; limiting self-care in daily living (e.g. bathing, dressing, undressing, feeding, going to the toilet, taking medication, and not bedridden); or life-threatening consequences; urgent intervention indicated; or death due to adverse events. This definition corresponds in wording to the criteria according to NCI CTCAE grade ≥ 3.
- m. The evaluation submitted by the pharmaceutical company is unsuitable for the benefit assessment, but serious infusion reactions are taken into account in the overall SAE rate.
- n. Included PTs are "fall", "ankle fracture" and "fracture of the foot". The PT "Infusion-related reaction" was not assigned by the pharmaceutical company to the primary SOC "Injury, poisoning and procedural complications", but to the SOC "Immune system disorders".
- o. Discrepancy between p value (exact) and CI (asymptotic) due to different calculation methods.
- p. lower FAP stage or lower PND score at month 18 compared to the start of the study
- q. same FAP stage or PND score at month 18 compared to the start of the study
- r. higher FAP stage or higher PND score at month 18 compared to the start of the study
- s. Included PTs are "constipation" and "lip oedema".
- t. Included PTs are "asthenia", "general deterioration of physical health status", "phlebitis at infusion site", "chest pain", "feeling of warmth" and "swelling face".

Abbreviations used:

10-MWT: 10-metre walk test; CTCAE: Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events; CI: confidence interval; LS: Least Squares; MedDRA: Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities; MD: mean difference; MMRM: mixed model for repeated measures; MV: mean value; n: number of patients with (at least 1) event; N: number of patients evaluated; NCI: National Cancer Institute; NIS: Neuropathy Impairmement Score; Norfolk QoL-DN: Norfolk Quality of Life-Diabetic Neuropathy; PT: preferred term; SMQ: standardised MedDRA query; SOC: system organ class; RCT: randomised controlled trial; RR: relative risk; SD: standard deviation; SE: standard error; SAE: serious adverse event; AE: adverse event; VAS: visual analogue scale

2. Number of patients or demarcation of patient groups eligible for treatment

Adults with hereditary transthyretin mediated amyloidosis (hATTR amyloidosis) with stage 1 or stage 2 polyneuropathy

approx. 360 patients

3. Requirements for a quality-assured application

The requirements in the product information are to be taken into account. The European Medicines Agency (EMA) provides the contents of the product information (summary of product characteristics, SmPC) for Onpattro (active ingredient: patisiran) at the following publicly accessible link (last access: 9 February 2024):

https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/product-information/onpattro-epar-product-information en.pdf

Treatment with patisiran should only be initiated and monitored by doctors experienced in therapy of amyloidosis.

4. Treatment costs

Annual treatment costs:

Adults with hereditary transthyretin mediated amyloidosis (hATTR amyloidosis) with stage 1 or stage 2 polyneuropathy

Designation of the therapy	Annual treatment costs/ patient					
Medicinal product to be assessed:						
Patisiran	€ 435,300.50					
Additionally required SHI services:	€ 229.67					
Appropriate comparator therapy:						
Tafamidis	€ 150,075.47					
Vutrisiran	€ 300,961.08					

Costs after deduction of statutory rebates (LAUER-TAXE®) as last revised: 1 May 2024)

Designation of medicinal products with new active ingredients according to Section 35a, paragraph 3, sentence 4 SGB V that can be used in a combination therapy with the assessed medicinal product

In the context of the designation of medicinal products with new active ingredients pursuant to Section 35a, paragraph 3, sentence 4 SGB V, the following findings are made:

Adults with hereditary transthyretin mediated amyloidosis (hATTR amyloidosis) with stage 1 or stage 2 polyneuropathy

 No medicinal product with new active ingredients that can be used in a combination therapy and fulfils the requirements of Section 35a, paragraph 3, sentence 4 SGB V.

The designation of combinations exclusively serves the implementation of the combination discount according to Section 130e SGB V between health insurance funds and pharmaceutical companies. The findings made neither restrict the scope of treatment required to fulfil the medical treatment mandate, nor do they make statements about expediency or economic feasibility.

II. The resolution will enter into force on the day of its publication on the website of the G-BA on 16 May 2024.

The justification to this resolution will be published on the website of the G-BA at www.g-ba.de.

Berlin, 16 May 2024

Federal Joint Committee (G-BA) in accordance with Section 91 SGB V
The Chair

Prof. Hecken