

Resolution

of the Federal Joint Committee on an Amendment of the Pharmaceuticals Directive:

Annet HII. Annex XII – Benefit Assessment of Medicinal Products with New Active Ingredients according to Section 35a SGB Vo Somapacitan (growth failure due to growth hormone deficiency, ≥ 3 to < 18 years; growth hormone deficiency in adults) of 2 May 2024

At its session on 2 May 2024, the Federal Joint Committee (G-BA) resolved to amend the Pharmaceuticals Directive (AM-RL) in the version dated 18 December 2008 / 22 January 2009 (Federal Gazette, BAnz. No. 49a of 31 March 2009), as last amended by the publication of the resolution of D Month YYYY (Federal Gazette, BAnz AT DD.MM.YYYY BX), as follows:

I. Annex XII shall be amended in alphabetical order to include the active ingredient Somapacitan as follows: Hereit Benefit Assessment version Benefit Assessment version Benefit Assessment version Benefit Assessment version

Courtesy translation – only the German version is legally binding.

Somapacitan

Resolution of: 2 May 2024 Entry into force on: 2 May 2024 Federal Gazette, BAnz AT DD. MM YYYY Bx

Therapeutic indication (according to the marketing authorisation of 24 July 2024):

Sogroya is indicated for the replacement of endogenous growth hormone (GH) in children aged 3 years and above, and adolescents with growth failure due to growth hormone deficiency (paediatric GHD), and in adults with growth hormone deficiency (adult GHD)

Therapeutic indication of the resolution (resolution of 2 May 2024):

Therapeutic indication according to marketing authorisation.

1. Extent of the additional benefit and significance of the evidence

Somapacitan is approved as a medicinal product for the treatment of rare diseases in accordance with Regulation (EC) No. 141/2000 of the European Parliament and the Council of 16 December 1999 on orphan drugs. In accordance with Section 35a, paragraph 1, sentence 11, 1st half of the sentence SGB V, the additional medical benefit is considered to be proven through the grant of the marketing authorisation.

The Federal Joint Committee (G-BA) determines the extent of the additional benefit for the number of patients and patient groups for which there is a therapeutically significant additional benefit in accordance with Chapter 5 Section 12, paragraph 1, number 1, sentence 2 of its Rules of Procedure (VerfQ) in conjunction with Section 5, paragraph 8 AM-NutzenV, indicating the significance of the evidence. This quantification of the additional benefit is based on the criteria laid out in Chapter 5 Section 5, paragraph 7, numbers 1 to 4 of the Rules of Procedure (VerfQ).

a) <u>Children age</u> 3 years and above, and adolescents with growth failure due to growth hormone deficiency

Extent of the additional benefit and significance of the evidence of somapacitan:

Hint foce non-quantifiable additional benefit since the scientific data does not allow quantification.

Adults with growth hormone deficiency (AGHD) for whom replacement of endogenous growth hormone (GH) is indicated

Extent of the additional benefit and significance of the evidence of somapacitan:

Hint for a non-quantifiable additional benefit since the scientific data does not allow quantification.

Study results according to endpoints:¹

a) Children aged 3 years and above, and adolescents with growth failure due to growth hormone deficiency

Summary of results for relevant clinical endpoints

Endpoint category	Direction of effect/ risk of bias	Summary
Mortality	\leftrightarrow	No deaths occurred.
Morbidity	\leftrightarrow	No relevant differences overall for the benefit assessment.
Health-related quality of life	\leftrightarrow	No relevant differences overall for the penefit assessment.
Side effects	\leftrightarrow	No relevant differences overall for the benefit assessment.
\downarrow : statistically significant a	nd relevant n	ositive effect with low/unclear reliability of data egative effect with low/unclear reliability of data positive effect with high reliability of data
		r negative effect with high reliability of data
 ↔: no statistically signification ↔: no statistically signification Ø: No data available. n.a.: not assessable 		

REAL 4 study: open-label, phase III study, somapacitan vs somatropin, 52 weeks REAL 3 study: open-label, phase II study, somapacitan vs somatropin, 52 weeks and 104 weeks safety extension phase (at week 156)

Mortality

Endpoint Study	Somapacitan		Somatropin		Somapacitan vs somatropin	
	N ^{a)}	Patients with event n (%)	N ^{a)}	Patients with event n (%)	Effect estimator [95% CI] p value	
Overall mortality ^{b)}						
REAL	No d	No deaths occurred.				
REAL 3	No d	eaths occurred.				

¹ Data from the dossier assessment of the G-BA (published on 1. February 2024), and from the amendment to the dossier assessment from 9 April 2024, unless otherwise indicated.

Morbidity

Endpoint Study		Somapacitan			Somatrop	Somapacitan vs somatropin	
	N ^{c)}	Values	Amendmen	N ^{c)}	Values	Amendmen	MV difference
		Start of	t to start		Start of	t to start	[95% CI];
		study	of study		study	of study	p value ^{d),e)}
		MV (SD)	MV (SE)		MV (SD)	MV (SE)	
Body heig	ht (z sc	ore)					
Change at	week 5	2					
REAL 4	132	-2.99 (1.0)	1.25 (0.0)	68	-3.47 (1.5)	1.30 (0.1)	-0.05 [-0.18;
						c ^{O1}	0.08]; 0.43
REAL 3	14	-3.84 (2.0)	1.42 (0.1)	14	-3.39 (1.1)	1.07 (0.1)	0.35 [0.05;
						a is	0.65]; 0.022
Change at	week 1	.56			.0	\sim \sim	
REAL 3	14	-3.84 (2.0)	2.66 (0.1)	14 ^{f)}	-3.39 (1.1)	2.17(0.1)	0.49 [0.13;
					S	C'O'	0.86]; 0.009
					ilses eut		

Endpoint Study		Somapacitan		Somatropin	Somapacitan vs somatropin
	N	Amendment to start of study MV (SE)	N	Amendment to start of study MV (SE)	Mean difference [95% CI]; p value
Annualized growth	rate [c	m/year] (presented add	ditional	lly)	
Change at week 52		ant silo			
REAL 4 ^{g)}	132	11.2 (0.2)	68	11.7 (0.3)	-0.48 [-1.13; 0.18]; 0.15
REAL 3	14 11.7 (0.5)		14	9.9 (0.5)	1.80 [0.50; 3.09]; 0.008 ^{h),i)}
Change at week 156	R N				
REAL 3 OF COTO	14	8.5 (0.4)	11	7.6 (0.5)	0.84 [-0.45; 2.13] 0.20 ^{h)}
Please ne					

Health-related quality of life

Somapacitan		:	somatropin	Somapacitan vs somatropin	
N	Patients with event n (%) ^{j)}	N	Patients with event n (%) ^{j)}	RR [95% CI] p value ^{k),I)}	
Deficiency	- Child Impact Meas	ure (GHD	-CIM) ObsRO ^{m)}	_	
ement at	week 52 by ≥ 15 poir	nts		s. et	
127 ^{n),o)}	30 (23.6)	63 ^{n),o)}	17 (27.0)	0,88[0.52; 1.46]; 0.74	
14	6 (42.9)	14 ^{p)}	3 (21.4)	2:00 [0.62; 6.45]; 0.27	
141 ⁿ⁾	36 (25.5)	77 ⁿ⁾	20 (26 0)	0.98 [0.61; 1.57]; 0.94	
ement at	week 156 by ≥ 15 po	ints	C S CON		
14	5 (35.7)	14 ^{q)}	2 (14.3)	2.50 [0.58; 10.80]; 0.24	
	N Deficiency ement at 127 ^{n),o)} 14 141 ⁿ⁾ ement at	NPatients with event n (%)Deficiency - Child Impact Mease ement at week 52 by \geq 15 point127^n),o)30 (23.6)146 (42.9)141^n)36 (25.5)ement at week 156 by \geq 15 point	NPatients with event n (%) ^{j)} NDeficiency - Child Impact Measure (GHD ement at week 52 by \geq 15 points127 ^{n),o)} 30 (23.6) $63^{n),o)}$ 146 (42.9) $14^{p)}$ 141 ⁿ⁾ 36 (25.5) $77^{n)}$ ement at week 156 by \geq 15 points	NPatients with event n (%) ^{ji} NPatients with event n (%) ^{ji} Deficiency - Child Impact Measure (GHD-CIM) ObsRO ^m)ement at week 52 by ≥ 15 points127 ^{n),oi} 30 (23.6) $63^{n),oi}$ 17 (27.0)146 (42.9)14 ^{pi} 3 (21.4)141 ⁿⁱ 36 (25.5)77 ⁿⁱ 20 (26.0)ement at week 156 by ≥ 15 points	

Endpoint Study	Somapacitan			somatropin	Somapacitan vs somatropin
	Ν	MV (SE)	Ν	MV (SE)	Mean difference [95% CI] ^{r),s),t)} ; p value Hedges' g [95% CI]

Growth Hormone (presented addition	S.	- Child Treatment	Burden Mo	easure (GHD-CTB)	ObsRO
Total score at wee	1052 ^{u)}				
REAL 4	2 112 ⁿ⁾	10.7 (1.0)	57 ⁿ⁾	13.1 (1.4)	-2.39 [-5.69; 0.91]; 0.16
REAL	14	3.5 (2.4)	11	10.4 (2.7)	-6.88 [-14.07; 0.31]; 0.06
Meta analysis	126 ⁿ⁾	9.6 (0.8)	68 ⁿ⁾	13.0 (1.4)	-3.35 [-6.65; -0.05]; 0.047 -0.25 [-0.55; 0.04]
Total score at wee	ek 156				
REAL 3	13	5.4 (2.6)	11	14.3 (2.9)	-8.87 [-16.74; - 1.01]; 0.028 <i>-0.75 [-1.59; 0.08]</i>
Physical domain a	t week 52				

Endpoint Study		Somapacitan		somatropin	Somapacitan vs somatropin
	N	MV (SE)	N	MV (SE)	Mean difference [95% CI] ^{r),s),t)} ; p value Hedges' g [95% CI]
REAL 4	116 ⁿ⁾	11.6 (1.1)	57 ⁿ⁾	14.5 (1.6)	-2.89 [-6.80; 1.02]; 0.15
REAL 3	14	4.7 (3.0)	13	14.3 (3.1)	-9.59 018.20 -0.96]; 0.030 -0.70 [-1.48; 0.08]
Meta-analysis	130 ⁿ⁾	10.4 (0.9)	70 ⁿ⁾	14.5 (1.8)	4.05 [-8.08; -0.01]; 0.0495 0.30 [-0.59; -0.01]
Physical domain at	week 15	5		Jer C	ф.
REAL 3	13	3.6 (3.0)	11	138 (3,2)	-15.17 [-24.09; -6.25]; 0.001 -1.18 [-2.05; -0.31]
Emotional domain a	at week 5	52	~		•
REAL 4	112 ⁿ⁾	15.4 (1.8)	G 8 ⁿ⁾	18.9 (2.4)	-3.53 [-9.49; 2.43]; 0.24
REAL 3	14	6.2 (4.2)	011	15.8 (4.7)	-9.62 [-22.30; 3.05]; 0.13
Meta-analysis	126 ⁿ⁾	14.0(1.5)	69 ⁿ⁾	18.8 (2.6)	-4.86 [-10.81; 1.08]; 0.11
Emotional domain a	at week 1	56			
REAL 3	135	5.3 (4.2)	11	16.2 (4.6)	-10.93 [-23.59; 1.72]; 0.09
Impairment at wee	× 52				
REAL 4	(117 ⁿ⁾	5.2 (0.8)	59 ⁿ⁾	6.4 (1.1)	-1.28 [-3.88; 1.33]; 0.33
REAL 4	14	-0.06 (2.3)	13	10.4 (2.4)	-10.45 [-17.10; -3.80]; 0.003 -0.98 [-1.78; -0.18]
Meta-analysis	131 ⁿ⁾	_v)	72 ⁿ⁾	_v)	_v)
mpairment at wee	k 156				
REAL 3	13	6.7 (2.5)	11	11.0 (2.6)	-4.32 [-11.60; 2.97]; 0.24

Side effects

Endpoint Study	:	Somapacitan		somatropin	Somapacitan vs somatropin	
	Ν	Patients with event n (%)	N	Patients with event n (%)	RR [95% CI]; p value	
Total adverse events	(presen	ted additionally)	•		-	
REAL 4	132	94 (71.2)	68	41 (60.3)	s. et	
REAL 3	14	13 (92.9)	14	13 (92.9)	itio Anti	
Meta-analysis	146	107 (73.3)	82	54 (65.9)	Ol Jel	
Severe adverse event	:s ^{w)}			16	Solution Ann	
Events until week 52				601		
REAL 4	132	4 (3.0)	68	3 (2.5) A	2.06 [0.23; 18.08]; 0.62	
REAL 3	14	0 (0)	14	S @(0)	-	
Meta-analysis	146	-	82	- 60	-	
Events until week 156	5			01		
REAL 3	14		Ø ¹⁴	1 (7.1)	0.33 [0.01; 7.55]; 0.52	
Serious adverse even	ts (SAE)					
Events until week 52		AL VSION				
REAL 4	132	V ⁶ (4.5)	68	2 (2.9)	1.55 [1.32; 7.45]; 0.62	
REAL 3	2 14 0	1 (7.1)	14	1 (7.1)	1.00 [0.07; 14.45]; n.d.	
Meta-analysis	146	7 (4.8)	82	3 (3.7)	1.31 [0.35; 4.93]; 0.69	
Events until week 156	5					
REAL 30	14	2 (14.3)	14	2 (14.3)	1.00 [0.16; 6.14]; n.d	
Therapy discontinuat	ion due	to adverse events				
Events until week 52						
REAL 4	132	0 (0)	68	0 (0)	-	
REAL 3	14	0 (0)	14	2 (14.3)	0.20 [0.01; 3.82]; 0.22	
Meta-analysis	146	-	82	-	-	

Endpoint Study		Somapacitan		somatropin	Somapacitan vs somatropin
N		Patients with event n (%)			RR [95% Cl]; p value
Events until week 1	56				
REAL 3	14	0 (0)	14	2 (14.3)	0.20 [0.01; 3.82]; - 0.22
		ling to MedDRA ^{w)} (w			dy arm and statistically
No severe AEs ≥ 5%					Scille
SAEs according to difference between	MedDRA the treat	(with incidence ≥ ment arms; SOC and	5% in PT)	one study arm and	statistically significant
No SAEs ≥ 5%				Se als	
Adverse events of arms)	special in	terest (with statistic	cally sig	gnificant difference be	etween the treatment
No significant differ	ences		- Cr		
and baseline value) REAL 4 study: AN stimulation test a	e of body h COVA with nd interact iate.	neight (z score) as cova treatment, age group tion term sex x age gro	riate. , sex, re oup x re	gion, highest measured	sex x age group as factors GH concentration in the line value of body height
 using a non-inferi level. h) REAL 3 study: MM and baseline valu i) REAL 4 study: AN stimulation test a as covariate. j) Missing values were k) RR with 95% Cl were light of the structure of subjects at were of subjects at were light of the subject of the s	ly, a non-in ority thres IBM with the of body h COVA with ad interact ere impute as calculated culated points; he culated points; he culated points; he culated point	feriority test of treatment, age group, s neight as covariate. treatment, age group, s treatment, age group tion term sex x age group tion term sex x age group d as non-responders. ed using non-parametr st hoc: Model with fixe higher values mean hig he REAL 4 study (at stu urvey because no lingu lied in the FAS. ine: N = 98 in the some	ent witi ad a one ex, regio , sex, re oup x re ic analy d effect her dise udy site uistically apacitar	h somapacitan versus so e-sided t-test for 2 groups on and interaction term s egion, highest measured gion as factors and base sis. s; unadjusted two-sided ease burden. s in Latvia, Poland, Serbia y validated translation o n arm and N = 53 in the somatrop	matropin was performed s at the 2.5% significance sex x age group as factors GH concentration in the line value of body height p value using Wald test. a and Spain) did not take of the questionnaire was comatropin arm; number pin arm.

Endpoint Study	Somapacitan		Somapacitan somatropin		Somapacitan vs somatropin
	N	Patients with event n (%)	N	Patients with event n (%)	RR [95% CI]; p value
stimulation test an within the "week"	id interac factor.	ction term sex x age gi	roup x i	egion as factors that w	GH concentration in the ere hierarchically nested asured GH concentration

- in the stimulation test and interaction term sex x age group x region as factors that were merarchically nested within the "week" factor. Scale from 0 to 100 points; higher values mean higher burden of therapy. Due to the high heterogeneity, the results of the meta-analysis are not reported. The study's own criteria were used for severity grading.
- u) Scale from 0 to 100 points; higher values mean higher burden of therapy.
- v) Due to the high heterogeneity, the results of the meta-analysis are not reported.
- w) The study's own criteria were used for severity grading.

Abbreviations:

ANCOVA: analysis of covariance; AWG: therapeutic indication; n.d.: no data available; CI: confidence interval; MMRM: Mixed Model for Repeated Measures; MV: mean value; ObsRO: Observer-Reported Outcome; RR: relative risk; SD: standard deviation; SE: standard error; SAE: serious adverse event; AE: adverse event.

b) Adults with growth hormone deficiency (AGHD) for whom replacement of endogenous growth hormone (GH) is indicated

Hint for a non-quantifiable additional benefit since the scientific data does not allow quantification.

Summary of results for relevant clinical endpoints

Funda state sets some		
Endpoint category	Direction	Summary
	of	
	effect/	
	risk of	
	bias	
Mortality K	\leftrightarrow	No relevant differences for the benefit assessment.
Morbidit	n.a.	There are no assessable data.
Health related quality	\leftrightarrow	No relevant differences for the benefit assessment.
of life		
Side effects	\leftrightarrow	No relevant differences for the benefit assessment.
Explanations:		
Tatistically significant a	nd relevant p	ositive effect with low/unclear reliability of data
Y: statistically significant a	nd relevant n	egative effect with low/unclear reliability of data

- abla: statistically significant and relevant negative effect with low/unclear reliability of data
- $\uparrow\uparrow$: statistically significant and relevant positive effect with high reliability of data
- $\psi\psi$: statistically significant and relevant negative effect with high reliability of data
- \leftrightarrow : no statistically significant or relevant difference
- \varnothing : No data available.

n.a.: not assessable

REAL 1 study: open-label, phase III study, somapacitan vs somatropin vs placebo, 34 weeks REAL 2 study: open-label, phase III study, somapacitan vs somatropin, 26 weeks JP study: open-label, phase III study, somapacitan vs somatropin, 52 weeks

Mortality

Endpoint Study		Somapacitan		somatropin	Somapacitan vs somatropin	
	N ^{a)}	Patients with event n (%)	N ^{a)}	Patients with event n (%)	RR [95% CI] p value	
Overall mortality ^{b)}				c	oli vel	
REAL 1	No d	No deaths occurred.				
REAL 2	No deaths occurred.					
REAL JP	No d	eaths occurred.		er de		
REAL JP	No d	eaths occurred.		Severals		

Morbidity

Morbidity					ises centil		
Endpoint Study	Somapacitan			Somatropin			Somapacita n vs somatropin
	N	Values Start of study MV (SD)	Change from baseline MV (SE)	N	Values Start of study MV (SD)	Change from baseline MV (SE)	MV difference [95% CI]; p value ^{c)}
Change in t	runcal f	at percentage a		esented	d additionally)		
REAL 1	120	39.11(18.81)	-1.06 (n.d.)	119	38.10 (9.65)	-2.23 (n.d.)	4.99 [1.84; 8.14]; 0.002 ^{d)}

Quality of life

Endpoint Study	Somapacitan				Somatrop	Somapacita n vs somatropin	
<	N ^{e)}	Values Start of study MV (SD)	Change from baseline MV (SE)	N ^{e)}	Values Start of study MV (SD)	Change from baseline MV (SE)	MV difference [95% CI]; p value ^{f)}
Treatment value ^{g)}	Related	Impact Measur	e (TRIM) – Ad	ult Gro	wth Hormone [Deficiency (AG	HD) – total
Change at v	week 34						

Endpoint Study	Somapacitan				Somatrop	Somapacita n vs somatropin		
	N ^{e)}	Values Start of study MV (SD)	Change from baseline MV (SE)	N ^{e)}	Values Start of study MV (SD)	Change from baseline MV (SE)	MV difference [95% CI]; p value ^{f)}	
REAL 1	119	46.62 (18.19)	-5.71 (12.69)	118	46.00 (15.94)	-9.99 (13.64)	4.99 [1.84; 8:14]; 0:002	1
SF36 ^{h)}							2, 74,	
Change at v	week 34						JK	
REAL 1	117	44.79	2.70	118	44.32	409	-1.70 [-3.93;	
		(11.70)	(9.29)		(11.56)	(10.19)	0.53]; 0.13	
Side effects	5				ever	a Dille		-

Side effects

Endpoint Study	Somapacitan			Somatropin	Somapacitan vs Somatropin	
	N	Patients with event n (%)	Ν	Patients with event n (%)	RR [95% CI]; p value ^{i),k)}	
Total adverse events	(presen	ted additionally	Q``			
REAL 1	120	87 (72.5)	119	95 (79.8)	-	
REAL 2	61	53 (86,9)	31	21 (67.7)	-	
REAL JP	46	43 (93.5)	16	11 (68.8)	-	
Severe adverse even	ts ⁱ⁾	× VOI				
REAL 1	01200	7 (5.8)	119	9 (7.6)	0.77 [0.30; 2.00]; 0.68	
REAL 2	61	5 (8.2)	31	2 (6.5)	1.27 [0.26; 6.18]; 1.00	
REAL JP	46	0 (0)	16	0 (0)	-	
Serious adverse even	nts (SAE)					
REAL 1	120	7 (5.8)	119	11 (9.2)	0.63 [0.25; 1.57]; 0.41	
READ	61	4 (6.6)	31	2 (6.5)	1.02 [0.20; 5.25]; 1.00	
REAL JP	46	4 (8.7)	16	0 (0)	3.26 [0.18; 57.33]; 0.25	
Therapy discontinuat	tion due	to adverse events				
REAL 1	120	0 (0)	119	4 (3.4)	0.11 [0.01; 2.02]; 0.045	
REAL 2	61	1 (1.6)	31	1 (3.2)	0.51 [0.03; 7.85]; 0.74	
REAL JP	46	0 (0)	16	1 (6.3)	0.12 [0.01; 2.82]; 0.11	

Study		Somapacitan		Somatropin	Somapacitan vs Somatropin
	N	Patients with event n (%)	N	Patients with event n (%)	RR [95% CI]; p value ^{i),k)}
Severe adverse even significant difference		-			udy arm and statistically
No severe AEs ≥ 5%					s. at
SAEs according to N difference between t				one study arm and	d statistically significant
No SAEs ≥ 5%					250 tine
Adverse events of s arms)	pecial ir	terest (with statistic	cally si	gnificant difference	between the treatment
No significant differe	nces			Ser Calls	
 value of truncal fat d) According to the st e) Full Analysis Set f) Change from basel region, diabetes st instrument-specific 	percenta udy prote ine to we atus and baseline points; h points; h	age as a covariate. bcol, only the effect wa eek 25 and week 34 us interaction of sex, re value as covariate. igher values mean high	s to be sing MN gion an er disea	alculated. No hypothe IRM with treatment, o d diabetes status as t se burden.	as factors and the baseline esis testing was carried out onset of GHD disease, sex, factors and the respective
 i) RR with 95% CI was j) The study's own critical constraints of the G Abbreviations: GHD: growth hormone Repeated Measures Million error; SF-36: Short-Form 2. Number of patie	teria wer i-BA V: mean n 36 Hea ents or o	ed using non-parametr used for severity grad cy; n.d.: no data avail value; PT: preferred ter Ith Survey; SOC: SOC sy demarcation of pat	ic analy ing. able CI: m; RR: rstem o :ient g i	sis. confidence interval; relative risk; SD: stand gan class; AE: adverse roups eligible for t	reatment
 i) RR with 95% CI was j) The study's own critical constraints of the G Abbreviations: GHD: growth hormone Repeated Measures Million error; SF-36: Short-Form 2. Number of patie	teria wer i-BA deficien V: mean n 36 Hea ents or o 8 years	ed using non-parametr used for severity grad cy; n.d.: no data avail value; PT: preferred ter Ith Survey; SOC: SOC sy demarcation of pat	ic analy ing. able CI: m; RR: rstem o :ient g i	sis. confidence interval; relative risk; SD: stand gan class; AE: adverse roups eligible for t	ard deviation; SE: standarc e event;

b) Adults with growth hormone deficiency (AGHD) for whom replacement of endogenous growth hormone (GH) is indicated

approx. 2,450 – 3,400 patients

3. Requirements for a quality-assured application

The requirements in the product information are to be taken into account. The European Medicines Agency (EMA) provides the contents of the product information (summary of product characteristics, SmPC) for Sogroya (active ingredient: somapacitan) at the following publicly accessible link (last access: 11 March 2024):

https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/product-information/sogroya-epar-productinformation en.pdf

Several resolutivel Treatment with somapacitan should only be initiated and monitored by doctors experienced in treating children and adolescents with growth hormone deficiency (paediatric GHD) and adults with growth hormone deficiency (adult GHD).

4. Treatment costs

Annual treatment costs:

Rerowth failure due to growth a) Children aged 3 years and above, and adolescen hormone deficiency

Designation of the therapy	Annual treatment costs/ patient			
Medicinal product to be assessed:				
Somapacitan €27,649.89 – € 54,719.90				
Costs after deduction of statutory rebates (AUER-TAXE [®]) as last revised: 15 April 2024)				

Costs for additionally required SHK services: not applicable

formone deficiency (AGHD) for whom replacement of endogenous b) Adults with a hormone (GH) is indicated growth

Designation of the therapy	Annual treatment costs/ patient
Medicinal product to be assessed:	
Somapacitan	€ 81,789.81

Costs after deduction of statutory rebates (LAUER-TAXE®) as last revised: 15 April 2024)

Costs for additionally required SHI services: not applicable

5. Designation of medicinal products with new active ingredients according to Section 35a, paragraph 3, sentence 4 SGB V that can be used in a combination therapy with the assessed medicinal product

In the context of the designation of medicinal products with new active ingredients pursuant to Section 35a, paragraph 3, sentence 4 SGB V, the following findings are made:

- a) Children aged 3 years and above, and adolescents with growth failure due to growth hormone deficiency
 - No medicinal product with new active ingredients that can be used in a combination therapy and fulfils the requirements of Section 35a, paragraph 3, sentence 4 SGB V.
- b) Adults with growth hormone deficiency (AGHD) for whom replacement of endogenous growth hormone (GH) is indicated
 - No medicinal product with new active ingredients that can be used in a combination therapy that fulfils the requirements of Section 35a, paragraph 3, sentence 4 SGB V.

The designation of combinations exclusively serves the implementation of the combination discount according to Section 130e SGB V between health insurance funds and pharmaceutical companies. The findings made neither restrict the scope of treatment required to fulfil the medical treatment mandate, nor do they make statements about expediency or economic feasibility.

The resolution will enter into force on the day of its publication on the website of III. the G-BA on 2 May 2024

The justification to this resolution will be published on the website of the G-BA at www.g-

Berlin, 2 May 2024 Berlin, 2 May 2024 Current F in a Federal Joint Committee (G-BA) in accordance with Section 91 SGB V The Chair Prof. Hecken